# $MoCA: Tool for \underline{M}ot if \underline{C}onservation\,\underline{A}nalysis$

Saket Choudhary, Anton Valouev {skchoudh, valouev}@usc.edu http://moca.usc.edu

# Introduction

- Motifs are Short DNA sequences that appear recurrently and often act as sequence specific binding sites for transcription factors
- Determining the quality of a reported ChIP-Seq motif is hard
- Motif analysis tools such as MEME[?] can often report 'false motifs' and still have significant p-value(or E-values)
- 'Distance from center' approach fails to identify new co-transcription factor motifs

## Materials and Methods

### Hypothesis

- 'True' transcription factor(TF) motifs have significant correlation between motif and conservation
- 'True' TF motifs have higher conservation scores at motif bases as compared to flanking bases

#### MoCA

- Any metric to assess the quality of motifs, should also rely on biological relevance besides the statistical analysis
- Since the motif acts as a specific binding sequence, it can be expected to be conserved evolutionarily
- MoCA makes use of the PhyloP and Gerp scores to assess the conservation profile
- Automated analysis of ENCODE datasets, with a RESTful api

# Results

Figure 1: MoCA Workflow

## Conclusions

- MoCA is a helpful tool for identifying 'true' motifs
- MoCA's RESTful api allows automated analysis of ENCODE ChIPseq datasets

## References