Notes: Complex boolean functions

Carolin Zöbelein*

July 17, 2020

DRAFT

Abstract

We examine the boolean functions `NEG`, `AND` and `OR` on the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ with boolean values $\{i, 1\}$ and the map between them and the classical definition with $\{0, 1\}$.

Keywords: XXX, YYY, ZZZ
2010 Mathematics Classification: XXX, YYY, ZZZ

Preamble

The following content is a sketch for discussion purposes only, without warranty for mathematical completeness.

1 Introduction

In this short notes, we will do an analysis of boolean functions on the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ for a specific defined case regarding the values *True* and *False*, based on the work of Ryan ODonnell [1], Zilong Wang and Guang Gong [2] and Zhiwei Zhang [3] [4].

2 Real and complex boolean functions

We examine the representation of the boolean functions `NEG`, `AND` and `OR` on the field of real numbers and on the field of complex numbers as well as the connections between this two definitions.

2.1 Boolean functions

Given are integer boolean values $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and complex values $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For the boolean cases `NEG`, `AND` and `OR` we will use the two complex boolean values $\{i, 1\}$.

We will denote the inverse boolean value of x by $\bar{x}$ respectively for z by $\bar{z}$. For the complex conjungate of z, we will write z^c.

In table 1, you can see the values for `NEG` of x and z, which gives us several statements.

*The author believes in the importance of the independence of research and is funded by the public community. If you also believe in this values, you can find ways for supporting the author's work here: `https://research.carolin-zoebelein.de/funding.html`, Email: `contact@carolin-zoebelein.de`, PGP: D4A7 35E8 D47F 801F 2CF6 2BA7 927A FD3C DE47 E13B, `https://research.carolin-zoebelein.de`

Table 1: NEG

x	$-x$	$\bar{x}$	z	$-z$	$\bar{z}$
0	0	1	i	-i	1
1	-1	0	1	- 1	i

Theorem 2.1 (NEG of x)**.** *The inverse boolean value of x, generated by NEG(x), is given by $\bar{x} = 1 - x$.*

Theorem 2.2 (NEG of z)**.** *The inverse boolean value of z, generated by NEG(z), is given by $\bar{z} = (1 + i) - z$ and by $\bar{z} = z^3 i$.*

For AND and OR we need an helper boolean function, called *generator*.

Theorem 2.3 (*Generator g* of (x_i, x_j))**.** *The generator g of (x_i, x_j), which maps $(0,0) \mapsto 1$, $(0,1) \mapsto 0$, $(1,0) \mapsto 0$ and $(1,1) \mapsto 0$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(x_i, x_j\right) &= (-2)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x_i x_j + \left(x_i + x_j\right) - 1\right) - x_i x_j\right) \\ &= x_i x_j - \left(x_i + x_j\right) + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Theorem 2.4 (*Generator g* of (z_i, z_j))**.** *The generator g of (z_i, z_j), which maps $(i,i) \mapsto i$, $(i,1) \mapsto 0$, $(1,i) \mapsto 0$ and $(1,1) \mapsto 0$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(z_i, z_j\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(z_i z_j + \left(z_i + z_j\right) - 1\right) - z_i z_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(-z_i z_j + \left(z_i + z_j\right) - 1\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In table 2, you can see the values for AND of (x_i, x_j) and (z_i, z_j).

Table 2: AND

x_i	x_j	$x_i x_j$	$x_i \wedge x_j$	z_i	z_j	$z_i z_j$	$z_i \wedge z_j$
0	0	0	0	i	i	-1	i
0	1	0	0	i	1	i	i
1	0	0	0	1	i	i	i
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Theorem 2.5 (AND of (x_i, x_j))**.** *The boolean value of $x_i \wedge x_j$, generated by AND(x_i, x_j), is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i \wedge x_j &= x_i x_j \\ &= g\left(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Theorem 2.6 (AND of (z_i, z_j))**.** *The boolean value of $z_i \wedge z_j$, generated by AND(z_i, z_j), is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} z_i \wedge z_j &= z_i z_j + (1 - i)\, g\left(z_i, z_j\right) \\ &= i - (1 + i)\, g\left(\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Table 3: OR

x_i	x_j	$x_i + x_j$	$x_i \vee x_j$	z_i	z_j	$z_i + z_j$	$z_i \vee z_j$
0	0	0	0	i	i	2i	i
0	1	1	1	i	1	1 + i	1
1	0	1	1	1	i	1 + i	1
1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1

Finally, in table 3, you can see the values for `OR` of (x_i, x_j) and (z_i, z_j).

Theorem 2.7 (`OR` of (x_i, x_j))**.** *The boolean value of $x_i \vee x_j$, generated by `OR`(x_i, x_j), is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i \vee x_j &= (x_i + x_j) - g(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j) \\ &= 1 - g(x_i, x_j). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Theorem 2.8 (`OR` of (z_i, z_j))**.** *The boolean value of $z_i \vee z_j$, generated by `OR`(z_i, z_j), is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} z_i \vee z_j &= (z_i + z_j) - i + (1 + i) g(\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j) \\ &= 1 + (1 + i) g(z_i, z_j). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proof of theorems 2.1 - 2.8. The correctness of the given statements can be easily checked with the help of the given tables 1, 2 and 3. □

2.2 Inter-field connections

We want to determine some useful inter-fiel connections between the boolean functions for x and the boolean functions for z. Since, we can also express `OR` with the help of `NEG` and `AND` by $y_i \vee y_j = \overline{\bar{y}_i \wedge \bar{y}_j}$, we will only consider `AND` connections.

At first we consider the connection between $x_i \wedge x_j$ and $z_i \wedge z_j$.

Theorem 2.9 (Inter-field $x_i \wedge x_j$ with $z_i \wedge z_j$ connection)**.** *The inter-field connection between $x_i \wedge x_j$ and $z_i \wedge z_j$ is given by*

$$(x_i \wedge x_j)(1 - i) = (z_i \wedge z_j) - i. \tag{7}$$

Next, we consider the connection between $\bar{x}_i \wedge \bar{x}_j$, $z_i \wedge z_j$ and $z_i z_j$.

Theorem 2.10 (Inter-field $\bar{x}_i \wedge \bar{x}_j$ with $z_i \wedge z_j$ and $z_i z_j$ connection)**.** *The inter-field connection between $\bar{x}_i \wedge \bar{x}_j$, $z_i \wedge z_j$ and $z_i z_j$ is given by*

$$(\bar{x}_i \wedge \bar{x}_j)(1 + i) = (z_i \wedge z_j) - z_i z_j. \tag{8}$$

Proof of theorem 2.9 and 2.10. The correctness of the given statements can be easily checked with the help of the given tables 1, 2 and 3. □

Finally, we consider the connection between $x_i \wedge x_j$ and $z_i z_j$.

Theorem 2.11 (Inter-field $x_i \wedge x_j$ with $z_i z_j$ connection)**.** *The inter-field connection between* $x_i \wedge x_j$ *and* $z_i z_j$ *is given by*

$$x_i \wedge x_j = \frac{1}{4}\left(z_i z_j + (z_i z_j)^2 + (z_i z_j)^3 + 1\right). \tag{9}$$

Proof of theorem 2.11. In table 4 you can see the powers of $z_i z_j$. One possibility to proof the statement is simply to check this for each possible value. Additionally, we can also interpret $z_i z_j$ as a 4'th root of unity ζ. For n'th roots of unity it is known that

$$1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 + \cdots + \zeta^{n-1} = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \zeta = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

This equals to a classical `AND` given by $4 \cdot (x_i \wedge x_j)$ with $\{0,1\}^2 \to \{0,4\}$ for $n = 4$. □

Table 4: Powers of $z_i z_j$

z_i	z_j	$z_i z_j$	$(z_i z_j)^2$	$(z_i z_j)^3$	$(z_i z_j)^4$
i	i	-1	1	-1	1
i	1	i	-1	-i	1
1	i	i	-1	-i	1
1	1	1	1	1	1

From the properties of 4'th roots of unity, we can follow

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_j x_j &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\prod_j z_j + \prod_j z_j^2 + \prod_j z_j^3 + 1\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\prod_j z_j^k. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

2.3 Basic sums of exponential function

For applications of our results from above we will use the complex exponential function $z(z') := \exp(z')$, $z' \in \mathbb{C}$. Our both cases of interest are given by $0 + i \cdot 1 = z(i(\pi/2 + 2\pi r))$ and $1 + i \cdot 0 = z(i(2\pi r))$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let's say, we want a $z(z')$ which gets 0 if an number y, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to a specific times table C, $C \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, with $y = Cs$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. One possibility to reach this aim is

$$z\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi\frac{y}{C}\right)\right) = \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi\frac{y}{C}\right)\right). \tag{12}$$

Now, we assume that $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ instead of $y \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, we can also reach this aim by

$$z\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + Cs - y\right)\right) = \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + Cs - y\right)\right). \tag{13}$$

Since $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $C \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can always be sure that $Cs - y \neq 2\pi r$, so this equation only gets 0 for $y = Cs$.

In the same way, we can do this for the case of getting 1 for $z(z')$ if a number y belongs to a specific times table C. In the case of $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have to take

$$z\left(i\left(2\pi\frac{y}{C}\right)\right)=\exp\left(i\left(2\pi\frac{y}{C}\right)\right). \tag{14}$$

If we move from $y \in \mathbb{R}$ to $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can write

$$z\left(i\left(2\pi+Cs-y\right)\right)=\exp\left(i\left(2\pi+Cs-y\right)\right). \tag{15}$$

Finally, the following expression will be useful in some situations, too.

$$\sum_{s=1}^{N}\exp\left(iCs\right)=\frac{\exp\left(iC\right)\left(-1+\exp\left(iCN\right)\right)}{-1+\exp\left(iC\right)} \tag{16}$$

3 Conclusion

We will see, that our results will be helpful in future works.

Acknowledgement

Thanks to the private donators who financially support this work.

References

[1] O'Donnell, Ryan: *Analysis of boolean functions.* Cambridge University Press, 2014

[2] Wang, Z. ; Gong, G.: Discrete Fourier Transform of Boolean Functions over the Complex Field and Its Applications. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 64 (2018), Nr. 4, S. 3000–3009

[3] Zhang, Zhiwei: *Solving Hybrid Boolean Constraints by Fourier Expansions and Continuous Optimization*, Rice University, Diss., 2020

[4] Kyrillidis, Anastasios ; Shrivastava, Anshumali ; Vardi, Moshe Y. ; Zhang, Zhiwei: FourierSAT: A Fourier Expansion-Based Algebraic Framework for Solving Hybrid Boolean Constraints. In: *AAAI*, 2020, S. 1552–1560