

Conclusion 1:

The analysis of 1,000 sample crowdfunding projects revealed a significant correlation between the number of backers and the success of a project. Successful projects had a median of 201 backers, while failed projects had a median of 114.5 backers. Moreover, the mean number of backers for successful projects was 584.01, whereas for failed projects it was 851.15. This suggests that a higher level of backer engagement is associated with project success. Organizations aiming for successful crowdfunding campaigns should focus on building a strong and engaged backer community to increase their chances of meeting or exceeding their funding goals.

Conclusion 2:

The analysis also highlighted a noteworthy trend related to project goals. Projects with funding goals ranging from \$15,000 to \$35,000 exhibited a closer-to-100% success rate. However, it's important to approach this trend with caution. While a few projects (7 projects) within these goal ranges achieved a 100% success rate, the sample size was relatively small (2 out of 305 projects). As such, while this provides a positive indication, it is not sufficient to conclude that goals within the ranges of \$20,000 to \$25,000 or \$30,000 to \$35,000 will guarantee a 100% success rate. Analysts should consider other factors and conduct further research before making definitive claims about success rates within these specific goal ranges.

Conclusion 3:

This analysis underscores the complexity of achieving success on crowdfunding platforms. While certain factors, such as backer engagement and

goal ranges, appear to play a role in project success, there are no absolute guarantees. Crowdfunding success depends on a combination of variables, including effective marketing, clear project communication, and target audience alignment. The observed trends provide valuable insights and directions for organizations seeking crowdfunding success, but it's crucial to manage expectations and recognize that individual project circumstances can significantly influence outcomes. Careful planning, strategic execution, and adaptability remain essential elements for any project's journey toward achieving its crowdfunding goals.

Conclusion 4:

The "Theater" category demonstrates a remarkable level of backer engagement and diverse funding goals. With a median of 132 backers per project and a high mean of 187 backers, this category attracts significant support. Projects within "Theater" have varying funding goals, ranging from 2 to an impressive 344. The median goal met is 23, while the mean goal met is higher at 132, suggesting a range of successful outcomes. The variance of 344 indicates substantial variability, pointing to a mix of highly successful and less successful projects. In summary, the "Theater" category showcases strong potential and a wide spectrum of performance levels, warranting further exploration into factors driving its success.

Suggestions for Missing Tables and Graphs

Project Goal vs. Median Backers: Create a scatter plot with project goal amounts on one axis and median number of backers on the other to explore how funding goals relate to backer engagement.

Social Media Engagement vs. Success: If available, create a table or graph showing the relationship between social media engagement metrics (shares, likes, comments) and project success.

Project Update Frequency vs. Success: Investigate whether the frequency of project updates correlates with success rates, utilizing a scatter plot with update frequency on one axis and success rate on the other.

Time Trend Analysis: Create a line graph illustrating the success rates over time to identify any temporal patterns or trends in crowdfunding success.

Limitations of the Dataset:

Sample Size: The dataset includes only 1,000 sample projects, which may not fully represent the diversity and complexity of all crowdfunding projects on platforms like Kickstarter and Indiegogo.

Time Period: The dataset's time frame is not specified, which could lead to potential bias due to changes in crowdfunding trends, platform policies, and economic conditions over time.

Geographical Bias: The dataset might be skewed towards specific regions or countries, potentially limiting the generalizability of findings to a global context.

Project Categories: The analysis doesn't account for variations in project categories or industries, which could influence backer engagement and success rates differently across different sectors.