Sam Tay Professor Milnikel Section 10: 6, 7, 9-11, 25, 28, 29, 34 12/23/2011

## Problem 6:

All left cosets of  $\{\rho_0, \mu_2\} \leq D_4$  are

$$\{\rho_0, \mu_2\}, \{\rho_1, \delta_2\}, \{\rho_2, \mu_1\}, \{\rho_3, \delta_1\}.$$

# Problem 7:

All right cosets of  $\{\rho_0, \mu_2\} \leq D_4$  are

$$\{\rho_0, \mu_2\}, \{\rho_1, \delta_1\}, \{\rho_2, \mu_1\}, \{\rho_3, \delta_2\},$$

which are *not* the same as the left cosets because  $D_4$  is nonabelian.

### Problem 9:

All left cosets of  $\{\rho_0, \rho_2\} \leq D_4$  are

$$\{\rho_0, \rho_2\}, \{\rho_1, \rho_3\}, \{\mu_1, \mu_2\}, \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}.$$

### Problem 10:

All right cosets of  $\{\rho_0, \rho_2\} \leq D_4$  are

$$\{\rho_0,\rho_2\},\{\rho_1,\rho_3\},\{\mu_1,\mu_2\},\{\delta_1,\delta_2\},$$

which are the same as the left cosets because  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_2$  commute with all elements of  $D_4$ .

# Problem 11:

We can rearrange Table 8.12 in an order corresponding to the above cosets to find:

	$\rho_0$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$	$\mu_1$	$\mu_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$
$\rho_0$	$\rho_0$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$	$\mu_1$	$\mu_2$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$
$\rho_2$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_0$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_1$	$\mu_2$	$\mu_1$	$\delta_2$	$\delta_1$
$\rho_1$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_0$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	$\mu_2$	$\mu_1$
$\rho_3$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_0$	$\rho_2$	$\delta_2$	$\delta_1$	$\mu_1$	$\mu_2$
$\mu_1$	$\mu_1$	$\mu_2$	$\delta_2$	$\delta_1$	$\rho_0$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_1$
$\mu_2$	$\mu_2$	$\mu_1$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_0$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$
$\delta_1$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	$\mu_1$	$\mu_2$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_0$	$\rho_2$
$\delta_2$	$\delta_2$	$\delta_1$	$\mu_2$	$\mu_1$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_2$	$\rho_0$

If we assign the letters  $A = \{\rho_0, \rho_2\}$ ,  $B = \{\rho_1, \rho_3\}$ ,  $C = \{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ ,  $D = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ , we see that this table becomes

	A	В	C	D
A	A			D
В	В	A	D	C
C	C	D	A	В
D	D	C	В	A

from which it is clear that these cosets form a group isomorphic to the Klein-4.

#### Problem 25:

To see that |H||G|, simply note that each coset of H has |H| elements and for some r, the left cosets of H partition G into r cells such that |G| = r|H|.

**Problem 28:** Let H be a subgroup of G such that  $g^{-1}hg \in H$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $h \in H$ . Then every left coset gH is the same as the right coset Hg. **Proof:** 

Let  $H \leq G$  such that  $g^{-1}hg \in H$  for all  $h \in H$  and all  $g \in G$ . Then let  $g \in G$  and  $x \in gH$ . Then  $x = gh_1$  for some  $h_1 \in H$ . Since G is a group,  $h_1 = g^{-1}x$ . By our previous assumption, since  $g^{-1} \in G$  and  $h_1 \in H$ , we must have that  $(g^{-1})^{-1}h_1g^{-1} = gh_1g^{-1} = h_2$  for some  $h_2 \in H$ . Recalling that  $h_1 = g^{-1}x$ , we find  $h_2 = gg^{-1}xg^{-1} = xg^{-1}$ , from which it follows that  $x = h_2g$ . Therefore  $x \in Hg$ , so  $gH \subseteq Hg$ .

Similarly if  $x \in Hg$  then  $x = h_1g$  for some  $h_1 \in H$ . Then  $h_1 = xg^{-1}$  and again since  $g \in G$  we have  $g^{-1}h_1g \in H$  where  $g^{-1}h_1g = g^{-1}xg^{-1}g = g^{-1}x$ . Therefore we have  $g^{-1}x = h_2$  for some  $h_2 \in H$ , and since G is a group,  $x = gh_2$ . Thus  $x \in gH$ , so  $Hg \subseteq gH$  and we conclude gH = Hg.

**Problem 29:** If H is a subgroup of G such that the partition of G into left cosets of H is the same as the partition into right cosets of H, then  $g^{-1}hg \in H$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $h \in H$ .

#### Proof:

Let *G* be a group with subgroup *H* such that gH = Hg for all  $g \in G$ . Then  $x \in Hg$  if and only if  $x \in gH$  as well. This means that for any  $h_1 \in H$ ,  $x = h_1g$  if and only if  $x = gh_2$  for some  $h_2 \in H$ . Since *G* is a group, we have  $h_2 = g^{-1}x = g^{-1}h_1g$ . Therefore for any  $g \in G$  and any  $h_1 \in H$ , we have  $g^{-1}h_1g \in H$  as well.

**Problem 34:** Let *G* be a group of order *pq* where *p* and *q* are primes. Then every proper subgroup of *G* is cyclic.

### **Proof:**

Let G be a group of order pq where p and q are primes. For any proper subgroup H < G, we must have  $1 \le |H| < G$ . We know from the Theorem of Lagrange that |H||pq and since p, q are primes, it must be the case that |H| = 1, |H| = p, or |H| = q. Clearly if |H| = 1 such that H is trivial, H is cyclic. By Corollary 10.11, in the latter two cases H is cyclic as well. We conclude that all proper subgroups of G are cyclic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Couldn't we have a situation where this antecedent holds true, where the sets  $\{gH : g \in G\} = \{Hg : g \in G\}$  and yet  $g_0H \neq Hg_0$  for some  $g_0 \in G$ ?