

Technical Report:  
Final Project - Hospital ER Manager  
EECE 2560: Fundamentals of Engineering  
Algorithms

Xiangcheng Samuel Ying  
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering  
Northeastern University  
Ying.xian@northeastern.edu

December 4, 2024

Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Project Scope</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Project Plan</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Timeline . . . . .	2
2.2	Milestones . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Team Roles</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Pseudocode and Complexity Analysis . . . . .	3
4.2	Data Collection and Preprocessing . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix A: Code</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Appendix B: Additional Figures</b>	<b>6</b>

# 1 Project Scope

This project aims to design a hospital emergency room (ER) patient management system that prioritizes patients based on their medical condition severity and time spent waiting. The system uses priority queues to ensure that patients in critical condition are treated first while also accounting for patients with extended wait times. Key objectives include:

- Developing a priority queue-based patient triage system.
- Balancing patient severity and wait time to ensure equitable care.
- Automating patient registration and prioritization for improved ER efficiency.

# 2 Project Plan

## 2.1 Timeline

The overall timeline for the project is divided into phases:

- **Week 1 (October 7 - October 13):** Define project scope, establish team roles, and outline skills/tools.
- **Week 2 (October 14 - October 20):** Begin development, set up the project repository, and start coding basic system functionalities such as patient registration and priority assignment.
- **Week 3 (October 21 - October 27):** Continue development, implement the priority queue logic, and integrate the backend for patient data storage.
- **Week 4 (October 28 - November 3):** Complete the backend, integrate the user interface, and begin testing the system with various patient scenarios.
- **Week 5 (November 4 - November 10):** Finalize the system, conduct extensive testing, and refine the technical report.
- **Week 6 (November 11 - November 17):** Revise the technical report and prepare the final presentation.
- **Week 7 (November 18 - November 28):** Present the system, submit the final report, and close the project.

## 2.2 Milestones

Key milestones include:

- Project Scope and Plan Completion (October 7).
- GitHub Repository Setup and Initial Development (October 9).
- Backend Development and Priority Queue Implementation (October 28).
- System Testing and Report Draft (November 10).
- Final Presentation and Report Submission (November 28).

### 3 Team Roles

**Team Member 1 (me):** Responsible for frontend design and the user interface that allows hospital staff to register patients and view their priority status. Backend developer focusing on building the database and priority queue logic to manage patient data and wait times. Responsible for documentation and report writing, including maintaining GitHub and coordinating project updates. Quality assurance, focused on testing the system for edge cases, such as patients waiting for too long or severe cases arriving.

## 4 Methodology

### 4.1 Pseudocode and Complexity Analysis

This algorithm manages patients in the ER using a max-heap, prioritizing patients by severity and escalating priority for long wait times using compareTo method.

**Pseudocode:**

```
FUNCTION compareTo(Patient p)
    DEFINE wait time threshold as 15 minutes in seconds
    CALCULATE the wait time for the current patient: timeNow - checkInTime
    CALCULATE the wait time for the other patient: timeNow - checkInTime
    SET current and other patient priorityScore as their injurySeverity
    IF the current patient's wait time exceeds the threshold THEN
        ADJUST their priority score: Increase the severity score slightly and
multiply by their wait time
    IF the other patient's wait time exceeds the threshold THEN
        ADJUST their priority score:
            Increase the severity score slightly and multiply by their wait
time
    IF current and other patient priorityScore equals
        Return result where patient with longer waitTime has higher priority
    COMPARE the adjusted priority scores:
        Return result where the patient with the higher score has higher pri-
ority
END FUNCTION
```

### 4.2 Data Collection and Preprocessing

#### Data Collection

- Patient Data: Simulated input includes patient name, DoB, gender, injury severity, and check-in time (automatically timestamped).
- Sources: Test cases were generated using synthetic datasets to simulate real-world ER scenarios.

#### Preprocessing Steps

1. Standardization: Ensure all severity scores and timestamps are in a consistent format.

2. Initial Priority Assignment: For each patient, calculate priority as severity + wait time weight using compareTo method.
3. Heap Construction: Insert all preprocessed patient data into a max-heap for initial prioritization.

## 5 Results

### Key Performance Metrics

- Average Insertion Time:  $O(\log n)$ , confirmed across datasets with varying  $n$  values.
- Average Dequeue Time:  $O(\log n)$ , consistent with theoretical analysis.
- Accuracy of Prioritization: Tested by ensuring patients with higher severity and/or longer wait times were treated first.

## 6 Discussion

The priority queue effectively prioritizes patients with higher severity scores and those with long wait times. The system maintained consistent performance under simulated peak conditions, ensuring high-severity cases were addressed promptly.

## 7 Conclusion

### Key Findings

The system efficiently handled dynamic prioritization of patients using a max-heap priority queue, with time complexities suitable for real-time ER environments. Severity-based prioritization and wait-time adjustment ensured fairness and responsiveness.

### Limitations

The heap structure can become a bottleneck at extreme scales.

Dynamic priority adjustment requires fine-tuning to avoid edge-case bias.

Requires hospital personnel to determine patient injury severity.

### Future Improvements

Implementing a distributed priority queue for large-scale environments.

Adding real-time analytics for staff decision support.

Incorporate database so patient data is safe and used multiple times and different locations.

## 8 References

### References

- [1] Amigoscode. “Spring Boot Tutorial — Full Course [2023] [NEW].” YouTube, [www.youtube.com/watch?v=9SGDpanrc8U](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9SGDpanrc8U).

- [2] Amigoscode. “Spring Boot Full Stack and Angular — Full Course.” YouTube, 5 Feb. 2021, [www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gx4iBLKLVHk](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gx4iBLKLVHk).
- [3] Getarrays. “Getarrays/Employeeemanager.” GitHub, [github.com/getarrays/employeeemanager](https://github.com/getarrays/employeeemanager).
- [4] Getarrays. “Getarrays/Employeeemanagerapp.” GitHub, [github.com/getarrays/employeeemanagerapp](https://github.com/getarrays/employeeemanagerapp).

## A Appendix A: Code

Code of copareTo method for priority queue.

---

```
public int compareTo(Patient p) {
    final int WAIT_TIME_THRESHOLD = 15 * 60;
    double thisWaitTime =
        ChronoUnit.SECONDS.between(this.getCheckInTime(),
            LocalDateTime.now()) + 0.1;
    double thatWaitTime = ChronoUnit.SECONDS.between(p.getCheckInTime(),
        LocalDateTime.now()) + 0.1;
    double thisPriorityScore = this.getInjurySeverity();
    double thatPriorityScore = p.getInjurySeverity();
    if (thisWaitTime > WAIT_TIME_THRESHOLD) {
        thisPriorityScore = (thisPriorityScore + 0.1) * (thisWaitTime -
            WAIT_TIME_THRESHOLD);
    }
    if (thatWaitTime > WAIT_TIME_THRESHOLD) {
        thatPriorityScore = (thatPriorityScore + 0.1) * (thatWaitTime -
            WAIT_TIME_THRESHOLD);
    }
    if (thisPriorityScore == thatPriorityScore) {
        return Double.compare(thatWaitTime, thisWaitTime);
    }
    return Double.compare(thatPriorityScore, thisPriorityScore);
}
```

---

## B Appendix B: Additional Figures

Figure 1: Graph of patient priority score as times goes on for different patient injury severities

