

HACETTEPE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF GEOMATICS ENGINEERING



GMT312 GLOBAL NAVIGATION SATELLITE SYSTEMS 2022-2023 Spring Semester Project

Due date

Tuesday, 15 June 2023, 11:59 PM

Lecturer: Dr. Metin Nohutcu

Teaching Assistances: Res. Asst. Müfide Er Elvanlı

Prepared by
Abdulsamet Toptas
21905024

First of all, I gathered the inputs in one place as directed by our teacher Metin, then I entered the inputs of my final project as inputs in the same order as in the project introduction (You can see in Figure 1).

Measurements	Only C1 (C/A) measurements of GPS satellites should be
	obtained from the related RINEX file.
Satellite positions	Final satellite positions should be calculated using precise
_	ephemeris file (SP3)
Satellite clock errors	Satellite clock errors should be corrected using precise
	ephemeris file (SP3)
Receiver position	Approximate receiver position should be taken from the header
	of the observation file whenever needed.
	Final coordinates (XYZ) of the receiver should be estimated (in
	meters)
Receiver clock error	It should be estimated as an unknown parameter (in seconds).
Ionospheric and	They should be computed with the functions provided in
Tropospheric delays	Assignment#3, ("Ion Klobuchar" and "trop SPP".)
Total Group Delay (TGD)	It should be obtained from navigation data.

```
last_project(C1,SP3,doy,trec,trecw,rec,alpha,beta,TGD)
```

Figure 1: Related Inputs

Note: To run my code, you must first "RUN" the "all_input" matlab file. Then, at the bottom of my code, "Command Window For Results;" You have to make the directive to the command window.

Then, two instructions appear before you. The first is the result without taking into account Ionosphere, Troposphere and Total Groud Delay, and the second is a result that includes these delays. For this, a loop was created as recommended by our teacher Metin and an output was obtained in both results. Also, the Ground Truth in the project directive was calculated.

For the Final Project, the part seen in Figure 2 was calculated in previous assignments. The reason I included this again in my final project is because more than one satellite was not included in the process before, but I included 10 satellites in the new epoch that I calculated in this project.

```
row len = size(SP3, 1);
 % Lists for Append
 satellites_position = [];
 state list = [];
for i=1:row len
     dt = Cl(i,:)/c; % dt is t reception - t emission - pc = P
     sat t emist = trec - dt; % time of signal transmission (satellite clock) trec = treception
     clk =SP3(:,:,i); % satellite clock errors in SP3 matrices
     clk(:,5) =clk(:,5)*10^-6; % 10^-6 convertion for satellite clock correction
     % \delta t sat - (Reception time and satellite clock corrections)
     sat clock correction = lagrange(sat t emist,clk(:, [1, 5]));
     % Satellite coordinates in ECEF at Reception Time
     [fpos] =sat_pos(trec, Cl(i,:), SP3(:,:,i), rec);
     satellites position(i,:)= fpos; % For All Satellites
     % last homework parameters for delays (atmos)
     [az, zen, slantd, IonD, TrD, TrW] = atmos(doy, trec, trecw, Cl(i,:), rec, SP3(:,:,i),alpha,beta);
```

Figure 2

Therefore, without recounting these parts, I would like to move on to the important part of our homework.

```
%initial receiver coordinates as zero
 X = 0;
Y = 0;
 z = 0;
while true % starting Least Squares Method.
      \ensuremath{\$} Geometric range linearization, reference slides10
     pj_0 = sqrt((sats_coor_list(:, 1) - X).^2+(sats_coor_list(:, 2) - Y).^2+((sats_coor_list(:, 3) - Z).^2));
      % reduced obserbation vector, reference slides10
     1 = Cl -pj_0-state_list ;
      % design matrix, reference slides10
     A=[(X -sats_coor_list(:, 1))./pj_0,(Y -sats_coor_list(:, 2))./pj_0,(Z -sats_coor_list(:, 3))./pj_0 ,ones(row_len,1)];
      % vector of unknown parameters, reference slides10
      transpose_X= A\1;
      % Vector of unkown parameters, corrections to approx receiver coor
      dx = transpose_X(1,1);
      dy = transpose_X(2,1);
      dz = transpose_X(3,1);
      % correction time, reference slides10
     \underline{T} delta = transpose X(4,1)/c;
      %(X=0, Y=0, Z=0) and break the iterations when the
      %differences in each component (dX, dY, dZ) is less than 1-mm.
     if abs(dx) < (10^{-3}) & & abs(dy) < (10^{-3}) & & abs(dz) < (10^{-3})
         % Current station coordinates
         X = X + dx;
         Y = Y + dy;
         Z = Z + dz;
 end
 % STATION COORDINATES IN ECEF
```

Figure 3

As seen in Figure 3, I wrote a while loop using the Least Square Method in the new part of my code.

Here, I first calculated the Geometric range linearization. Next, I created a reduced obserbation vector and design matrix. I transposed the vectors I created and added the corrected Delta values to my Mers Station coordinator.

In my output analysis, I saw that the ground_truth value was less when I included the delays, so my coordinates I obtained were more precise.

Outputs;

```
>> last_project(C1,SP3,doy,trec,trecw,rec,alpha,beta,TGD)
   1 is excluding delays and 2 is including delays: 1
  STATION COORDINATES IN ECEF
  ground truth =
             30.2256522552747
  ans =
             4239173.29966682
             2886976.73971199
             3778893.24457009
  >> last_project(C1,SP3,doy,trec,trecw,rec,alpha,beta,TGD)
   1 is excluding delays and 2 is including delays: 2
  STATION COORDINATES IN ECEF
  ground_truth =
             5.69506545323226
  ans =
             4239151.85859527
              2886969.5357325
             3778882.01502936
f_{\stackrel{\cdot}{\star}} >>
```