s) IRS (coalescence Rule for FDs and MVDs)

of X >>> Y and FW with the properties that

a) WNY is empty b) W>Z and c) YZZ then Example complete set of dependencies (FDs or MVDs), ie Ft Let R(A, B, C, D) be the relation schema with F = {A ->> B, B(-> D) }. Test whether H is in 4NF en not. 9% not decompose R into ANF. FT= {A >>B, BC>D, A ->> CD3 Primary key = ABC as (ABC) = ABCD A ->> B 14 romatrivial of AUB & R and A 11 not a superky. So, R 11 not in 4NF. so, we decompose R into the pollowing sub-schemes: R(A,B,C,D)R-B Z= (R-(AUB)) = (C,D) A->> B R2 (A, C, D) R1 (A, B) $F = \{A \rightarrow \Rightarrow CD\}$ F= {A >> B }

RuleIR4:97 X ->> Y holds in R, so does X ->> 7 where $z = (R - (X \cup Y))$

Now, RI and RZ are 4NF normalized as It each case A is the superkey Both the mud's are trivial in KI and R2.

(6)

KINDE WALLEN

LONG THE AUTHOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT