

STA304 - Summer 2021

Final Project Instructions

Samantha-Jo Caetano

Rough Draft Due: Monday June 7 at 11:59pm ET

Peer Review Due: Friday June 11 at 11:59pm ET

Final Submission Due: Friday June 18th at 11:59pm ET

Instructions

This is an individual project. You are expected to work on this independently. You are more than welcome to discuss ideas, code, concepts, etc. regarding this assessment with your class mates. Please do NOT share your code or your written text with your peers. It is expected that all code and written work should be written by yourself (unless they are taken from the materials provided in this course or are from a credible source which you have cited). Please note, this project is fairly open, so the context of most of the work completed here should not match your peers.

Rough Draft Due: Monday June 7 at 11:59pm ET

Your submission will consist of one component:

1. .pdf file

Submission of Rough Draft

As an individual, via Quercus, submit a PDF of your rough draft on Quercus (link: <https://q.utoronto.ca/courses/220076/assignments/618484>) by 11:59pm ET on Monday, June 7, 2021. (Peer reviews start on Tuesday June 8) This submission is only a pdf, it is recommended you generate it from an Rmd, but it is not a requirement for this part of the project.

At a minimum this Rough Draft must include:

- your title (this must be descriptive)
- At least 4 sentences introducing your Data.
- At least 2 sentences stating the research question/goal and relaying to the reader why it is interesting/useful (related to the data described above).
- At least 1 sentence describing the how you will use the methodologies in this class to answer your research question.
- Minimum 100 words (but it is recommended that you include more).
- All text is in full sentences.

You will be awarded 2% for completion points for this part of the final project, so long as your report meets the minimum requirements set above and is handed in on time. It is recommended that you also include the (partially completed) reference section here, but this is optional. You do not need to include your name in the pdf if you prefer to stay anonymous to your peers. The point of this is to get feedback on your work (and to make sure you have at least started thinking about this by June 7) so you are more than welcome to include other sections that you wish to get feedback on. If you do NOT submit a Rough Draft/Proposal, then you will not be able to participate in the peer review process, and thus this 5% of your final grade (2% proposal + 3% peer review) will go onto the Final Report.

There is no required format for the Rough Draft, other than what is stated above. Thus, you can just submit a pdf with a title and a paragraph (with at least 100 words addressing the points above) and you will receive the completion points for the Rough Draft. The requirements are quite minimal for this Rough Draft, we just want you to start thinking about this project as early as possible.

Further recommendations:

- do NOT include your name in the pdf (if you want to remain anonymous to your peers).
- Include more than 100 words to help yourself get started.
- Include the source of the data and the data collection process.
- Include inline citations.
- Include other sections that are partially completed/written up.
- Include graphs/figures and tables.
- Include bibliography.
- Include anything that you think might be helpful to receive feedback on! :)
- The pdf for the Rough Draft does not need to be generated from an Rmd file. So if you are running low on time, then just quickly write something in word, convert it to pdf and submit that.

Disclaimer: There will be no extensions granted for this Rough Draft submission since the following submission is dependent on this date.

Peer Review Due: Friday June 11 at 11:59pm ET

Your submission will consist of three components:

1. comments/feedback on first peer
2. comments/feedback on second peer
3. comments/feedback on third peer

Submission of Peer Reviews

As an individual, on June 8, you will randomly be assigned three rough drafts (submitted by other students) to provide feedback on. You have until June 11, 2021 11:59pm ET to provide feedback to your peers. If you provide feedback to one peer you will receive 1%, if you provide feedback to two peers you will receive 2% if you provide feedback to three (or more) peers you will receive the full 3%.

Providing feedback can be subjective, so we have established a set of minimum requirements (for each peer review - thus you'll need to do this three times to receive the full 3%):

- Your feedback must include at least 5 comments (meaningful/useful bullet points)
- One comment that states something you really liked about the Rough Draft.
- One comment on the readability of the writing (I.e. address any edits, grammar, typos, gaps in explanations, etc.)
- One comment on how interesting/compelling the writing and potential analysis is.

- One comment/suggestion of a foreseeable analysis, weakness, next step, data, reference, etc. (Just give them something useful to work off of.)
- One meaningful follow-up question you have for the writer.

Disclaimer: There will be no extensions granted for this submission since the following submission is dependent on this date.

Disclaimer: Please remember that you are providing feedback here. All comments should be professional and kind. It is challenging to receive criticism, and arguably more challenging to provide criticism, and even more challenging to give criticism strictly through text. Please remember that your goal here is to help your peers advance their writing/analysis. Any feedback that is inappropriate will receive a 0 on this section.

Final Submission Due: Friday June 18th at 11:59pm ET

Your submission will consist of two components:

1. .Rmd file
2. .pdf file

Submission

As an individual you will submit one .Rmd file that you created for this **project AND the resulting pdf** (i.e., the one you ‘Knit to PDF’ from your .Rmd file) must be uploaded into a Quercus assignment (link: <https://q.utoronto.ca/courses/220076/assignments/618483>) by 11:59PM ET, on Friday June 18th.

We will be directly marking on the LATEST submission of the .pdf (submitted on/before the due date/time). *If your LATEST submission does not contain a .pdf AND an .Rmd then you will receive a 0 on this Project.* There will be a short grace period instilled (~1 extra hour) to account for technical difficulties. Anything submitted after the grace period will not be accepted. **Late projects are NOT accepted.** Furthermore, all submissions must be made onto quercus. **Email submissions are NOT accepted.** Please consult the course syllabus for other inquiries regarding extensions.

Project grading

There are three parts to this project. You must complete all three parts to be considered for the full 30%. For instance, if you do NOT submit a Final Report you will not receive the completion points from the rough draft and peer review process.

As mentioned above, this project will be marked based on the output in the pdf submission. You must submit both the Rmd and pdf files for this project to receive full marks in terms of reproducibility. *If your LATEST submission does not include a pdf, then you will be awarded a 0 on the final project.* Furthermore, this is an individual project. You are expected to work individually. The workload level is higher than that of an assignment, since this is a project. Thus, it is recommended that you start early.

This project will be graded based off the rubric available on the Assignment Quercus page (link: <https://q.utoronto.ca/courses/220076/assignments/618483>). TAs will look over each section (on the submitted pdf) and select the appropriate grade for that section based off a coarse overview (one-time read over) of that section (of the pdf). Your project should be well understood to the *average university level student* after reading it once. I would suggest you make sure your (pdf) document looks clean, aesthetically pleasing, and has been proofread. Since this is a final project, the process to review your grade on this assessment is handled by the Department of Statistical Sciences. Thus, you will need to apply through a process (TBA

at a later date) to see the graded rubric and potentially inquire about a regrading. There may be some comments/feedback provided (by the TAs) if the same issue seems to be arising in multiple sections, but you will likely receive no comments/feedback (due to the scaling of the class and marking).

Description:

In this project you will write a report on a data analysis of observational data in which your main methodology will include at least one of the following:

- difference-in-differences
- propensity score matching
- regression discontinuity

Please keep in mind that this analysis is for our course. Thus the analysis should be to **answer a question about observational/survey data**.

There are three sources to finding data:

1. You can find some free, open observational data online. (*Note: Be sure you are familiar with the collection process!*)
2. You can create and implement a survey. (*Note: You will need to upload your csv in the submission*)
3. You can perform some data collection from Week 6 materials (i.e., webscraping, APIs, etc). (*Note: You must ensure that you are taking an ethical approach here.*)

Minimum Requirements:

- Sample size must be at least 50.
- Data must have at least 6 variables.
- Data collected from external sources must be cited, ethical, open and free.
- Data must be observational (i.e., no randomized experiments).
- Your submission must include a csv of your data (unless it can be pulled directly from an R package).

You will find some data, form an interesting question and answer the question through your analysis. Your question should be stated clearly so that the reader can quickly identify it in the introduction (and repeat it, maybe more formally, in the methods section).

The report will consist of 8 sections: Abstract, Introduction, Data, Methods, Results, Conclusions, Bibliography and Appendix.

There should be no evidence that this is a class project, I should be able to take a screenshot of this and paste it into a newspaper/blog. There should be no raw code. All output, tables, figures, etc. should be nicely formatted.

The material and text on this project should be different from that of previous assignments. Thus, you should NOT directly copy your previous assignment work. We highly encourage you use feedback from previous assignments to amend/proofread/update your Final Project. If your work is a direct copy of a previous submission or is a direct copy of another person's submission this is considered an academic offense.

Abstract

The goal of the abstract is to provide the reader with a summary of the report.

Your **Abstract** section should include the following:

- One or two sentences describing the introduction.
- One or two sentences describing the data.
- One or two sentences describing the methods.
- One or two sentences describing the results.
- One or two sentences describing the conclusions.

Introduction

The goal of the Introduction section is to introduce the overall “problem” to the reader.

Your **Introduction** section should include the following:

- Describe the data and the problem in 2-3 clear sentences.
- Should introduce the importance of the analysis.
- Get the reader interested/excited about analysis.
- Provide some background/context explaining the global relevance of the problem/data/analysis.
- Introduce terminology (both statistical and non-statistical) and prep the reader for the following sections.
- Introduce research question.
- Introduce hypotheses.

Data

The goal of the Data section is to introduce the reader to the data set, showcase some meaningful aspects of the data, and get them thinking about potential hypotheses/findings.

Your **Data** section should include the following:

- A description of the data collection process. (I would recommend giving this it’s own subsection).
- If you created and implemented a survey, you should “showcase” the survey here (i.e., include a link and summary of the main questions and how they contribute to the overall research goal/question).
- Any supplemental materials (e.g., a link/copy of your complete survey) should go into the Appendix.
- A summary of the cleaning process (if you cleaned the data).
- A description of the important variables.
- Some appropriate numerical summaries (at minimum center and spread, but something else may be more appropriate). If there are a lot, please put them in a well formatted and labelled table.
- At least 1 aesthetically pleasing plot/graph/figure (No more than 4 plots).
- Any additional plots that are supplemental should go into the Appendix.
- Text explaining/highlighting each table or figure.
- Some text (and perhaps graphical summaries) of the variables you will perform the bootstrap on (don’t do the bootstrap here - just prep the reader for what is coming in later sections). This should help prep the reader in understanding why the CI is important/interesting and whether it is appropriate.
- In line referencing/text if needed.
- Reference the programming language/software used to complete this section.

Methods

The goal of the Methods section is to introduce the reader to the statistical methods that you will be using to analyze the data.

Your **Methods** section should include the following:

- A complete explanation of what the methodology you are using entails.
- Explain any assumptions.
- An explanation of the parameters of interest.
- If you use any advanced methods (e.g., AIC, model validation, etc.) then please explain it here and use citations to support your explanations.
- Any rigorous mathematical computations should go into the Appendix.

Results

The goal of the Results section is to present the results of the statistical analyses to the reader.

Your **Results** section should include the following:

- The results of the methodologies included in the report.
- An explanation/interpretation of the results.
- Some commentary on whether or not the results seem reasonable.
- Text explaining/highlighting each table or figure.
- In line referencing
- In line R code to produce output in text (E.g. The mean is ``r mean(x)``).
- Any supplemental/additional figures/tables should go into the Appendix.

Conclusions

The goal of the Conclusions section is to present the story of your analysis to the reader.

Your **Conclusions** section should include the following:

- A brief recap of the hypotheses, methods, and results.
- State (or re-iterate) your key results.
- State any reasonable conclusions drawn from the results.
- An explanation/interpretation of the results.
- Some commentary on any drawbacks/limitations/weaknesses.
- Recommendations for Next Steps for future analyses/reports.

Bibliography

A well formatted bibliography, including references in a well formatted list. These should have been referred to in the text above.

Appendix

The goal of the appendix is to include any supplementary, non-primary information.

Your appendix should include:

- a well formatted glimpse/head of your data (or the main variables).
- a link to and copy of the complete (well-formatted) survey (if you created and implemented a survey for this report).

General Notes:

- If you are looking for free, open data (where the data collection process is tangible) I would recommend using some R packages data. Here is a list of R packages available: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/available_packages_by_name.html. Additionally, the Toronto Open Data portal also has some great observational data. Here is a tutorial on grabbing Toronto Open Data <https://awstringer1.github.io/sta238-book/section-short-tutorial-on-pulling-data-for-assignment-1.html#section-toronto-open-data-portal>.

- Additionally, if you prefer to use some other data available through a website (e.g., kaggle, github, etc.) that is also an option so long as the data is open, free, ethically viable for you to analyze and you are able to read up on the data collection process. If you are unsure about whether your data is appropriate please visit one of our office hours and we will be happy to discuss.
- You are allowed to change your data, methods, analyses, etc. from what was in your draft. We recommend using the feedback from the draft to edit your final report, but it is not mandatory that you stick to the original proposal.
- It is expected that you include at minimum the required methodology in your report, but you can include more. Be sure to source any external materials accordingly.
- All tables/figures should be well labelled and clean.
- Everything in this project should be written in full sentences/paragraphs.
- There should be no evidence that is a class project, I should be able to take a screenshot of this section and paste it into a newspaper/blog.
- There should be no raw code/output. Any output should be nicely formatted.
- You will also need a reference section. You should reference the data, any outside code/documentation and any ideas/concepts that are taken outside of the course.
- Note, we are not marking grammar, but we are looking for clarity. If you need help with writing there are resources posted on the Course Info>Resources page of Quercus. It is important that you communicate in a clear and professional manner. I.e., no slang or emojis should appear.
- Use full sentences.
- Be specific. Remember, you are selecting this data and the reader/marker may not be familiar with it. A good principle is to assume that your audience is not aware of the subject matter.
- Remember to end with a conclusion. This means reiterating the key points from your writing.