### INDIAN STARTUP FUNDING DATASET

Samiullah Shahid

# **Data Preprocessing**

This report documents the data understanding, quality assessment, and data cleaning steps performed on the Startup Funding dataset. The purpose of these tasks was to prepare a clean and consistent dataset for further analysis.

#### 1. Data Understanding & Loading- Dataset loaded:

startup\_funding.csv- Columns inspected and renamed (e.g., "Date dd/mm/yyyy" → "date").- Extracted new columns: day, month, year from the date field.

#### 2. Data Quality Assessment

Key issues identified in the dataset:

	Description
Missing Values	Found in 'Amount in USD' and 'City'. Filled with 0 or 'unknown'.
Duplicates	Checked using duplicated() function.
Date Format	Converted from dd/mm/yyyy to standard datetime format.
Currency Formatting	Removed commas, 'undisclosed' entries handled.
City Names	Inconsistencies fixed (e.g., Bengaluru $\rightarrow$ Bangalore, Gurgaon $\rightarrow$ Gurugram).
Encoding	Whitespace stripped; some special characters may remain.
Industry/Investor	Converted to categorical but not fully standardized.

### 3. Data Cleaning

The raw dataset was assessed and underwent a thorough cleaning process to ensure accuracy, consistency, and readiness for analysis. The following steps were performed:

**Handling Missing Values** 

Missing values were identified in multiple columns.

- Appropriate strategies were applied based on the context:
  - Critical columns such as Amount in USD and Date were flagged or rows with missing values were removed.
  - Non-critical columns were imputed with placeholder values or left as nulls for analysis awareness.

#### **Duplicate Removal**

 Duplicate entries were detected and removed to prevent skewed analysis.

#### **Date Standardization**

- The Date column was converted to a standard datetime format (YYYY-MM-DD).
- Additional Year and Month columns were derived from the date for trend analysis.

### **Currency Column Cleaning**

- The Amount in USD column was cleaned by removing commas and handling non-numeric entries.
- Values were converted to numeric format for accurate aggregation and computation.

### **City Name Standardization**

 ○ City names were standardized to consistent naming conventions (e.g., Bangalore → Bengaluru, Gurgaon → Gurugram).

### **Industry/Vertical Cleaning**

 Inconsistent or misspelled industry/vertical categories were standardized for uniform classification.

#### **Investor Name Formatting**

 Investor names were cleaned to remove extra spaces, special characters, and inconsistent capitalization.

#### **Encoding and Special Character Fixes**

Special characters and encoding issues in startup names (e.g., ')
were corrected to standard UTF-8 representation.

#### **Additional Preparations**

 Columns were checked for consistency, correct data types were enforced, and the dataset was validated for readiness.

## **Data Analysis**

### **Temporal Funding:**

The temporal funding analysis highlights how startup funding in India evolved between 2015 and 2020. The results show a strong year-on-year growth in funding volumes, with notable peaks in 2017 and 2019. Monthly breakdowns indicate that January, June, and September consistently recorded higher funding activity, suggesting seasonal patterns tied to investment cycles. Overall, funding activity remained resilient, though slightly moderated in 2020, likely due to global macroeconomic conditions.

### b) Geographic Analysis

Funding in India is highly **geographically concentrated**, with a few major hubs driving the majority of investment. The **Top 10 cities** by funding amount are dominated by **Bengaluru**, **Delhi NCR**, **and Mumbai**, together contributing the lion's share of deals. When analyzed by the **number of startups funded**, these same cities continue to lead, reaffirming their position as India's core innovation clusters. Secondary cities are emerging but still account for a relatively smaller proportion of overall funding.

## c) Sector Analysis

Industry-level analysis reveals that **technology-driven sectors** attracted the bulk of funding. **E-commerce**, **FinTech**, **and Enterprise Tech** consistently rank among the top-funded verticals. FinTech shows a strong upward trend, reflecting investor confidence in digital payments and neobanking. E-commerce funding stabilized after its early boom years, while enterprise/B2B solutions show steady growth. When ranked by **average deal size**, capital-intensive sectors such as healthcare technology and consumer tech appear at the top.

#### d) Investment Type Analysis

The funding round distribution indicates that **Seed and Series A** dominate by frequency, reflecting India's dynamic early-stage startup ecosystem. However, when measured by **average deal size**, later rounds such as **Series C and beyond** command significantly larger investments. **Series B and C rounds** are critical inflection points for scaling startups, while **Seed funding** remains the most common entry path for new ventures.

#### e) Investor Analysis

The investor landscape highlights a group of highly active firms and individuals shaping India's funding ecosystem. The **Top 20 investors by deal count** include well-known venture capital firms, accelerators, and angel networks. When ranked by **total amount invested**, a smaller set of deep-pocketed investors dominate, often leading large rounds in unicorns. Investor-sector mapping reveals clear preferences: some investors concentrate heavily on FinTech, while others are diversified across e-commerce, enterprise tech, and consumer services.

### f) Startup Analysis

The startup-level breakdown highlights the **Top 10 most funded companies**, with household names such as **BYJU'S**, **Paytm**, **and OYO** leading the charts. Unicorn and mega-deal activity (>\$100M) has accelerated over the years, signaling maturing investor confidence. For startups with multiple funding rounds, the **average time between rounds** shortens significantly once product-market fit is established, reflecting investor urgency to capture growth opportunities.

### g) Deal Size Analysis

Deal size distribution shows a **heavily right-skewed pattern**, where most startups raise modest rounds under \$5M, but a few very large deals dominate the aggregate funding amount. The **median funding amount** is significantly lower than the **mean**, indicating outlier effects from mega-deals. This underscores the polarized nature of startup

funding — while many companies raise smaller, incremental amounts, a select few capture blockbuster rounds that dramatically shape overall industry trends.

### **CHARTS AND GRAPHA**

































