

436	71408	0	1492914099587	4/22/17 10:21 PM	4/23/17 12:21 AM	I'm not sure I understand the Tweet either. I think his notion that will cause a stir in the overall liberal New York Times readership.
437	71409	1	1492914112000	4/22/17 10:21 PM	4/23/17 12:21 AM	Yup. Same same.
438	71410	0	1492914152167	4/22/17 10:22 PM	4/23/17 12:22 AM	And funny, had exactly the same thought about that part of the article, it seems like somebody had to put that in there. I'm not sure the reason why.
439	71411	1	1492914206000	4/22/17 10:23 PM	4/23/17 12:23 AM	Of course you did. ☺
440	71524	0	1493153319806	4/25/17 4:48 PM	4/25/17 8:48 PM	Is the source available for recontact?
441	71602	1	1493213557000	4/26/17 9:32 AM	4/26/17 1:32 PM	Np. Was just calling to say that we're going to hold on opening that one case for a couple of days while Baker talks to doj. Will explain more later, goi
442	71603	1	1493213557000	4/26/17 9:32 AM	4/26/17 1:32 PM	ng to tell Sally now.
443	71692	1	1493473021000	4/29/17 9:37 AM	4/29/17 1:37 PM	That's crazy. Sorry to not respond until now. My phone was all jacked up while over in London. Got back yesterday evening
444	71737	0	1493758838493	5/2/17 5:00 PM	5/2/17 9:00 PM	Hey turn on CNN re Yates
445	71970	0	1494370184035	5/9/17 6:49 PM	5/9/17 10:49 PM	CNN had coverage /advance notice of what they claimed was the Trump security guy dropping off firing letter at fbihq earlier this afternoon.
446	72025	0	1494439199582	5/10/17 1:59 PM	5/10/17 5:59 PM	Have to talk to Kortan on mother f*cking Peter Huckabee said D had "lost confidence of rank and file of fbi!"
447	72060	0	1494521792529	5/11/17 12:56 PM	5/11/17 4:56 PM	Hey assume you're getting dump. Meeting with DAG was about coordinating investigation.
448	72063	0	1494526253660	5/11/17 2:10 PM	5/11/17 6:10 PM	WH press conference is interesting. Trump told Lester Holt about dinner, all kinds of Qs about those discussions.
449	72075	1	1494553887000	5/11/17 9:51 PM	5/12/17 1:51 AM	NYTimes: Andrew McCabe Is Known at F.B.I. for His Precision and Intellect Andrew McCabe Is Known at F.B.I. for His Precision and Intellect https://nyti.m/s/2q7iUTM
450	72076	1	1494553888000	5/11/17 9:51 PM	5/12/17 1:51 AM	I feel that same loss. I want to see what the FBI could become under him! His vision of greatness for our strong but flawed organization. I'm angry. Ang
451	72138	1	1494759945000	5/14/17 7:05 AM	5/14/17 11:05 AM	Yeah I kept telling myself the organization is much bigger and stronger than any one person, that we'll endure.
452	72139	1	1494759945000	5/14/17 7:05 AM	5/14/17 11:05 AM	And WP push reporting T disclosed highly classified foreign govt info to Russians last week re CT threat...
453	72140	0	1494760024603	5/14/17 7:07 AM	5/14/17 11:07 AM	Call me about wind please
454	72184	0	1494882826250	5/15/17 5:13 PM	5/15/17 9:13 PM	Nyt push
455	72197	0	1494967456934	5/16/17 4:44 PM	5/16/17 8:44 PM	Olaty is making some minor changes to package, will have to 7 floor as soon as it gets here, paper copy only. On docket for Monday. All greased and ready once signed.
456	72198	0	1494970037639	5/16/17 5:27 PM	5/16/17 9:27 PM	Rgr thanks
457	72199	1	1494973121000	5/16/17 6:18 PM	5/16/17 10:18 PM	My interview partner. Who I need to tell nothing is set and we're not going first thing. Because [REDACTED] doesn't relay sh*ts.
458	72200	1	1494973122000	5/16/17 6:18 PM	5/16/17 10:18 PM	Yeah, I get it. And Andy could certainly write it but I took notes.
459	72201	0	1494973172272	5/16/17 6:19 PM	5/16/17 10:19 PM	So call her tonight and ask. It didn't come up directly. It was very frenetic.
460	72225	0	1494986499030	5/16/17 10:01 PM	5/17/17 12:01 AM	I'd rather you write it. We also need class review. Chaffetz has asked for all writeups
461	72226	1	1494986511000	5/16/17 10:01 PM	5/17/17 12:01 AM	Actually you need to write it. Andy's a witness, you're not.
462	72227	1	1494986536000	5/16/17 10:02 PM	5/17/17 12:02 AM	He's either a dead man walking and/or a hero. Doing so only helps him, doesn't hurt him.
463	72228	0	1494986544710	5/16/17 10:02 PM	5/17/17 2:02 AM	History is watching. He needs to. To redeem his soul, first and foremost.
464	72234	0	1494986755730	5/16/17 10:05 PM	5/17/17 2:05 AM	
465	72240	0	149498773927	5/16/17 10:22 PM	5/17/17 2:22 AM	
466	72241	0	1494987807426	5/16/17 10:23 PM	5/17/17 2:23 AM	

467	72277	0	14950188696868	5/17/17 7:01 AM	5/17/17 11:01 AM	Ooh. Lots on npr
468	72278	1	1495019848000	5/17/17 7:17 AM	5/17/17 11:17 AM	I want to go thru some of the arguments on lawfare to chronicle. Will call when I get in car. About 30.
469	72336	1	1495104765000	5/18/17 6:52 AM	5/18/17 10:52 AM	I agree. Can you get them out today? And god, EVERYTHING just got so much better on that case..
470	72337	1	1495106587000	5/18/17 7:23 AM	5/18/17 11:23 AM	I get it, but they're not being unreasonable. And yes, we will bring him here. It's easy to monday morning quarterback Pete. You tell me that in the face
471	72338	1	1495106587000	5/18/17 7:23 AM	5/18/17 11:23 AM	of two seemingly earnest senators, you'd adamantly hold your absolutely no, no way.
472	72351	0	1495150875122	5/18/17 7:41 PM	5/18/17 11:41 PM	Oh god Susan Collis comments on npr....
473	72371	0	1495154172161	5/18/17 8:36 PM	5/19/17 12:36 AM	For me, and this case, I personally have a sense of unfinished business. I unleashed it with MYE. Now i need to fix it and finish it
474	72372	1	1495154242000	5/18/17 8:37 PM	5/19/17 12:37 AM	What does that even mean, scaling up?
475	72373	1	1495154270000	5/18/17 8:37 PM	5/19/17 12:37 AM	You shouldn't take this on. I promise you, I would tell you if you should.
476	72374	0	1495154384213	5/18/17 8:39 PM	5/19/17 12:39 AM	Why not, re me?
477	72375	0	1495154446794	5/18/17 8:40 PM	5/19/17 12:40 AM	Who gives a f*ck, one more AD like Scott or Steve or Carlos or Dave or Tim or whoever. An investigation leading to impeachment?
478	72423	1	1495237300000	5/19/17 7:41 PM	5/19/17 11:41 PM	Will explain why later. He thinks this isn't really a CI case, should be approached from a PC perspective.
479	72476	0	1495392318722	5/21/17 2:45 PM	5/21/17 6:45 PM	Yep let's see. I can envision the end state following the meeting, but let things run their course. One thing I (we) know is Bill will not buck Carl.
480	72511	1	1495584574000	5/23/17 8:09 PM	5/24/17 12:09 AM	They are working very long hours already. And every weekend. Not bob, of course, but everyone else.
481	72512	0	1495584639360	5/23/17 8:10 PM	5/24/17 12:10 AM	Sigh. That's what I was afraid of. Are you locked in with Baker if something happens to Andy?
482	72513	1	1495585111000	5/23/17 8:18 PM	5/24/17 12:18 AM	So long as baker stays, yes.
483	72574	0	1496100022580	5/29/17 7:20 PM	5/29/17 11:20 PM	NYTimes: A Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? <a href="https://nyti.ms/2scCZ7a">https://nyti.ms/2scCZ7a</a>
484	72688	0	1496362301355	6/1/17 8:11 PM	6/2/17 12:11 AM	Ha. [redacted] just called (but had to answer another call), said he had talked to you on one of the issues...not sure what but will call back.
485	72689	1	1496362580000	6/1/17 8:16 PM	6/2/17 12:16 AM	Re the mtg tomorrow. Not clear now which subj (which is his Question I am guessing) but either way usa wants to attend which is an issue.
486	72690	1	1496362606000	6/1/17 8:16 PM	6/2/17 12:16 AM	le, wind vs. Razor
487	72691	0	1496362655045	6/1/17 8:17 PM	6/2/17 12:17 AM	Hmm. I heard wind. What did you hear?
488	72692	0	1496362669166	6/1/17 8:17 PM	6/2/17 12:17 AM	And from who? Mine came from [redacted]
489	72693	1	1496362692000	6/1/17 8:18 PM	6/2/17 12:18 AM	Wait. forget the codename.
490	72694	1	1496362707000	6/1/17 8:18 PM	6/2/17 12:18 AM	Oh yes. Wind
491	72695	1	1496362719000	6/1/17 8:18 PM	6/2/17 12:18 AM	He thought maybe someone else
492	72696	0	1496362844482	6/1/17 8:20 PM	6/2/17 12:20 AM	Who's saying USAO wants to attend?
493	72697	1	1496362887000	6/1/17 8:21 PM	6/2/17 12:21 AM	[redacted] said [redacted] called her said fbi crim invited them.
494	72836	1	1496449424000	6/2/17 8:23 PM	6/3/17 12:23 AM	It's just hard to be untethered to the Bureau and Andy right now. It's been bad enough without Comey, this just feels like another loss.
495	72911	0	1496531222219	6/3/17 7:07 PM	6/3/17 11:07 PM	Subj in custody, btw. First ML arrest of the Trump era
496	72933	1	1496539527000	6/3/17 9:25 PM	6/4/17 1:25 AM	just based on Mueller's convo with him - he just didn't understand what the [redacted] was al
497	72955	0	1496540995457	6/3/17 9:49 PM	6/4/17 1:49 AM	[redacted] You're going to be ok. :)

498	73039	1	1496689239000	6/5/17 3:00 PM	6/5/17 7:00 PM	Let me talk to SC about the memos. I just spoke to Rybicki about them and where things stand.
499	73040	0	1496689297578	6/5/17 3:01 PM	6/5/17 7:01 PM	I just talked to Aaron. He said memos are still not decided, in other words, they're not going to block testimony, but the memos are still up in the air
500	73196	0	1496789251090	6/6/17 6:47 PM	6/6/17 10:47 PM	I think Papa and London and Stone and Wiki and CI confluence is smack square in your wheelhouse.
501	73228	1	1496832264000	6/7/17 6:44 AM	6/7/17 10:44 AM	And jesus, did you see that the networks are carrying Comey's testimony?
502	73238	0	1496832924340	6/7/17 6:55 AM	6/7/17 10:55 AM	Interrupting regular tv to broadcast...
503	73239	1	1496832983000	6/7/17 6:56 AM	6/7/17 10:56 AM	And i've gotta say I'm a little bummed about Dir C testimony. Is what it is.
				[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yup, it's fine. [REDACTED] would like you and [REDACTED] to explore supplementing Andy's testimony along the lines we discussed on that one answer
504	73265	0	1496856670939	6/7/17 1:31 PM	6/7/17 5:31 PM	Good for Comey.
505	73349	1	1496937950000	6/8/17 12:05 PM	6/8/17 4:05 PM	Bad for Loretta Lynch.
506	73350	1	1496938906000	6/8/17 12:21 PM	6/8/17 4:21 PM	Bad for Sessions.
507	73351	1	1496938914000	6/8/17 12:21 PM	6/8/17 4:21 PM	Mixed for Trump.
508	73352	1	1496938955000	6/8/17 12:22 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	Great. Joe just called me to say that Rachel Maddow just listed by name each of the people the Director listed as having discussed the matter with, but she that I was the only one they hadn't identify
509	73370	1	1496973411000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	[REDACTED]
510	73371	1	1496973412000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	And we do need to discuss your role in obstruction aspect in light of being a witness. Not sure if I'm overthinking that.
511	73372	1	1496973412000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	I don't think I know a lot of enemies/crazies. Well, there is one.
512	73373	1	1496973412000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 2:02 AM	I don't like not including that one person. He is NOT recused, Bill should not be making that call for him. He can make that decision himself. H
513	73379	0	1496973731850	6/8/17 10:02 PM	6/9/17 2:04 AM	Finally, I don't think I had a funny relationship with me. There's a big CNN graphic on the SC teams political donations...
514	73380	1	1496973887000	6/8/17 10:04 PM	6/9/17 3:53 PM	Thanks. Saw your politico article too. God help me I don't think I can take much more of this.
515	73448	1	1497109998000	6/10/17 11:53 AM	6/10/17 11:23 AM	Or because of the nyt story saying that SC seems to be pursuing potus in light of these interviews.
516	73449	1	1497109999000	6/10/17 11:53 AM	6/10/17 3:53 PM	e always takes a conservative approach and can be trusted to do the right thing.
517	73623	0	1497353038055	6/13/17 7:23 AM	6/13/17 11:23 AM	Damn. She had a Russian influence team.
518	73722	1	1497487439000	6/14/17 8:43 PM	6/15/17 12:43 AM	SC teams political donations...
519	73728	1	1497526529000	6/15/17 7:35 AM	6/15/17 11:35 AM	Thanks. Saw your politico article too. God help me I don't think I can take much more of this.
520	73744	0	1497556004836	6/15/17 3:46 PM	6/15/17 7:46 PM	WP picked your name up in an online blog, citing Wired. "Right Turn," 9:15 today
521	73745	0	1497556092815	6/15/17 3:48 PM	6/15/17 7:48 PM	And you're on Wikipedia.
522	73796	0	1497610054354	6/16/17 6:47 AM	6/16/17 10:47 AM	I don't know how you correct it - realistically you probably don't. And it would probably be a good thing to get some long time Russian OC folks on board.
523	73798	0	1497610143816	6/16/17 6:49 AM	6/16/17 10:49 AM	You saw you're in the print nyt, right?
524	73799	0	1497610168004	6/16/17 6:49 AM	6/16/17 10:49 AM	I was talking prosecutors, but maybe. He's ny. Can he be trusted?
525	73916	1	1497805918000	6/18/17 1:11 PM	6/18/17 5:11 PM	I must have really freaked him out on Friday when I told him I wanted to join the Russian influence team.
526	73919	0	149780737691	6/18/17 1:36 PM	6/18/17 5:36 PM	Wonder what changed. I worry it's the FOIA yuck, with a realization there will be a hundred things like that he doesn't want.
527	74087	1	1497927847000	6/19/17 11:04 PM	6/20/17 3:04 AM	Make sure you don't tell her anything about whether the press accounts are accurate. Nothing. Just say you don't know what I did at DOJ.

528	74111	1	1497970125000	6/20/17 10:48 AM	6/20/17 2:48 PM	If I am going to call, I think it makes sense to do while you are still there. █
529	74142	0	1497983692441	6/20/17 2:34 PM	6/20/17 6:34 PM	Thoughts? Also, I will do it on a SC phone, just so no number comes up █ That, Lisa, is entirely 100% your business. You don't need me to tell you that - I'm saying that so you know I understand.
530	74143	0	1497987778546	6/20/17 3:42 PM	6/20/17 7:42 PM	Shockingly, █ is pushing me to go to OSC. How do you feel about that? How would J?
531	74144	1	1497987985000	6/20/17 3:46 PM	6/20/17 7:46 PM	I don't prefer that, but I really really just want this all to be over so I don't care.
532	74145	1	1497988012000	6/20/17 3:46 PM	6/20/17 7:46 PM	I'm sure █ wouldn't be thrilled, but I presume we'll manage.
533	74196	1	1498005328000	6/20/17 8:35 PM	6/21/17 12:35 AM	Just say, I'm not really needed on SC, I am needed at HQ.
534	74262	1	1498135633000	6/22/17 8:48 AM	6/22/17 12:48 PM	I'm thinking I might leave SC. Maybe hold to say something.

# Strzok Text Messages

Date UTC	Internal Phone Number	Type of Message	From (Formatted)	To (Formatted)	Body	Type	Attachment Recipients JSON
1 2016-02-13 03:27:04, Sat	[REDACTED]	INBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	I'm no prude, but I'm really appalled by this. So you don't have to go looking (in case you hadn't heard), Trump called him the p-word. The man has no dignity or class. He simply can not be president. \n\nWith a slur for Ted Cruz, Donald Trump Further Splits Voters	sms	"first", Name:"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
2 2016-02-26 11:26:00, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	There won't be. Bill has MYE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time. \n\nhopefully I can drive you to E at lunch because I think that may be the only time I can see or talk to you today. \u00001f615	sms	"first", Name:"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
3 2016-02-26 11:26:04, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	There won't be. Bill has MYE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time. \n\nhopefully I can drive you to E at lunch because I think that may be the only time I can see or talk to you today. \u00001f615	sms	"first", Name:"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
4 2016-03-04 02:10:51, Fri	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	God trump is a loathsome human.	sms	"first", Name:"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
5 2016-03-04 02:12:44, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] Would he be a worse president than cruz?	sms	"first", Name:"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
6 2016-03-04 02:13:14, Fri	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] Trump? Yes, I think so.	sms	"first", Name:"Lisa","lastName":"Page"

7	2016-03-04 02:34:59, Fri	INBOX	Lisa.Page	[REDACTED]	Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck earlier? This man can not be president.	sns	[REDACTED] Name:"Lisa" "lastName":"Page"
8	2016-03-12 21:05:07, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page	[REDACTED]	sns	[REDACTED] Name:"Lisa" "lastName":"Page"
9	2016-03-12 21:05:12, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page	That Texas article is depressing as hell. But answers how we could end up with President trump	sns	[REDACTED] Name:"Lisa" "lastName":"Page"
10	2016-03-12 21:07:55, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page	[REDACTED]	sns	[REDACTED] Name:"Lisa" "lastName":"Page"
11	2016-03-12 21:21:00, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page	\U0001f621\nTrump Clarifies, and it\U0019s Worse - NYTimes.com\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opinion/trump-clarifies-and-its-worse.html?_r=0	sns	[REDACTED] Name:"Lisa" "lastName":"Page"
12	2016-03-12 21:21:04, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Lisa.Page	\U0001f621\nTrump Clarifies, and it\U0019s Worse - NYTimes.com\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opinion/trump-clarifies-and-its-worse.html?_r=0	sns	[REDACTED] Name:"Lisa" "lastName":"Page"

13 2016-03-16 04:11:54, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page	I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious candidate for president.	sms		
14 2016-03-29 02:41:15, Tues	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] As expected [REDACTED] couldn't hear Andy or Jim and wasn't clear that we felt we must interview on sort and get on laptops.	sms		
15 2016-03-29 02:41:36, Tues	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] isa.Page [REDACTED] As expected [REDACTED] couldn't hear Andy or Jim and wasn't clear that we felt we must interview on sort and get on laptops.	sms		
16 2016-03-29 02:44:16, Tues	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] isa.Page [REDACTED] Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.	sms		
17 2016-03-29 02:44:21, Tues	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] isa.Page [REDACTED] Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.	sms		
18 2016-04-20 12:53:08, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page	Hey check your vm before you talk to your MVE team. Jim spoke to both this am, nifi.	sms		

19 2016-04-27 22:55:42, Wed	[REDACTED] INBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	He was. Kortan majorly screwed up.	sms
20 2016-04-27 22:56:19, Wed	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	c [REDACTED]	Nothing related to us [mye or cd]? Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	sms
21 2016-04-29 20:30:36, Fri	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	c [REDACTED]	Hey also, mye brief to Bowditch on 5/10. Rainier's on the invite (just the four of us). Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	sms
22 2016-04-29 21:02:15, Fri	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	c [REDACTED]	Hey also, mye brief to Bowditch on 5/10. Rainier's on the invite (just the four of us). Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	sms
23 2016-05-04 00:41:56, Wed	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	c [REDACTED]	Now the pressure really starts to finish MYE.... Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	sms
24 2016-05-04 00:42:56, Wed	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Moffe [REDACTED] nathan. [REDACTED]	Cruz dropped out. Now the pressure to finish MYE really starts. Moffe [REDACTED]	sms

25	2016-05-05 20:27:28, Thu	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] c	Do you have 5 secs for a call on MYE?
				sms
26	2016-05-05 20:52:12, Thu	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] c	Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of [REDACTED] - CNN breaking fbi has interviewed aides quietly at fbi building & specifically Huma
				sms
27	2016-05-05 20:52:27, Thu	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] c	Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of [REDACTED] - CNN breaking fbi has interviewed aides quietly at fbi building & specifically Huma
				sms
28	2016-05-06 00:37:48, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] c	Oh. Called Richard, Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.
				sms
29	2016-05-06 00:37:48, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] c	Oh. Called Richard, Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.
				sms
30	2016-05-06 00:38:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page [REDACTED] c	Oh. Called Richard, Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.
				sms

31	2016-05-06 00:38:09, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page
			[REDACTED] c	isa.Page Oh, called Richard, Huma'satty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.
			[REDACTED]	sms
32	2016-05-06 00:41:00, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
			[REDACTED] c	Lisa.Page Yeah, Wonder if they're raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp
			[REDACTED]	sms
33	2016-05-06 00:41:30, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
			[REDACTED] c	Lisa.Page Yeah, Wonder if they're raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp
			[REDACTED]	sms
34	2016-05-06 00:42:14, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
			[REDACTED] c	Lisa.Page Yeah, Wonder if they're raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp
			[REDACTED]	sms
35	2016-05-06 00:42:36, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] i	first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page
			[REDACTED]	Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the fbi, that's for sure
			[REDACTED]	sms

37	2016-05-06 00:42:36, Fri	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page@ic.fbi.gov		Name="" Lisa "" lastName="" "Page"
38	2016-05-06 01:15:10, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] isa.Page c	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language.  \n\Going to go look for your email now	Name="" Lisa "" lastName="" "Page"
39	2016-05-06 01:15:10, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] isa.Page c	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language.  \n\Going to go look for your email now	Name="" Lisa "" lastName="" "Page"
40	2016-05-06 01:17:07, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] isa.Page c	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language.  \n\Going to go look for your email now	Name="" Lisa "" lastName="" "Page"
41	2016-05-06 01:17:07, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] isa.Page c	Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door.	Name="" Lisa "" lastName="" "Page"
42	2016-05-06 01:18:29, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] isa.Page c		

43	2016-05-06 01:18:29, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page C	first  Name"" Lisa" "lastName" "Page  Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door.
44	2016-05-06 01:19:31, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page C	first  Name"" Lisa" "lastName" "Page  Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door.
45	2016-05-06 01:19:31, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page C	first  Name"" Lisa" "lastName" "Page  Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door.
46	2016-05-06 01:19:32, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page C	first  Name"" Lisa" "lastName" "Page  Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth
47	2016-05-06 01:19:32, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page C	first  Name"" Lisa" "lastName" "Page  Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth
48	2016-05-06 01:24:19, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page C	first  Name"" Lisa" "lastName" "Page  Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth

49	2016-05-06 01:24:19, Fri	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"		
50	2016-05-09 10:41:42, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	"First"	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	
51	2016-05-13 10:43:59, Fri	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	sms
52	2016-05-13 10:45:43, Fri	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	sms
53	2016-05-15 23:00:35, Su	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	sms
54	2016-05-15 23:40:39, Su	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	Name::"Lisa" "lastName"::"Page"	sms

55	2016-05-16 01:06:06, Md+	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c	Sigh. I know. I wish I could gift you a week somehow. \n\nTalked to Bill for about an hour. He mentioned email, said he would send (though he hasn't yet). He had a slightly different take than I did but we'll get there. His primary concern is asking DoJ in such a way that they respond straight to the D (which I can see happening) without us getting a chance to review for accuracy/ spin.	first Name:""Lisa"" "lastName":"Page" sms
					Sigh. I know. I wish I could gift you a week somehow. \n\nTalked to Bill for about an hour. He mentioned email, said he would send (though he hasn't yet). He had a slightly different take than I did but we'll get there. His primary concern is asking DoJ in such a way that they respond straight to the D (which I can see happening) without us getting a chance to review for accuracy/ spin.	first Name:""Lisa"" "lastName":"Page" sms
					If there are so few that someone like [REDACTED] would literally be able to recall them then you have much bigger problems.	first Name:""Lisa"" "lastName":"Page" sms
56	2016-05-16 01:07:16, Md+	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c	We had a list of those cases in which we authorized RICO. I'm not sure why they wouldn't, but if they don't, they're about to.	first Name:""Lisa"" "lastName":"Page" sms
57	2016-05-16 01:11:14, Md+	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page i	Description might be hard. But I guess we'll see.	first Name:""Lisa"" "lastName":"Page" sms
58	2016-05-16 01:11:47, Md+	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page i	The fbi can come up with description	first Name:""Lisa"" "lastName":"Page" sms
59	2016-05-16 01:12:10, Md+	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page i		
60	2016-05-16 01:12:48, Md+	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c		

61	2016-05-16 01:13:21, Mon	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		I'd like to make a suggestion though. I DO NOT think you should ask richard. I think Bill should ask George. Richards is just going to bitch and moan. George sees the d three times a week. He is not going to say no.				
62	2016-05-16 01:16:12, Mon	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		Pete. I'm trying to save you some crap from them. Don't get your back up about it. When they ask you, you talk about it. What's the big deal? All they are going to do when you tell them is wait to hear from on high. So all I'm saying is start there.				
63	2016-05-17 00:50:44, Tue	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
64	2016-05-17 01:04:55, Tue	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
65	2016-05-17 01:27:44, Tue	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
66	2016-05-17 10:17:23, Tue	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

67	2016-05-17 10:17:30, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Sorry. Truly. \nIm trying to figure this out. I know it's not your job - I need DoJ and NSLB to get me an answer, because I'm briefing everyone there are different options available. And the 28 CFR guidance seems problematic from a warrant perspective.	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	28 CFR is not just policy. But I think there's a path through it as you noted.\n\nSorry about your alarm. I set mine an hour early to draft the comments to [REDACTED] and punched the damn thing seventeen times. \n\nAnd happy anniversary. \U0001f61d	sms
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	28 CFR is not just policy. But I think there's a path through it as you noted.\n\nSorry about your alarm. I set mine an hour early to draft the comments to [REDACTED] and punched the damn thing seventeen times. \n\nAnd happy anniversary. \U0001f61d	sms
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yes, this is true. But let's be honest, it's not like they've pointed to the dft as the reason. So let them come up with something.	sms
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting, they will, and we need to have a response lined up.\n\nAnd hate this case. \U0001f615	sms
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting, they will, and we need to have a response lined up.\n\nAnd hate this case. \U0001f615	sms
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
68	2016-05-17 10:27:20, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
69	2016-05-17 10:36:02, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
70	2016-05-17 10:36:07, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
71	2016-05-17 10:41:21, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	
72	2016-05-17 10:41:29, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Name:""Lisa"" "lastName" :"Page" first	

73	2016-06-08 11:05:40, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] isa.Page c	Did I send you the draft consent letters last night? Thought I did but don't see it in sent folder. \n\nI have NO idea why we let counsel take first crack with pen.	sms
74	2016-06-08 11:06:39, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page i	No, You didn't. And I have no idea. Probably bc Richard and Julie aren't real prosecutors.	sms
75	2016-06-08 12:16:00, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c	I'm REALLY angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night. \n\nIt's the little things, like her writing no agents will participate in the oid review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying "no" to doj about the obnoxious filter staffing demand	sms
76	2016-06-08 12:16:06, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c	I'm REALLY angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night. \n\nIt's the little things, like her writing no agents will participate in the oid review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying "no" to doj about the obnoxious filter staffing demand	sms
77	2016-06-08 20:25:55, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c	Richard just called, he's just leaving main justice. They all want to talk to me privately before group call at 4:40.	sms
78	2016-06-08 20:25:55, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page c	Richard just called, he's just leaving main justice. They all want to talk to me privately before group call at 4:40.	sms

79	2016-06-10 19:25:21, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page c	"firs tName":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
				Also, what I discussed with Richard was don't discuss specific dates, but throw the willingness out there, tell Kendall if we find a lot of material on laptops we might need more time
80	2016-06-10 19:26:47, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page c	"firs tName":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
				Also, what I discussed with Richard was don't discuss specific dates, but throw the willingness out there, tell Kendall if we find a lot of material on laptops we might need more time
81	2016-06-10 19:45:32, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page c	"firs tName":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
				Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D. \n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.
82	2016-06-10 19:46:22, Fri	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page c	"firs tName":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
				Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D. \n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.
83	2016-06-12 01:31:06, Su	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page c	"firs tName":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
				I'm torn between their achievement and the reality of the limitations it places on others. All of that separate and distinct from the bigoted hatred of half (it seems) of our population.
84	2016-06-13 20:05:29, Mo	OUTBOX	Lisa.Page c	"firs tName":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
				****Also, remind me to tell you to flag for Andy three emails we (actually [C]) found that have portion marks ([C]) on a couple of paras. DoJ was Very Concerned about this, willing to bet they will tell George

85	2016-06-13 20:05:33, Md+	INBOX	Lisa.Page	Found on the laptops?
				sms
86	2016-06-13 20:05:48, Md+	OUTBOX	c	Lisa.Page No. Found on the 30k provided to State originally.
				sms
87	2016-06-13 20:06:28, Md+	OUTBOX	c	Lisa.Page No one noticed. And while minor, it cuts against "I never send or received anything marked classified"
				sms
88	2016-06-13 20:08:07, Md+	OUTBOX	c	Lisa.Page Because they're worried, holy cow, if the fbi missed this, what else was missed?
				sms
89	2016-06-13 20:08:39, Md+	OUTBOX	c	Lisa.Page Which I get, because I had the same worry. \\nAnd they like snitching to George
				sms
90	2016-06-13 20:59:10, Md+	OUTBOX	c	Lisa.Page Get us a clue...
				sms

91	2016-06-13 20:59:16, Md+	INBOX	Lisa.Page	July 2	sms		
92	2016-06-13 23:35:07, Md+	OUTBOX		Lisa.Page	Hey do you anticipate mye due-outs tonight? I think I'm going to get out of here.	sms	
93	2016-06-15 13:29:13, We+	OUTBOX		Lisa.Page@i c.fbi.gov	Want to ask doj to ask h to come to dc. Do interview at hq. Or nvr. sms		
94	2016-06-15 13:30:03, We+	INBOX		Lisa.Page	Sure why not. Is especially important if the goal is to prevent leaks.	sms	
95	2016-06-15 17:18:42, We+	OUTBOX		Lisa.Page	Trump's talking about the investigation	sms	
96	2016-06-17 21:56:13, Fri	OUTBOX		Lisa.Page	Now we're talking about Clinton, and how a lot of people are holding their breath, hoping.	sms	

97	2017-07-14 11:54:03, Fri	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<a href="http://www.cnn.com/2017/07/13/politics/peter-strzok-special-counsel-russia-fbi/index.html">http://www.cnn.com/2017/07/13/politics/peter-strzok-special-counsel-russia-fbi/index.html</a>	U0001f602	When you talk to [REDACTED] tomorrow, keep in mind he is fiercely loyal to Mueller. One of his concerns was you want to challenge Mueller's 2019's decision to remove you from the investigation. I told him that 2019's not the case at all.	sms
98	2017-12-05 02:29:49, Tue	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]			Got it, thanks. Mueller and I are good - no disagreement or anger about decision by either of us, just sadness (all the press speculation otherwise is complete BS - shocker). Pretty sure he would say good things about me if [REDACTED] asked.	sms
99	2017-12-05 03:06:52, Tue	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			You were spot on re Mueller. We're good.	sms
100	2017-12-06 00:17:45, Wed	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			Are you satisfied with the outcome of the conversation?	sms
101	2017-12-06 01:58:28, Wed	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]				

## Page Text Messages

Date UTC	Internal Phone Num	Type of Message	From (Formatted)	To (Formatted)	Body	Type
1	8/16/2015 21:31	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Finally, and related to nothing, but I just saw my first Bernie Sanders bumper sticker. Made me want to key the car.	sms
2	8/16/2015 21:37	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	He's an idiot like Trump. Figure they cancel each other out.	sms
3	8/28/2015 1:16	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	And OMG remind me to tell you the fizza story. :)	sms
4	8/28/2015 11:26	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yeah. Look, he hasn't even started the job. And it's tough to slot you again something when you're not back yet. Having you in house is absolutely going to make it easy to say hey plug you into this project.	sms
5	8/28/2015 11:26	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Where is he sitting? I still haven't had a chance to talk to him about the 3:02s Remind me to tell you the [REDACTED] story about the 3:02s. Aggravating.	sms
6	9/3/2015 22:13	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	You have any idea this was coming? \n\nJustice Dept. Says Hillary Clinton Had Authority to Delete Certain Emails http://tinyurl.com/MHHPA3 \n\nAnd yes, it's 5:00 and I'm up [REDACTED]	sms
7	9/12/2015 9:19	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Dol wants to bargain away everything. I get they want to scope as narrowly as possible but they're creating a ton of extra work for everyone. Stockholm syndrome.\n\nAnyway, now I have to go in tomorrow so they can look thru emails.	sms
8	9/26/2015 1:03	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	What a joke: \n\nstill, there was progress. Never before had China agreed with Mr. Obama\ u2019s fundamental premise that the theft of intellectual property for commercial gain was off limits. After weeks of behind-the-scenes negotiations with the Chinese leadership, first in Beijing in late August and then with a delegation of nearly 50 senior Chinese officials who came to Washington quietly two weeks ago, Beijing agreed to wording that read: \u201cNeither country\ u2019s government will conduct or knowingly support cyber-enabled theft of intellectual property, including trade secrets or other confidential business information, with the intent of providing competitive advantages to companies or commercial sectors.\u201d\ u201cLimiting Security Breaches May Be Impossible Task for U.S. and China http://tinyurl.com/LchIQD	sms
9	9/26/2015 8:31	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yeah, but also a little crazy. He already threw out the "if I may use a term of intelligence professionals, these are active measures" (re alleged disinformation about Russia)\n\nI think the fundamental Russian character wants an oppressive show slightly crazy man...	sms
10	9/27/2015 23:50	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	And I'm sorry, Mitch and I just don't get along? No. He insists on different investigative paths and encourages another field office to reopen a case [REDACTED] we closed. Promptly leading to discussion in QL about whether the new office made material misrepresentations in their FISA application, leading to the case to be closed.	sms
11	10/16/2015 0:52	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Or suggesting in front of CD4 and CES that somehow my SAs aren't committed or interested enough in LG and "thinking outside the box."	sms
12	10/16/2015 0:53	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Anyway, I sent one. And I hope Paul Ryan falls and crashes in a blaze of glory.	sms
13	11/1/2015 23:21	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yes. And, me too. At some point the Rep party needs to pull their head out of their *ss. Shows no sign of occurring any time soon.	sms
14	11/1/2015 23:23	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	lost. What a f-ing disappointment.	sms
15	11/4/2015 11:17	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	That was a really close race. What an utter disappointment. Please give [REDACTED] my best.	sms
16	11/4/2015 11:26	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	52/47. I'm really so disappointed. What is wrong with people?	sms
17	11/4/2015 11:27	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		

18	11/4/2015 11:48	INBOX			A) nope. Still fighting.\nB) I saw. Disappointing, but look at the district map. Loudon is being gentrified, but it's still largely ignorant hillbillys. Good for her for running, but curious if she's energized or never again.	sms
19	11/22/2015 23:55	INBOX			Oh god no. A thumb drive or cd or something I could look at here.	sms
20	12/6/2015 18:36	OUTBOX		Peter.Strzok	And good news for my friend [REDACTED], fully cleared in that bs IG investigation. Although congress tried to force the IG to reopen the investigation. \U00001f621	sms
21	12/21/2015 1:19	OUTBOX		Peter.Strzok	What an utter idiot.\n\nAn article to share: Donald Trump on Putin: \u2018Nobody has proven that he\u2019s killed anyone\u2019\nDonald Trump on Putin: \u2018Nobody has proven that he\u2019s killed anyone\u2019\nhttp://wapo.st/1PjfMKv	sms
22	2/13/2016 3:27	OUTBOX		Peter.Strzok	I'm no prude, but I'm really appalled by this. So you don't have to go looking (in case you hadn't heard). Trump called him the p-word. The man has no dignity or Pet class. He simply can not be president.\n\nWith a Slur for Ted Cruz, Donald Trump Further Splits Voters http://nyti.ms/2XoICkO	sms
23	2/13/2016 3:28	INBOX		Peter.Strzok	Oh, he's abysmal. I keep hoping the charade will end and people will just dump him. The problem, then, is Rubio will likely lose to Cruz. The Republican party is in utter shambles. When was the last competitive ticket they offered?	sms
24	2/15/2016 0:17	INBOX		Peter.Strzok	Sweet little stinky. \n\nGod I want to trade right now. YOU can explain how Bernie isn't electable in a general election and it's more important to field a competitive candidate...	sms
25	2/15/2016 0:21	OUTBOX		Peter.Strzok	Hell at least be happy he's arguing for Bernie Sanders and not Ted Cruz. [REDACTED]	sms
26	2/15/2016 0:24	INBOX		Peter.Strzok	[REDACTED] true re Cruz. THAT would be enough to put him on the street entirely.	sms
27	2/19/2016 2:17	INBOX		Peter.Strzok	NOW HOW THE F CAN HE BE A REPUBLICAN? !?!	sms
28	2/25/2016 0:15	INBOX		Peter.Strzok	Sorry still on phone. Issue is if Laufman makes himself one of the two DoJ, do I send the two case agents or insert myself. Andy told Bill send the best two. And what "best" means isn't clear to me in this context.	sms
29	2/25/2016 0:15	OUTBOX		Peter.Strzok	Best means best. It's not a trick.	sms
30	2/25/2016 0:15	OUTBOX		Peter.Strzok	Pet if Laufman does that, then that's doj's loss. And I think warrants a call to his superiors.	sms

31	2/25/2016 1:02	[REDACTED] INBOX  [REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	We talked about it, but "best" was not in terms of agents (though that's what I wrote). It's about what the best outcome is.\n\nAnyway. Thanks.	sms
32	2/25/2016 2:03	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Truly, Pete was overthinking it. (Which I can say as an overthinker myself). It should be 2 and 2. Let me know if you can talk tonight.	sms
33	2/25/2016 2:20	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Do you or Bill fundamentally believe that 3 and 3 is the RIGHT thing for the case? If pet the answer is no, then you call JM back and say we're good as is. You have never wavered from saying 2 and 2 is best. I don't get what the hesitation is now.	sms
34	2/25/2016 2:52	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	One more thing: she might be our next president. The last thing you need us going Pet in there loaded for bear. You think she's going to remember or care that it was more doj than fbi?	sms
35	2/25/2016 2:56	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Hey, if you have one opportunity to discuss further with andy, please convey the following: She might be our next president. The last thing we need is us going in there loaded for bear, when it is not operationally necessary. You think she's going to remember or care that it was more doj than fbi? This is as much about reputational protection as anything.	sms
36	2/25/2016 11:41	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Hey, you've surely already considered this, but in my view our best reason to hold the line at 2 and 2 is: She might be our next president. The last thing we need is us going in there loaded for bear, when it is not operationally necessary. You think she's going to remember or care that it was more doj than fbi? This is as much about reputational protection as anything.	sms
37	2/26/2016 1:55	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	I wrote the following to andy: "Hey I'm sorry. It's just wildly aggravating how much Pet churn has gone on this. Have a good night." \n\nAnd he just wrote back: "Agree. Strongly." Yuhso! I guess it's all good.	sms
38	2/26/2016 11:26	[REDACTED] INBOX	There won't be. Bill has MVE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's function. And if [REDACTED] like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time. \n	sms
39	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED] INBOX	Peter .Strzol	He asked me who I'd vote for, guessed Kasich
40	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Pet .Strzol	Seriously?! Would you not D?
41	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED] INBOX	Peter .Strzol	I don't know. I suppose Hillary.
42	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED] INBOX	Peter .Strzol	I would D

43	3/2/2016 1:21	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzol	Pet er.Strzol	He's doesn't think you're an R, does he?	sms
44	3/2/2016 1:21	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	VA's going to go to her anyway.	sms
45	3/2/2016 1:21	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	He thinks I wouldn't vote for her right now	sms
46	3/2/2016 1:21	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	He's knows I'm a conservative Dem	sms
47	3/4/2016 2:10	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzol	Pet er.Strzol	God trump is a loathsome human.	sms
48	3/4/2016 2:11	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	Yet he may win	sms
49	3/4/2016 2:11	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	Good for Hillary	sms
50	3/4/2016 2:12	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	Would he be a worse president than cruz?	sms
51	3/4/2016 2:13	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzol	Pet er.Strzol	Trump? Yes, I think so.	sms
52	3/4/2016 2:13	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	I'm not sure	sms
53	3/4/2016 2:20	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzol	Peter .Strzol	Omg he's an idiot	sms
54	3/4/2016 2:20	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzol	Pet er.Strzol	He's awful.	sms

55	3/4/2016 2:21	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	America will get what the voting public deserves sms
56	3/4/2016 2:21	OUTBOX		Pet er.Strzol	That's what I'm afraid of. sms
57	3/4/2016 2:22	INBOX		Peter	Department of Environmental Protection? !?!
58	3/4/2016 2:24	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	God Hillary should win 100,000,000 - 0. sms
59	3/4/2016 2:26	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	What was that weird shiny thing on his lip? sms
60	3/4/2016 2:27	OUTBOX		Pet er.Strzol	I know. It was like a piece of food Joe says this keeps getting better every minute. sms
61	3/4/2016 2:34	OUTBOX		Pet er.Strzol	Pet Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck earlier? This man can not be president. sms
62	3/4/2016 2:37	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	Yes I did. In relation to the size of his hands.\n\nAnd all the "Little Marco" blah blah blah sms
63	3/4/2016 2:56	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	Ok I may vote for Trump \n\n)
64	3/4/2016 2:58	OUTBOX		Pet er.Strzol	What?\n\nPoor Kasich. He's the only sensible man up there. sms
65	3/4/2016 2:59	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	He was pretty much calling for death for Snowden. I'm a single issue voter. ,\n\nEspionage Machine Party sms
66	3/4/2016 3:00	INBOX	.Strzol	Peter	Exactly re Kasich. And he has ZERO appeal sms

67	3/16/2016 4:11	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzol	I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious candidate for president. sms
68	3/18/2016 12:46	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzol	Did he go so far as to talk about why we started talking special prosecutor?! sms
69	3/24/2016 1:47	OUTBOX	Andrew.McCab e	Have been thinking we probably need a pre-meet before mye meeting in Monday. Just want to make sure we all know what we are asking for and why, where we might be willing to give, etc. Thoughts?
70	3/29/2016 2:43	INBOX	Peter .Strzol	Ok. I'll tell you the worst news. Per atty proffer, Combetta says he used BleachBit (a wiping program) when he deleted the pts from the laptops. sms
71	3/29/2016 2:43	INBOX	Peter .Strzol	\U0001f621\n\nNo one on the team knows that yet so you have to wait to tell Andy. sms
72	3/29/2016 2:43	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzol	Pet Still have to get them to confirm that. Doesn't change anything. You would never just take some attys word for it. The ones m and s used? sms
73	3/29/2016 2:44	OUTBOX	Peter .Strzol	Pet Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.
74	3/29/2016 2:44	INBOX	Peter .Strzol	Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.
75	4/1/2016 23:58	INBOX	Peter .Strzol	Yay. I told you Rich Quinn called, they're getting a ton of questions about State saying they stopped investigation at FBI request... sms
76	4/1/2016 23:59	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzol	Really. No, you didn't mention. sms
77	4/2/2016 1:19	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzol	So look, you say we text on that phone when we talk about hillary because it can't be traced. You were just venting bc you feel bad that you're gone so much but it can't be helped right now. sms

78	4/22/2016 0:20	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCabe	Ok. How am I looking for a special counsel tomorrow?	sms	
79	4/27/2016 22:56	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Nothing related to us (mye or cd)?	sms	
80	5/1/2016 15:36	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Pet: Hasn't come up again, can't decide whether to bring it up on my own (sorry I lied, just didn't want to have to explain hillary case, why you'd call, etc.	sms	
81	5/4/2016 0:40	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Pet: And holy shit Cruz just dropped out of the race. It's going to be a Clinton Trump race. Unbelievable.	sms	
82	5/4/2016 0:41	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	What?!?!	sms	
83	5/4/2016 0:41	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Pet: You heard that right my friend.	sms	
84	5/4/2016 0:41	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	I saw trump won, figured it would be a bit	sms	
85	5/4/2016 0:41	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Now the pressure really starts to finish MVE...	sms	
86	5/4/2016 0:42	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Pet: It sure does. We need to talk about follow up call tomorrow. We still never have.	sms	
87	5/4/2016 22:36	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Bill is super stressed about the new Fox report	sms	
88	5/4/2016 22:36	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Pet: Why? What's he going to do about it?	sms	
89	5/4/2016 22:37	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Pet: Why not just have him send an email to Mike and Andy explaining what he think actually happened? Is it classified?	sms	

90	5/6/2016 0:41	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzol	Peter	Yeah. Wonder if they're raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp	sms
91	5/6/2016 0:42	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Pet er.Strzol	Agreed.	sms
92	5/6/2016 0:42	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Pet er.Strzol	Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the fbi, that's for sure	sms
93	5/6/2016 0:42	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzol	Peter	I might disagree slightly	sms
94	5/6/2016 0:44	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Pet er.Strzol	Okay. Not anyone informed at the fbi.	sms
95	5/8/2016 23:27	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter	Gucciifer. Sleazy Romanian...	sms
96	5/11/2016 18:10	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzol	Peter	Have to go write MYE bullets for Jones \U0001f612\nYour end of day ones will be better...	sms
97	5/12/2016 18:21	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	ndrew.McCab e	Do you have any free time today? Want to talk briefly about MYE.	sms
98	5/13/2016 10:46	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzol	Still saying no about the laptops, but focused on Mills'. He said they were moving forward on that front as well. Helpfully, obliquely told/reminded her pet/her prior comments that if she was going to call anyone, to do that soon. \U00001f612 Because we're just THAT bad.	sms
99	5/13/2016 11:43	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzol	Peter	Here's what we're going to do. What I'm going to do for my sweet E.\n\nYou have lunch plans, right? I'll get lunch somewhere near a grocery store. I'll grab cupcakes. You need to tell me how many. This afternoon - at a minimum the time you previously were going to meet on MYE - I'm going to drive you up. Party with your little girl and squish thru cupcakes. Come back down (wash your hands, please) and I'll drive you back.	sms
100	5/17/2016 21:02	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Andrew.McCab e	Ha - check out that timing!\n\nJustice Department Opens Investigation Into Russian Doping Scandal http://nytims/1rSG6W	sms

101	5/24/2016 0:25	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.Mccab e	[REDACTED]	14 packages tomorrow, but I think D is here so you should be fine. \n\nAlso, MYE team may inquire as to how to respond, if at all, to a new Grassley letter. You should have it on the red side from exec sec. If not, I can get it to you in the morning. Have a good night.	sms
102	6/8/2016 12:16	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED]	I'm REALLY angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night. \n\nIt's the little things, like her writing no agents will participate in the old review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying "no" to doj about the obnoxious filter staffing demand	sms
103	6/10/2016 19:43	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	Np. I think we're good, but I just ran thru what D said. Agreed with Andy that smarter thing is to wait and see what's on there but likely no way we hit summer solstice if we do that.	sms
104	6/10/2016 19:45	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D.\n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.	sms
105	6/12/2016 1:29	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	I started. And while i hate Trump, part of me thought [REDACTED] would not/may not get into Yale because they're white and not from butt*ck Texas...	sms
106	6/12/2016 1:49	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	They fully deserve to go, and demonstrate the absolute bigoted nonsense of Trump	sms
107	6/13/2016 20:04	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	****Also, remind me to tell you to flag for Andy three emails we (actually ICIG) found that have portion marks (C) on a couple of paras. Doi was very concerned about this, willing to bet they will tell George	sms
108	6/13/2016 20:05	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	Found on the laptops?	sms
109	6/13/2016 20:05	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	No. Found on the 302 provided to State originally.	sms
110	6/13/2016 20:06	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	No one noticed. And while minor, it cuts against "I never send or received anything marked classified"	sms
111	6/13/2016 20:07	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzol	[REDACTED] Peter	So why would they tell George?	sms

112	6/13/2016 20:07	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Which may or may not be a big deal, but it is notable. Easier to explain on Lync or in person.\n\nI will put on bullets tonight which I am sending to you	sms
113	6/13/2016 20:08	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Because they're worried, holy cow, if the fbi missed this, what else was missed?	sms
114	6/13/2016 20:08	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Which I get, because I had the same worry.\n\nAnd they like snitching to George	sms
115	6/17/2016 21:56	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Now we're talking about Clinton, and how a lot of people are holding their breath, hoping.	sms
116	7/1/2016 9:41	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Lynch. Timing not great, but whatever. Wonder if that's why the no coordination language added	sms
117	7/1/2016 11:26	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Pet No wav. This is a purposeful leak following the airplane snafu.	sms
118	7/1/2016 11:29	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Peter Timing looks like hell. Will appear choreographed. All major news networks literally leading with "AG to accept FBI D's recommendation."	sms
119	7/1/2016 11:31	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Peter What I meant was, did DOJ tell us yesterday they were doing this, so D added that language.	sms
120	7/1/2016 11:35	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Pet And yes. I think we had some warning of it. I know they sent some statement to Peter rybicki, bc he called andy.	sms
121	7/1/2016 15:25	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Peter But AGs talking now. Said she had decided to accept rec prior to meeting with Clinton on Monday	sms
122	7/1/2016 17:53	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzok	Peter Yep there is a svtc next week I am going to to discuss how to review it, modeled on what we did with my e	sms

123	7/4/2016 16:03	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
124	7/11/2016 10:10	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
125	7/13/2016 1:37	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
126	7/14/2016 1:33	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
127	7/14/2016 11:04	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
128	7/18/2016 19:15	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
129	7/19/2016 2:23	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
130	7/19/2016 10:16	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
131	7/19/2016 10:18	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
132	7/21/2016 8:52	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
133	7/21/2016 9:09	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

And i talked to [REDACTED] 3 chains of 8-9 total emails with (C) portion markings. All in 30k. Only one chain with B1 reduction (currently classified). She believes at least 2 emails within at least two B1 redactions in that chain, so she believes at least 2 emails within that chain are classified now.\n\nNo determination from State yet about whether they were classified at the time. \n\nBased on above, I think it reasonable to say we believe at least two emails with portions marked (C) in the bodies are classified (C) now and by extension (current classification and contemporaneous marking) were classified at the time.

sms

Worried about work, I think. How much we decide to release, the prospect of second guessing. The IG doing that bugs me; Congress doing so infuriates me. \n\nLast text was a response to your msg. to keep the advice you had given me re. M. I was saying I re-read it, then signed at the prospect of more discussion about it.

sms

Gtwn, tomorrow, hopefully. Though Andy moved MYE so there's a conflict with your eyebrow/business....

sms

Pet: Have you read this? It's really frightening.\n\nFor Whites Sensing Decline, Donald Trump Unleashes Words of Resistance http://nyti.ms/29WCu5I

sms

Poll Finds Emails Weighing on Hillary Clinton, Now Tied With Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/29RV5gf

sms

Btw, pulled 302s for [REDACTED] for you, you should read and see if you want to highlight for Andy

sms

And wow, Donald Trump is an enormous d\*uche.

sms

Hi. How was Trump, other than a douche? Melania? And any luck with home purchases? \n\nI have [REDACTED] this morning. \U00001f61d

sms

Pet Trump barely spoke, but the first thing out of his mouth was "we're going to win sooooo big." The whole thing is like living in a bad dream.

sms

This is really shocking.\n\nDonald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2a14j3g

sms

Pet This campaign is like watching a train wreck happen over and over again.\n\nNow Donald Trump Picked His Running Mate http://nyti.ms/2a8aCJ9

sms

134	7/21/2016 9:40	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz Peter	[REDACTED] [202]	Trump is a disaster. I have no idea how destabilizing his Presidency would be	sms
135	7/22/2016 0:40	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [202] Andrew.McCabe	The article I mentioned today. \n\nDonald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2ai4U3g		sms
136	7/22/2016 17:49	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [202] Peter.Strzoz	Pet. er.Strzoz	On my way. Have mye Qs.	sms
137	7/25/2016 0:09	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [202] Peter.Strzoz	Pet. er.Strzoz	Jesus, did you read this?\n\nIs Donald Trump a Racist? http://nyti.ms/2aiqDQ8	sms
138	7/25/2016 0:34	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [202] Peter.Strzoz	Pet. er.Strzoz	Rudy is on the FISC! Did you know that?	sms
139	7/26/2016 1:50	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [202] Peter.Strzoz	Pet. er.Strzoz	They're fine. Raced to bathe and bed, now about to read mye letter while I listen to Corey boohoo. He's doing very well.	sms
140	7/26/2016 1:52	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [202] .Strzoz Peter	Sally's letter? I thought Bakers comments were ok.\n\nIt's amazing to me how much better this convention is. NiS FURIOUS at DNC for cooking the books against Bernie.	sms	
141	7/26/2016 2:30	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [202] .Strzoz Peter	Here's what I'm about to send everyone in answer to JBs question about Benetl: \nWe didn't take his statement at face value. There was some concern by the interviewing agents and DOJ attorneys that he is getting older has diminished mental acuity. The primary reason, though, is that I don't believe it was critical to our investigation. Clinton, Mills and Abedin all said they felt the server was permitted and did not receive information that it was not. To the extent there was objection down the line in IRM, we did not pursue that as State IG did, because it was not a key question behind our investigation. There are going to be many avenues we might have pursued if we had unlimited time and resources, but this is one of those categories of wouldn't have changed our fundamental understanding of the gravamen of the case.	sms	
142	7/26/2016 2:36	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [202] .Strzoz Peter	Bottom line: it wasn't key to what we were looking at and it certainly was waaaaay below the cut line of getting this done with the timeliness the D wanted.	sms	
143	7/26/2016 3:31	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [202] .Strzoz Peter	They are not, so far, part of the media conspiracy for Clinton.	sms	

144	7/27/2016 0:21	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Yeah, it's pretty cool. She just has to win now. I'm not going to lie, I got a flash of nervousness yesterday about trump. The sandermistas have the potential to make a very big mistake here...	sms
145	7/28/2016 0:27	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab	You should read this - the D surely has by now. Some of the internal links are well worth your time as well.\n\nIs Trump a Russian Agent? A Legal Analysis - Lawfare\nhttps://www.lawfareblog.com/trump-russian-agent-legal-analysis	sms
146	7/28/2016 0:42	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	Peter Interesting. Good comments about Comey, too.\n\nTrump and the Powers of the American Presidency, Part III - Lawfare\nhttps://www.lawfareblog.com/trump-and-powers-american-presidency-part-iii	sms
147	7/28/2016 1:38	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Have we opened on him yet? \u00001f621\n\nTrump & Putin. Yes, It's Really a Thing\nhttp://talkingpointsmemo.com/edblog/trump-putin-yes-it-s-really-a-thing	sms
148	7/28/2016 1:51	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	Peter Opened on Trump? If Hillary did, you know 5 field offices would...	sms
149	7/29/2016 22:49	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Yeah Kortan told us DCCC and Hillary Campaign hacked.	sms
150	7/30/2016 12:45	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Totally right there with you. Was thinking before bed last night that it is going to be hard to ramp up for testimony on MVE in the fall bc none of us are going to care at all anymore.	sms
151	7/31/2016 16:48	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet You already buy your ticket to London?	sms
152	7/31/2016 23:39	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Our Uber driver was a Muslim physicist from Cameroon. Went above and beyond to help us out, drove J back and forth to our house and wouldn't accept payment. This makes me very angry.\n\nDonald Trump's Confrontation With Muslim Soldier\n\b2019s Parents Emerges as Unexpected Flash Point\nhttp://nyti.ms/2aEWgZ	sms
153	8/1/2016 1:38	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet I mean seriously. What in the hell is this guy talking about? \n\nDonald Trump Gives Questionable Explanation of Events in Ukraine\nhttp://nyti.ms/2arMCyv	sms
154	8/1/2016 1:58	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet How Paul Manafort Wielded Power in Ukraine Before Advising Donald Trump\nhttp://nyti.ms/2aFy026	sms

155	8/2/2016 0:50	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCabe	Since your counsel failed to send this to you last night. \u00001f60a\n\nHow Paul Manafort Wielded Power in Ukraine Before Advising Donald Trump <a href="http://nyti.ms/2aFy026">http://nyti.ms/2aFy026</a>
156	8/3/2016 2:22	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Favor to ask you: I forwarded you an email on scion asking you to show something to andy in the am to see if he needs to reach out to cyber to possibly correct a statement before tomorrow's DNC brief on the hill. Can you show it to him in the morning? Call with questions, of course. Thanks.
157	8/3/2016 11:51	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Sheesh, I'm glad you're back. I'm about to send an email. We NEED Pet to focus on getting mye out the door, and quickly. We're not going to be able to withstand the pressure soon.
158	8/5/2016 11:28	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Pet Babe. You can. If you need a day, take a day. I can pickup the mye stuff, nothing else is that pressing.
159	8/5/2016 16:37	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	And hi. Went well, best we could have expected. Other than Liz's quote, "the White House is running this."
160	8/6/2016 14:38	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Pet Jesus. You should read this. And Trump should go f himself. \n\nMoment in Convention Glare Shakes Up Khan's 2019 American Life <a href="http://nyti.ms/2aHuLE0">http://nyti.ms/2aHuLE0</a>
161	8/6/2016 14:53	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	God that's a great article. \u00001f61e\u00001f61e\u2764\n\nThanks for sharing. \n\nAnd F Trump.
162	8/6/2016 14:55	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Pet Trump\u2019s Enablers Will Finally Have to Take a Stand <a href="http://nyti.ms/2aFakry">http://nyti.ms/2aFakry</a>
163	8/8/2016 0:29	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	That move on the 6E redactions by them was total garbage. They knew full well they should have been doing that weeks ago...
164	8/8/2016 0:29	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Pet I know baby.
165	8/8/2016 0:30	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Luckily we have that email as proof...not that it matters.
166	8/9/2016 3:26	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	Pet He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!
167	8/9/2016 10:08	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter.Strzoz	What prompted the Trump.comment last night?

168	8/9/2016 13:56	[202] [REDACTED] [REDACTED].Strzol	Peter	God getting them to unlock razor cabinet takes an act of Congress. \u00001f612 sms
169	8/9/2016 21:56	[202] [REDACTED] [REDACTED].Strzol	Peter	OMG did you hear what Trump just said? sms
170	8/10/2016 0:42	[202] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Remind me tomorrow, I have a DNC fact/issue to share with you. sms
171	8/11/2016 0:48	[202] [REDACTED] [REDACTED].Strzol	Peter	So. You come up with a codename? Crossfire Eliza would be cute....that's her fearless action name. sms
172	8/11/2016 0:51	[202] [REDACTED]	Peter.Strzol	Crossfire Latitude? sms
173	8/11/2016 0:56	[202] [REDACTED] [REDACTED].Strzol	Peter	Crossfire YUUUUUGE.\\n\\nThough we may save that for the man, if we ever open on him ;) sms
174	8/11/2016 0:57	[202] [REDACTED] [REDACTED].Strzol	Peter	OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVERSIVE CONNECTIONS sms
175	8/11/2016 0:57	[202] [REDACTED] [REDACTED].Strzol	Peter	What the hell has happened to our country?!?!?? sms
176	8/12/2016 14:40	[202] [REDACTED]	Andrew.McCab	Hey. What time does your flight leave? Baker pulling his usual "oh, I didn't realize we were talking producing a carbon copy of the redacted 302s to state, which he is now fine with." Don't need to talk, just want to know when you are wheels up. sms
177	8/12/2016 17:18	[202] [REDACTED]	Andrew.McCab	Jim is inclined to give carbon copies of 302s to both [REDACTED] at time of OGA production. That should alleviate their concerns. Just need to check it with the boss. Am drafting that email now. You will be on it. sms
178	8/14/2016 1:13	[202] [REDACTED]	Peter.Strzol	But see, this article so rings true that then I think the chs is wrong...\\n\\ninside the Failing Mission to Save Donald Trump From Himself http://nyti.ms/2b5WSNA sms
179	8/14/2016 10:35	[202] [REDACTED]	Peter.Strzol	This was very interesting.\\n\\nThe Decline of Unions and the Rise of Trump http://nyti.ms/2bc7a1U sms

180	8/14/2016 10:41	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet God this makes me so angry.\n\nDonald Trump Is Making America Meaner <a href="http://nyti.ms/2b6gg38">http://nyti.ms/2b6gg38</a> sms
181	8/14/2016 11:00	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	And I am worried about what Trump is encouraging in our behavior. The things that made me proud about our tolerance for dissent - what makes us different from Sunnis and Shias losing each other up - is disappearing.
182	8/14/2016 11:01	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	I'm worried about what happens if HRC is selected. \n\nAnd perfect, another excessive heat warning day.
183	8/17/2016 10:28	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet An article to share: Trump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser\nTrump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser\n <a href="http://wapo.st/2bzAUGD">http://wapo.st/2bzAUGD</a> mms
184	8/19/2016 0:53	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Ukraine Releases More Details on Payments for Trump Aide <a href="http://nyti.ms/2breAOV">http://nyti.ms/2breAOV</a> Where's this coming from?\nFirst on CNN: Feds investigate Manafort firm as part of Ukraine probe - CNNPolitics.com ( <a href="http://www.cnn.com/2016/08/19/politics/paul-manafort-donald-trump-ukraine/index.html">http://www.cnn.com/2016/08/19/politics/paul-manafort-donald-trump-ukraine/index.html</a> ) sms
185	8/19/2016 22:54	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	[REDACTED]
186	8/19/2016 23:12	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	Pet Yeah, don't know. Heard or saw something about it yesterday too. At wrap Tues or Wed think.
187	8/20/2016 11:20	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	[REDACTED] And a favor, please - to the extent there are follow on relevant Kendall/MYE emails (and there may not be), please forward if appropriate. Bill has told Jon and me that he isn't typically reading them, and is relying on us to flag any issue he needs to weigh in on. Given the large distro of Jim's emails, I'm willing to bet he doesn't notice we're not on there. I will discuss with him next week as well. Thanks
188	8/26/2016 16:42	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	[REDACTED] Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Trump support...
189	8/26/2016 17:02	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzoz	[REDACTED] I want to be there and hate with you, or charm you back to happy \n\nLooked for the two trump yard signs I saw on the way out to take a picture, but couldn't see them
190	8/29/2016 23:37	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab	[REDACTED] Well this is not good.\n\nAn article to share: FBI probes foreign hacks of state election systems\nFBI probes foreign hacks of state election systems\n <a href="http://wapo.st/2bMTYH">http://wapo.st/2bMTYH</a> sms

191	8/30/2016 9:10	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter [REDACTED].Strzol	Yeah but that's generally not Ok [re Mike]. He came in late to MYE and has been in and out of CH. And he HAD the TPs for this. He just chose not to read them. Because Jon made sure to send them to Dina and Bill and Mike, but still hasn't sent them to me. \n\nThat's what I'm saying - there's some stuff that's reasonable for me to ask and not reasonable for Jon not to do - hey dude, email me, just cc, the TPs please - and stuff that isn't. \n\nAnd this is only a tiny bit of ALL the stuff going on. Had a truly terrible proposal for an op come out of WFO in CD2.	sms
192	8/30/2016 9:45	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter [REDACTED].Strzol	But Mr. Reid argued that the connections between some of Donald J. Trump's former and current advisers and the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an investigation. He referred indirectly in his letter to a speech given in Russia by one Trump adviser, Carter Page, a consultant and investor in the energy giant Gazprom, who criticized American sanctions policy toward Russia.\n\nTrump and his people keep saying the election is rigged,\u201d Mr. Reid said. \u201cWhy is he saying that? Because people are telling him the election can be messed with.\u201d Mr. Trump\u2019s advisers say they are concerned that unnamed elites could rig the election for his opponent, Hillary Clinton.	sms
193	8/31/2016 2:37	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pet [REDACTED].Strzol	Did you ever look at this? It's incredibly powerful. And really, really depressing.	
194	9/1/2016 18:07	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pet [REDACTED].Strzol	Pet: \n\nAt Least 110 Republican Leaders Won\u2019t Vote for Donald Trump. Here [REDACTED] When They Reached Their Breaking Point. <a href="http://nyti.ms/2bTNAbb">http://nyti.ms/2bTNAbb</a>	sms
195	9/1/2016 18:19	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pet [REDACTED].Strzol	I have mye questions. No one is around.	sms
196	9/1/2016 23:16	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pet [REDACTED].Strzol	Pet: I can't. Now the Midyear production has to happen tomorrow. And you and Sally and Kevin are all out.	sms
197	9/2/2016 1:38	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter [REDACTED].Strzol	And I'm not going to stop telling you that's a bad decision, that the bureau - and MYE - will be just fine.	sms
198	9/9/2016 11:52	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pet [REDACTED].Strzol	It was. I'm glad mye didn't start up until the end of summer.	sms
199	9/10/2016 0:28	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pet [REDACTED].Strzol	Pet'd appreciate it, obviously. Going to send an email to mye core team to tell them I'll draft TPs this weekend, but will likely need a look Sunday night.	sms
200	9/12/2016 11:25	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter [REDACTED].Strzol	Npr says Trump hotel opens today. It doesn't look ready...	sms

201	9/20/2016 11:42	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzok	The new Trump hotel? No.	sms
202	9/22/2016 0:19	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzok	Two Ex-Spies and Donald Trump <a href="http://nyti.ms/2cYynG6">http://nyti.ms/2cYynG6</a>	sms
203	9/23/2016 11:32	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzok	Pet er.Strzok She fix the mye one?	sms
204	9/24/2016 20:50	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	And \U00001f621\U00001f621\U00001f621\U00001f621\U00001f621\U00001f621 eras just disconnected and I lost the mye prep email I was writing.	sms
205	9/24/2016 22:21	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	The Mystery of Trump\U2019s Man in Moscow - POLITICO Magazine\( <a href="http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/09/the-mystery-of-trumps-man-in-moscow-214283">http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/09/the-mystery-of-trumps-man-in-moscow-214283</a>	sms
206	9/26/2016 0:36	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	And def i would not send our (CD) stuff. I got the impression from Andy there was some question if the D would want to use the Crossfire info in the PC. \n\nI need to make sure CYD knows not to send it far and wide. I can see them messing that up.\n\nAnd if the background - that the community is saying it's all our fault and waiting on us, I wouldn't want to play into that in unexpected ways.	sms
207	9/27/2016 0:33	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzok	Pet Did you read this? it's scathing. And I'm scared.\n\nWhy Donald Trump Should Not Be President <a href="http://nyti.ms/2dbQpUR">http://nyti.ms/2dbQpUR</a>	sms
208	9/29/2016 1:08	OUTBOX	Pet er.Strzok	Pet And suddenly I'm realizing, they're like Trump demographic people, just democrats.\U00001ff612	sms
209	9/29/2016 1:10	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	Like my parents!!!!!!\n\nOh sweet jes*s I need to send you what my father has been sending. The liberal media is all in the tank for Hillary. Because, you know, Trump isn't batch*t crazy for our country...	sms
210	9/29/2016 1:53	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	"Found it hard to focus"?!\n\n"Found it hard to focus"?!\n\nAre you f*cking kidding me?!!\n\nDonald Trump got too much debate advice, so he took none of it.\n <a href="http://www.slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2016/09/28/donald_trump_got_too_much_debate_advice_so_he_took_none_of_it.html">http://www.slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2016/09/28/donald_trump_got_too_much_debate_advice_so_he_took_none_of_it.html</a>	sms
211	9/30/2016 1:42	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	Hey I'm almost home, sorry. Remind me tomorrow what Victoria Nuland said.	sms
212	10/2/2016 13:37	INBOX	Peter .Strzok	Oh. And watch snl campaign family feud. Putin is on Trumps team. Hilarious.	sms

213	10/8/2016 12:35	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	Currently reading about Trump. Wondering if he stepped down if Pence could actually get elected.		sms
214	10/8/2016 12:36	OUTBOX		Pet. [REDACTED] er.Strzol	That's probably more likely than Trump getting elected.		sms
215	10/9/2016 13:24	INBOX		Peter [REDACTED] Strzol	And I'm HUGE pissed because we found info (via searching Sentinel on an account that came out) that is [REDACTED]. Nobody caring, not interested. \u0001f620\u0001f620\u0001f620\nThey're BROKEN, Lisa! Is it going to take some f*cking 9/11-type event for everybody to stop saying, just coordinate better, have lots of meetings, figure it out? In The Bu will still recruit cyber people if we have a directorate. We will still recruit people if we can offer them work against cyber criminals, or state actors, or terrorists.		sms
216	10/9/2016 18:14	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab e	Not sure if you have your eras, but [REDACTED] [REDACTED] so they wanted to make sure you had it asap. I haven't had a chance to check it out yet. Let me know if you want them to get it to you some other way.		sms
217	10/9/2016 21:07	INBOX		Peter [REDACTED] Strzol	And funny quote from my cousin-in-law: "No way Trump will drop out. Hey Republicans: how does it feel to carry something to term?"		sms
218	10/10/2016 1:23	INBOX		Peter [REDACTED] Strzol	Trump saying agents at FBI are furious at the NYF outcome and he's getting a special prosecutor.		sms
219	10/12/2016 2:54	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] er.Strzol	Pet Ho-Hy sh*t. Did you read this? \nFor Many Women, Trump\u2019s \u2018Locker Room Talk\u2019 Brings Memories of Abuse <a href="http://nyti.ms/2dsWWF6">http://nyti.ms/2dsWWF6</a>		sms
220	10/12/2016 19:56	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab e	Hm, one clarification: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]		sms
221	10/12/2016 23:08	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab e	Oi now has a robust explanation re any possible bias of the chs in the package. Don't know what the holdup is now, other than [REDACTED] continued concerns. Strong operational need to have in place before Monday if at all possible, which means to ct tomorrow. I communicated you and boss's green light to Stu earlier, and just sent an email to [REDACTED] asking where things stood. This might take a high-level push. Will keep you posted.		sms

				To check email. We got the reporting on Sept 19. Looks like Gaeta got it early August. \n\nlooking at Clinesmith's msg replies to me it's not clear if he knows if/ when he told them. Bu [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] they're both good and will remember.\nIt's not about rubbing their nose in it. I don't care if they don't know. I just want to know who's playing games/scared covering. \n\n[REDACTED]	sms
222	10/13/2016 2:49	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
223	10/20/2016 1:28	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Donald just said "bad hombres"\n\n[U0001f612]
224	10/20/2016 1:30	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Hillary: Russia and WikiLeaks and highest levels of Russian Government and Putin!!!\n\n[REDACTED]!!!
225	10/20/2016 1:32	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Oh hot damn. HRC is throwing down saying Trump in bed with russia
226	10/20/2016 2:12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Trump just said what the fbi did is disgraceful.
227	10/20/2016 22:34	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Oh babe, I'm sorry. I'm worried about you. Glad J's getting home on Sat....\n\nReading the 302 attachment I mentioned earlier. Yeah its a bit inflammatory, but nothing more than what's already apparent. And drag in Rybicki, Giuliano and Giacalone (none in a bad way)
228	10/28/2016 1:57	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Andrew McCabe	I spoke to both. Both understand that no decision will be made on recusal until I return and weigh in. Jim says his meetings were mostly about the notification and statement which the boss wants to send tomorrow. I do not agree with the timing but he is insistent.
229	10/30/2016 13:56	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Pete Yeah, I saw it. Makes me feel WAY less bad about throwing him under the bus in the forthcoming CF article.
230	10/30/2016 21:13	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	So, NICE!!!!\n\nYou know how my Crossfire ECPA NSLs showed the Bu's FISAMS pony was bad EVERYWHERE?\n\nGuess what???\n\nMy recent Crossfire RPA NSL showed the Bu's pony was ALSO bad EVERYWHERE\n\nbecause God knows the first fix wouldn't cause you to look at the other pony....\n[U0001f612]
231	11/1/2016 23:05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	Figured out why they legally can't do what you just said. We are comparing against material (to determine what is new) that we obtained during the investigation that we cannot share with them.
232	11/4/2016 2:11	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	All the custom software" and "building it for two weeks" is all wrong again. Just fy.\n\nSorting Through the Clinton Email Case and What the F.B.I.\u0019s Options Are http://nyti.ms/2ejwgCM
233	11/4/2016 2:35	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter .Strzoz	I truly have no one else I would feel comfortable sharing this with.\n\nAnd I realize that's a burden on you. Thank you, more than I can probably ever repay. \u2764

234	11/5/2016 22:40	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	Jon and I forming the new facilities maintenance branch of the mye team. Cause we're doing it ALL...  sms
235	11/8/2016 1:56	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	OMG THIS IS F*CKING TERRIFYING.\nA victory by Mr. Trump remains possible: Mrs. Clinton's 2019s chance of losing is about the same as the probability that an N.F.L. kicker misses a 38-yard field goal.  sms
236	11/8/2016 2:47	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	Leaking information about ongoing investigations. Which is incorrect information. By agents who don't know about things taking to him. \nSee? That's the thing. Her initial point, that we should have gone after the agents talking harder and sooner, is not unreasonable. But the subsequent discussion falls into uninformed assertions.  sms
237	11/8/2016 2:49	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Peter [REDACTED] .Strzol	Pet If it's not classified, what's the crime though?  sms
238	11/15/2016 1:43	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	"CNN: Source says naming a Trump national security team a 'knife fight'"  sms
239	11/17/2016 9:27	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Peter [REDACTED] .Strzol	Pet God, this is scary. He apparently has not reached out to state at all for briefing materials. \U00001f612\n\nNYTimes: How Shinzo Abe Will Try to Size Up Donald Trump\n\nNYTimes: How Shinzo Abe Will Try to Size Up Donald Trump <a href="http://nyti.ms/2elhGjP">http://nyti.ms/2elhGjP</a>  sms
240	11/17/2016 9:33	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	@ H/ @Yep. And the reason he wanted clearances for the kids is so Jared Kushner could receive the PDB with him. Legally, I think he can pretty much designate w  sms
241	11/17/2016 9:33	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	@ H/ @hoever he wants to receive it without a clearance..  sms
242	11/17/2016 9:35	[REDACTED] INBOX .Strzol	Peter [REDACTED]	@ P/ @ I know he backed off of clearances, but there was another legal piece looking at his authority to just grant access, and it's pretty broad.\nAnd, I don't  sms
243	11/17/2016 9:35	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	Peter [REDACTED] .Strzol	Pet It's is broad. He doesn't. And yes.  sms
244	11/17/2016 19:49	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Thought you'd appreciate this. \n\nNYTimes: What a Trump America Can Learn from a Berlusconi Italy\n\nNYTimes: What a Trump America Can Learn from a Berlusconi Italy <a href="http://nyti.ms/2eBt4OB">http://nyti.ms/2eBt4OB</a>  sms
245	11/18/2016 1:28	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCabe	An article to share: Trump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\nTrump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\n <a href="http://wapo.st/2gt86WC">http://wapo.st/2gt86WC</a>  sms

246	11/18/2016 10:39	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
247	11/18/2016 11:18	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
248	11/18/2016 21:48	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
249	11/21/2016 1:14	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
250	11/21/2016 17:24	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
251	11/22/2016 11:10	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
252	11/22/2016 15:51	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
253	11/22/2016 15:55	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
254	11/22/2016 15:56	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
255	11/25/2016 20:29	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
256	11/26/2016 12:45	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] tried sending an email to amg.dd but it bounced back. Are you still getting the andrew.mccabe emails? DAG inquired about our plan re that FISA target if he mobilizes, given the physical access he has. Let me know if you got the email I forwarded from Tash.

sms

Hi. Sleep any better last night? Was just reading NYT. Apparently Mike Rogers has been to NY to visit Trump

sms

sms

Pet  
er.Strzol  
Is Moffa there? I have a MVE q for him.  
This is really disgusting. \n\nNYTimes: White Nationalists Celebrate \u2018an Awakening\u2019 After Donald Trump \u2019s Victory\nWhite Nationalists Pet Celebrate \u2018an Awakening\u2019 After Donald Trump \u2019s Victory  
<http://nyti.ms/2fc6wve>

sms

Trump spokesman declines to back FBI Director James Comey - The Washington Post\n[https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-spokesman-declines-to-back-fbi-director-james-comey/2016/11/21/e9cd350-afe5-11e6-8616-52b15787add0\\_story.html?utm\\_id=hp\\_hp\\_cards\\_mhp-card-politics%AAhomepage%2Fcard](https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-spokesman-declines-to-back-fbi-director-james-comey/2016/11/21/e9cd350-afe5-11e6-8616-52b15787add0_story.html?utm_id=hp_hp_cards_mhp-card-politics%AAhomepage%2Fcard)

sms

Pet  
er.Strzol  
God, there's just so much to be wary of.\n\nNYTimes: Build He Won\u2019t\nInBuild He Won\u2019t <http://nyti.ms/2ewBpU>

sms

Ok. Bill is aggravating me about the D brief tomorrow. He wants me to handle the CHS and he'll do all the cases.\u00001f612

sms

No. I assume it's to take work off my plate. He said, since Jon's not in, I'll do it. But I had been doing all of CH when Jon was focusing on the broader election stuff. I need to talk to him

sms

And I gave the D a summary of all of it last week with Andy just before travel. Whatever, I'm not that worried, just think Bill is over thinking this, especially the guy who's been named

sms

I'll be sure to bring it to [REDACTED]

sms

You see Trump chose a Fox News analyst as his Dep Ntnl Security advisor?

sms

257	11/26/2016 13:58	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yeah I'm dreading it. The real question is, will we be bringing workout clothes to [REDACTED]	sms
258	11/29/2016 14:27	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	[REDACTED]	Pet God, the mye letters re Andy ask for \$OOOOOO much information. \U00001f621\U00001f621	sms
259	12/1/2016 2:05	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Yeah well Jim's too blindly boy-scoutish and others not thoughtful so I can talk to him about his experience pre recusal. I'm not asking for decisions. I'm asking how to think about it.	sms
260	12/2/2016 23:38	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Ho boy, This is going to stir up some sh*t\nNYTimes: Trump Speaks With Taiwan\u2019s Leader, a Likely Affront to China\nTrump Speaks With Taiwan\u2019s Leader, a Likely Affront to China http://nyti.ms/2gwshOM	sms
261	12/2/2016 23:45	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED] er.Strzoz	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	Pet know. I saw. Idiots...	sms
262	12/10/2016 4:19	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	[REDACTED] Peter .Strzoz	We need to talk about this in the context of the testimony last Friday. And I need to ask Jason to get a transcript if he can. \n\nNYTimes: Russia Hacked Republican Committee, U.S. Concludes\nRussia Hacked Republican Committee, U.S. Concludes http://nyti.ms/2h5Xpoi	sms
263	12/13/2016 3:33	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Btw, Clapper told Pete that he (Clapper) was meeting with Brennan and Cohen for dinner tonight. Just FYSA.	sms
264	12/13/2016 11:41	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Hey, I was just thinking that OGC might have stuff off the shelf re the State Dept authorities issue because we've been fighting them on this for a while now.	sms
265	5/19/2017 0:36	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Might be worth asking Baker.	sms
266	5/19/2017 0:40	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	For me, and this case, I personally have a sense of unfinished business. \n\nIt unleashed it with MYE. Now need to fix it and finish it	sms
267	5/19/2017 23:44	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Who gives a f*ck, one more AD like Scott or Steve or Carols or Dave or Tim or whoever. \n\nAn investigation leading to impeachment?	sms
268	5/29/2017 23:20	[REDACTED] INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Well that's just dumb. He heard Director Comey's statement about what we're trying to achieve, right?\nAnyway, Try to not dwell on all this. \n\nGetting stuff ready to go out the door... \n\nNYTimes: A Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? https://nyti.ms/2scC27a	sms
269	6/3/2017 0:23	[REDACTED] OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NYTimes: A Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? https://nyti.ms/2scC27a Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? https://nyti.ms/2scC27a It's just hard to be unfettered to the Bureau and Andy right now. It's been bad enough without Comey, this just feels like another loss.	sms

270	6/5/2017 19:01	[REDACTED]	INBOX		I just talked to Aaron. He said memos are still not decided, in other words, they're not going to block testimony, but the memos are still up in the air I think Papa and [REDACTED] and Stone and Wiki and CI confluence is smack square in your wheelhouse.	sms
271	6/6/2017 22:47	[REDACTED]	INBOX		A [REDACTED] [REDACTED] have no idea who the two agents are Zainab asked for. They're not associated with the team, and I may need to say "no." I'll do research and talk with her but I worry they're NY, in the same way Andrew worried about NY agents. And he had the sense to talk to me about what he wanted, and balance his request against those already on the team.\nD curious the comment Andrew made as I stepped out, prompting your 20 year agent comment. My sense was he wasn't necessarily happy.	sms
272	6/7/2017 2:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX		I get, re [REDACTED] It's going to be emotional for all of us.\n\nI'm disappointed because i see a solid, unbroken line from nye to announcement to ch to election to momentous this, and I'm sad not to share it with you. Doesn't feel right.	sms
273	6/7/2017 11:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX		Aaron would like you and Stephen to explore supplementing Andy's testimony along the lines we discussed on that one answer.	sms
274	6/7/2017 17:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX		Yeah I really think we need to.	sms
275	6/7/2017 17:31	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Or because of the nyt story saying that SC seems to be pursuing potus in light of these interviews.	sms
276	6/15/2017 11:35	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Wp had it first. And it's as likely to be wh or interviewees leaking. All of which I would have walked through if I had been in the room. Because, you know, media leaks and what I do for a living.	sms
277	6/15/2017 11:42	[REDACTED]	INBOX		God I'm so disappointed in us as an organization. I want to send 10 polygraphers to NYO and poly the whole office. Or do every. Single. Last. Employee.	sms
278	6/16/2017 0:38	[REDACTED]	INBOX		Because the thing with this one is we're SO bad that no one down here (or a couple of people in CID) knew. So you could literally just do the field office.	sms
279	6/16/2017 0:42	[REDACTED]	INBOX		You made a really interesting comment at Miraval about forgiving yourself that I wanted to talk about sometime.	sms
280	6/18/2017 12:12	[REDACTED]	INBOX		Because you asked her about the SC role moving forward?	sms
281	6/20/2017 2:15	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		No. Because I didn't tell her we had the convo when it happened (about you being asked to come over) and the fact that we had both been working on the effort. My fault for hiding it.	sms
282	6/20/2017 2:16	[REDACTED]	INBOX		I told her I wasn't going to go. She thought that was inappropriate because you should have refused.	sms
283	6/20/2017 2:17	[REDACTED]	INBOX		So why didn't you say it was more recent?	sms
284	6/20/2017 2:17	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Yeah, that's what she told me. I'm not sure I follow. Why was it my obligation? I was asked first...	sms
285	6/20/2017 2:17	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		I initially said it was more recent. Then I said you were asked first at some point after the initial briefings. Didn't give a time frame for that.	sms
286	6/20/2017 2:18	[REDACTED]	INBOX		Make sure you don't tell her anything about whether the press accounts are accurate. Nothing. Just say you don't know what I did at doj.	sms
287	6/20/2017 3:04	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Why?\n\nI'm planning on saying I didn't know about them.	sms
288	6/20/2017 3:04	[REDACTED]	INBOX		Because she's surely going to start googling again and will want you to say it's not true, etc.	sms
289	6/20/2017 9:15	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Okay. I do not intend to respond. Also, I left my two iphones at work.	sms
290	6/20/2017 11:26	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX			

291	6/20/2017 14:48	OUTBOX		If I am going to call, I think it makes sense to do while you are still there. Thoughts? \nAlso, I will do it on a SC phone, just so no number comes up. Maybe 11:30.	sms
292	6/23/2017 13:49	OUTBOX		Check your Verizon account, and don't text me back.	sms
293	6/23/2017 14:00	OUTBOX		Just confirm when you have seen it. I want no discussion.	sms
294	7/24/2017 18:06	INBOX		Just leaving my IG interview now. I'll miss the tag up.	sms
295	8/8/2017 23:16	INBOX		How long you see this thing running?	sms
296	8/8/2017 23:17	OUTBOX		A while.	sms

## Page Lync Messages

Date UTC	From	To	Content Text
1 10/20/16 17:34	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	The FISC came back with a few minor questions. We're addressing now with Ol. I don't think it will change pagination. Once we have those answered, I think it we can discuss the changes with Rybicki and see if the D wants to resign or send the certified copy over.
2 10/20/16 17:45	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	Awesome! I'm headed out to DOJ in 5. Be back by 3.
3 10/20/16 20:08	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Was it signed? Yes, it's over at DOJ now.
4 10/20/16 20:08	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	No, I mean by the court.
5 10/20/16 20:08	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	Oh, no - should be signed by DAG now. Court has given comments. Waiting for next word
6 10/20/16 20:08	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	Ah. Well I was at a meeting with the DAG until 3:30, so hopefully she gets it soon
7 10/20/16 20:09	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	we were waiting to sign until the final version from the court was given
8 10/20/16 20:09	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	
9 10/20/16 20:09	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	Thanks for all your crazy work -- if we get it, it's a huge testament to your effort.
10 10/20/16 20:09	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	It's been an adventure!
11 10/20/16 20:09	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] keclinesmith@[REDACTED]	Yeah, that's one way to put it.
12 11/24/15 18:39	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	FOXNEWS scroll - "Trump: Waterboarding Works"
13 12/9/15 14:33	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Before you know it, Lisa will be the face of the HVT victim. Trump has already called asking her to appear at a rally.
14 1/15/16 22:25	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Francois Hollande left a VM, Sarah McLaughlin is penning a doleful song, sad yet full of hope.\
15 2/4/16 23:04	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Can I make you a hat with that, Donald Trump style?
16 2/4/16 23:04	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	I told him MYF is my first priority and CD4 my tenth or lower...
17 2/4/16 23:05	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	tea looks surprisingly yummy
18 2/4/16 23:06	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	I really love having it
19 2/5/16 15:22	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Trisha asked whether we should offer to provide a FISA briefing or any other type of briefing to the DD
20 2/5/16 15:22	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	I thought I would run it by you first to get your thoughts
			I left some comments on your KSAs on my chair. I think you are missing the point on your Clinton one. If this is your judgment KSA, you need to be talking about knowing when to push DOJ, when to let certain issues go; when to brief the 7th floor on case developments, and how much to brief; how to balance uncovering the truth and doing it in a case which would inevitably be very public and in a way which would maintain the citizenry's confidence.
21 7/21/16 13:56	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	
22 7/26/16 18:44	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED] sent you an email with a summary of the new facts for the FISA. Ron is in the building and is available for any briefing. I have the original FISA on my desk. Let me know how you want to proceed. Thank you. [REDACTED]
23 7/26/16 19:10	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Got it. Thanks. I will provide it to the DO. In the meantime, can you arrange for the package to be returned to the DO's office?
24 7/28/16 13:49	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	so three things I want to talk to you about : 1. [REDACTED] 2) Our open CI investigations relating to Trump's Russian connections
25 7/28/16 19:42	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	3) Whether two things I bought frames for are OK to hang
26 7/28/16 19:42	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	I may get tapped to do CI briefings to Presidential transition campaigns...
27 8/1/16 14:54	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	You around for a bit...
28 8/1/16 14:54	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hey can you call me about next steps re MYE?
29 8/1/16 19:59	[REDACTED] lcpboone@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	maybe strzok would grace us with his Mid-Year presence
30 8/1/16 19:31	[REDACTED] lcpboone@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	i think we need to get pete a clip on tie, mid-year took enough out of him that his big boy ties have been askew more often than not
31 8/2/16 19:32	[REDACTED] lcpboone@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Are you aware of a "tasking from the Deputy" that might have gone to Cyber today? They are desparately trying to find me for some reason. I'm not sure I know what that is....
			We had a brief related to the DNC hack -- cyber is going up to the Hill tomorrow to brief

Req FBI004584

FBI-HJC119-CH-000447

32	8/2/16 19:32	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
33	8/2/16 19:33	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
34	8/2/16 19:33	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
35	8/2/16 19:33	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
36	8/2/16 19:33	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
37	8/2/16 20:03	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
38	8/2/16 20:06	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
39	8/3/16 15:49	lcpage@██████████						
40	8/3/16 15:50	lcpage@██████████						
41	8/3/16 18:07	lcpage@██████████						
42	8/3/16 18:15	lcpage@██████████						
43	8/3/16 22:03	lcpage@██████████						
44	8/3/16 22:03	lcpage@██████████						
45	8/5/16 17:23		lcpage@██████████					
46	8/5/16 17:24	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
47	8/5/16 19:31	lcpage@██████████	jrsmith7@██████████					
48	8/5/16 19:31	lcpage@██████████	jrsmith7@██████████					
49	8/5/16 19:31	lcpage@██████████	jrsmith7@██████████					
50	8/5/16 19:34	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
51	8/5/16 19:34	lcpage@██████████	jcmoffa@██████████					
52	8/8/16 16:13	jcmoffa@██████████						

Req FB1004585

FBI-HJC119-CH-000448



99	9/9/16 14:48	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	They have questions about some of the details of how we would conduct the review, dissemination procedures, etc., which Trisha is working to get answers on.
30	9/9/16 14:49	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Once we have answered those questions for ourselves, I would expect we will probably be asked to sit down with the AG and DAG to discuss access (or not, if it is denied).
31	9/9/16 14:49	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Everyone understands the need for expediency. I actually think that is happening.
32	9/9/16 14:58	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	OK. thanks. As long as OGC is tracking it, I mean, technically I still object to the additional questions but whatever. As long as we are getting to the end of this.
33	9/16/16 13:32	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	There is some debate today over whether the DD authorized us to query THE phone or simply a model of the phone. I'm confident it was THE phone.
34	9/16/16 13:32	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Is this your understanding as well?
35	9/16/16 13:33	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	I don't know. I would ask Jonathan.
36	9/16/16 13:33	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	I would think the phone, but he should know better.
37	9/16/16 13:33	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	It's very clear in the Scion write up, but folks have their panties in a bunch. Sigh
38	9/22/16 22:20	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	You heard Russians back on DNC?
39	9/22/16 22:21	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Andy's sold source into Teterboro tonight?>
40	9/22/16 22:21	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Andy's old source
41	10/5/16 20:36	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Madsen just now: "I can't see a way forward without legal process."
42	10/7/16 14:39	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	What is McCabe's wife's first name? Is it Samantha or Sam?
43	10/7/16 14:45	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Nevermind. It's [REDACTED] think...
44	10/7/16 15:06	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	jill
45	10/7/16 15:07	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	But true real name is [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. Why?
				(of which Capricia Marshall
				My guys dug up a list o email addresses it looks like [REDACTED] who was outed on leaks yesterday was one). A Sam McCabe gmail is being targeted along with about 20 other email addresses for people you have heard of and who the Russians will make great use of in the next days....
				Hey I took the liberty of forwarding some of those WH statement emails... And I have election/CH daily update for you
				Dina said she just emailed them. I can go print them off.
				Lisa, we have the final draft of the DRAGON FISA
				Okay. I texted the DD to let him know I had a couple of things to discuss with him. Unfortunately, when he responded, he was getting on a plane, so we'll be out of touch for the next 5.5 hours.
				so.
				I can call Rybicki, ask him to check in with the D.
				If you think that's acceptable, it might be a good thing to do; that would help us get it to the FISC more quickly
				Yeah, I think DD would be okay with that. Let me see if I can track down Rybicki
				will let you know whether or not we connect.
				I heard back from Rybicki. Long and short, if I don't hear back from him by 3:00, we can launch the read copy. But let's talk about the best way to communicate that to DOJ.
				OJ, I'll convey that to Sally. Let me know if you would like to provide a paragraph for the e-mail to O
				Do you want me to just call Sally?
				It's up to you. She's in an out of meetings all the time; if you help me with the language, I can let OJ know if the existing e-mail chain (or we can call them together)
				*in the existing
				I reached out to OJ. They are going to push for final approval and will circulate a final read copy when they have it.
				Lisa, I have a couple of items to discuss about the forthcoming Congressional and FOIA production in re the Clinton Matter when you have time today.
				Ready when you are.
				Does that help?
				No, Mike said last week you mentioned that Bob Litt and others were drafted bullets regarding the Yahoo Reuters article.

117	10/12/16 16:46	[cpage@████████]	I don't recall bullets. It was in the course of a back and forth about what COULD be said, but I don't think officially they decided on anything other than Bob telling the Europeans that everything he had previously said re Privacy Shield was still accurate.
118	10/12/16 16:47	[cpage@████████]	Some Commerce or other lumpy is supposed to be meeting with some feckless European body this week so we should know more soon.
119	10/12/16 16:47	[cpage@████████]	Ha! I luv' your editorials.
120	10/13/16 14:06	[cpage@████████]	Jason will provide OPA with the three FBI employee 302s. If you can just provide the "problematic" State ones, that would be great.
121	10/13/16 14:09	[ppstrzok@████████]	sending a unet email to all of you now
122	10/13/16 14:09	[ppstrzok@████████]	Does he have the name of the current SA?
123	10/13/16 14:13	[cpag@████████]	He has the 302, so I presume so.
124	10/13/16 14:20	[cpage@████████]	Okay, I'm just doing a smidge of work now.
125	10/13/16 14:20	[ppstrzok@████████]	Ooh, I'll come up and help!
126	10/13/16 14:22	[cpag@████████]	Hmm. Think it is doubtful that it is a relation, but I'll ask.
127	10/13/16 14:23	[jcmoffa@████████]	I'm sure there is no relation. That's who it is though, just to close the loop...
128	10/13/16 14:23	[jcmoffa@████████]	I sent a big list up the chain today based on our initial analysis of the spreadsheets and who is on there.
129	10/13/16 14:24	[cpag@████████]	Awesome. Too bad we had no such analysis back in say, January before all this nonsense started.
130	10/13/16 14:24	[cpage@████████]	Anyway, thanks again. You rock.
131	10/13/16 14:24	[jcmoffa@████████]	Better late than never...I guess. I really appreciate it. Trying to keep election air traffic control from resulting in a major disaster...
132	10/13/16 14:25	[cpag@████████]	I know you are.
133	10/13/16 14:30	[jcmoffa@████████]	By the way, I found the thing I was referring to yesterday. ██████████
134	10/13/16 14:30	[jcmoffa@████████]	████████ There are lots of scary things hiding in those big data buckets of collection.
135	10/13/16 14:30	[cpag@████████]	It was a Google search.
136	10/13/16 14:30	[jcmoffa@████████]	You know what I never get tired of? MYE
137	10/13/16 14:30	[cpag@████████]	I'm tired of it. I'm also tired of "senior FBI officials" being quoted
138	10/13/16 14:30	[cpag@████████]	Interesting. That's when he took over as acting EAD of NSB, if I'm not mistaken.
139	10/13/16 15:01	[cpage@████████]	Yeah, no sh*t.
140	10/13/16 19:29	[jcmoffa@████████]	No Sam McCabe, Andy just confirmed.
141	10/13/16 20:25	[cpage@████████]	Any word on the DD's talk with the white house about the ██████████? I've acquired additional details for him when he's back to get a better sense of what's on there.
142	10/14/16 14:23	[jcmoffa@████████]	Yes, call my desk? ██████████
143	10/14/16 14:27	[cpage@████████]	Hi. No problem. I'm back until the 11am with Cyber, so just let me know when you have a minute. I just want to make sure I'm ██████████ prepared for when the DD is back...
144	10/14/16 14:28	[jcmoffa@████████]	I'm here. Want to talk now?
145	10/14/16 15:02	[cpage@████████]	Sure. Lync?
146	10/14/16 15:45	[ppstrzok@████████]	Just called WH Counselor's office. Now DOJ will be there, and it will be a meeting hosted at DOJ. Will let you know when I know more.
147	10/14/16 15:45	[cpage@████████]	I'm going to email Stu and let him know we've gotten all the info we're going to get recrown and souring questions
148	10/21/16 17:28		I don't think I want to go to the WH event. If I have to get up early, I'd rather go to bootcamp
149	10/21/16 17:28		It's all over the news that among John Podesta's hacked gmails are ones between him and Obama going back to at least 2008. I wonder if the Obama email account is this same Yahoo account that Chris is now talking about?
150	10/27/16 17:31	[cpage@████████]	████████ gruu:op aque=app:config:focusid:;vgzzvd3
151	10/27/16 17:31	[samoyer@████████]	Seems like a good question for the 7th Floor to handle..
152	10/27/16 17:31	[cpage@████████]	I have a non-MYE question that I need a quick answer to. Can you call me?
153	11/3/16 12:54	[cpage@████████]	What's your number?
			Give me 30 seconds.
			Hey can you send me that timeline?

Senate HSGAC\_Transition Req FBI004588

FBI-HJC119-CH-000451

154	11/3/16 20:41	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
155	11/3/16 20:43	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
156	11/3/16 20:49	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
157	11/3/16 20:51	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
158	11/4/16 14:10	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
159	11/4/16 14:12	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
160	11/7/16 17:56	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
161	11/7/16 18:34	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
162	11/7/16 19:23	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]																	
163	11/7/16 19:23	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]																	
164	11/7/16 19:24	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]																	
165	11/7/16 19:24	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
166	11/7/16 19:25	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
167	11/7/16 19:25	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]																	
168	11/8/16 14:25	[REDACTED] lcmoffa@[REDACTED]																	
169	11/9/16 18:34	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
170	11/21/16 18:42	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
171	11/25/16 15:58	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
172	11/25/16 15:58	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
173	11/25/16 19:00	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
174	11/25/16 19:01	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
175	11/25/16 19:02	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
176	11/25/16 19:02	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
177	11/25/16 19:03	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	
178	11/25/16 19:04	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]																	

179	11/25/16 19:04	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	Just a guess...:]
180	11/25/16 19:04	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	Yeah. Now we should "suggest" that they move on from this story to something else I suspect that's it.
181	11/25/16 19:05	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	Hey - Did you ever get anything more from OCA about when (and to what degree) we are required or obligated to brief Congress?
182	11/30/16 14:32	[samoyer@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
183	11/30/16 14:32	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
184	11/30/16 14:32	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
185	11/30/16 14:33	[samoyer@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
186	11/30/16 14:33	[samoyer@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
187	11/30/16 14:34	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
188	11/30/16 14:34	[samoyer@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
189	11/30/16 14:34	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
190	11/30/16 14:34	[samoyer@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
191	11/30/16 14:34	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
192	11/30/16 14:35	[samoyer@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
193	12/16/16 16:30	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
194	12/16/16 17:46	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[samoyer@██████████]
195	12/22/16 22:03	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
196	12/22/16 22:03	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
197	12/22/16 22:06	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
198	12/22/16 22:12	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
199	12/22/16 22:16	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
200	12/27/16 19:03	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
201	12/27/16 19:03	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
202	12/27/16 19:04	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
203	12/27/16 19:05	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
204	12/27/16 19:10	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
205	12/27/16 19:12	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
206	12/30/16 22:04	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]
207	12/30/16 22:05	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]
208	12/30/16 22:06	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]
209	12/30/16 22:06	[cpage@██████████]	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]
210	12/30/16 22:07	[jcmoffa@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]	[cpage@██████████]

Senate HSGAC\_Transition Req FBI004590

FBI-HJC119-CH-000453



249	1/13/17 14:38	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Honestly, that piece I'm not worried about. I KNOW how the investigation was carried out. I KNOW how we carried out the investigation, I KNOW that Andy need not have recused himself, that the Director had NO political intentions. So whatever, it's fine.
250	1/13/17 14:39	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	The truth will set us free.
251	1/13/17 14:40	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Actually, I have a question for you. Let me get off this call and call you:
252	1/13/17 14:42	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	So, this was the public statement released to the press: - I am grateful to the Department of Justice's IG for taking on this review. He is professional and independent, and the FBI will cooperate fully with him and his office. I hope very much he is able to share his conclusions and observations with the public, because everyone will benefit from thoughtful evaluation and transparency regarding this matter."
253	1/13/17 14:42	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Good statement. Call when ready.
254	1/13/17 20:31	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hi.? Sorry.? I totally screwed that up.? Was in with Dawn going through a bunch of things.? Of course now I totally don't feel like talking Midyear, but I can if you want.? Just let me know.
255	1/13/17 23:25	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	I am grateful to the Department of Justice's IG for taking on this review. He is professional and independent, and the FBI will cooperate fully with him and his office. I hope very much he is able to share his conclusions and observations with the public, because everyone will benefit from thoughtful evaluation and transparency regarding this matter."
256	1/13/17 23:26	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	And I really want to hear about heated D-DWS interaction CNN is reporting
257	1/17/17 14:45	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	You'd be an awesome reporter ;)
258	1/23/17 16:32	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Hey, you up for lunch? Saw tomorrow's out and Thurs will be dicey. Also, want to talk to you about what to do with Pence statement re. RAZOR...
259	1/23/17 22:35	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Bill just went upstairs to take RAZOR proposal to Mike
		[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Turn on cnn
260	1/24/17 13:57	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz hahaha. No timing yet. I'm still trying to connect with Flynn's office to set up the call. I left a message for Flynn's EA to call me.
261	1/24/17 13:57	[REDACTED] jmiller1@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz I'll let you know as soon as I know.
262	1/24/17 13:57	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz Rgr thanks.
263	1/24/17 13:58	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz We're taking bets on whether or not and when it actually happens...I have no certainty...
264	1/24/17 15:05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz The call will be at 12:30.
265	1/24/17 15:16	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz Rgr thanks
266	1/24/17 15:17	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 paque-app:config:focus:id;z35rbtdz Is anyone going to sit in with him? Lisa and I discussed, and then I discussed with Sally - Sally's thought was that it was to serve as a witness, it should not be anyone in a counsel capacity.
267	1/25/17 21:39	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 I still have your Flynn cut
268	1/25/17 21:39	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;0 NP.. I'll get it eventually.
		[REDACTED]	STRZOK PETER P II January 25, 2017 - 6:12 PM (U//FOUO) Please add NSLB to approval line KEVIN E CLINESMITH January [REDACTED]
269	1/27/17 23:59	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	26 2017 - 12:03 PM (U//FOUO) Please review for CD-3 equities. (Requested review from [REDACTED] [REDACTED])
270	1/30/17 23:31	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	January 27, 2017 - 8:15 AM (U//FOUO) "NSLB" is now "NSCLB." Otherwise, NSCLB approves.
271	2/1/17 23:37	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	did they call WHC?
272	2/1/17 23:37	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Ah.. Yeah, that sucks. I get it. Can I stop by at some point and finish reading that transcript? Not urgent, and i suppose people are milling around still up there.
273	2/1/17 23:37	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Have someone taking the cuts to WH tomorrow.
274	2/1/17 23:38	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Yes, but there are a million people still milling.
		[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	And they're talking to Typhoon now, without an atty

Senate HSGAC\_Transition Req FBI004592

FBI-HJC119-CH-000455

275	2/1/17 23:38	cpage@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	oh good
276	2/1/17 23:38	ppstrzok@██████████	cpage@██████████	And the thing Bruce O sent is pretty cool
277	2/1/17 23:38	cpage@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Am I on it?
278	2/1/17 23:38	ppstrzok@██████████	cpage@██████████	No And and but I don't want to make your late night later
279	2/2/17 18:53	██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	I'm not. I just talked to Kevin and he said he heard they were concerned about leaks of the transcripts from calls with Mexico and Australia.
280	2/2/17 18:53	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	He gave me a different presentation of the facts
281	2/2/17 18:54	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	(in terms of urgency)
282	2/2/17 18:55	██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	The urgency was high when presented to me too.
283	2/3/17 21:06	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	I have not met the new <sup>CIA</sup> detailee. Jen is the Mayor of the 7th floor.
284	2/3/17 21:07	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	I told her I didn't even know there were any, to which she said she'd ask Jon
285	2/3/17 21:07	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	And Dina said she went to defensively brief Hope Hicks. With the EAD. Face time
286	2/3/17 21:09	jcmoffa@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	Super. She asked me for the write-up we did on Hope Hicks and what we found about her in the system. So I guess that's where she went.
287	2/3/17 21:09	cpage@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	And didn't tell you why? That's classy.
288	2/3/17 21:12	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM Do you have the cuts (or summary of cuts) re Hicks? STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM I dont think so. Didn't know there were any.... BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:33 PM what Jon referenced in the 1100s when we were still in the big conf room no worries. I'll ask moffa what he has
289	2/3/17 21:12	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:cpage@██████████;gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	she didnt tell me either
290	2/3/17 21:19	██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:4gsvcbs2	██████████ managed to piss off both Steinbach and Flynn on the same day.
291	2/3/17 21:20	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:4gsvcbs2	What did he do to Flynn?
292	2/3/17 21:20	██████████	sip:ppstrzok@██████████;gruu;o paqe=app:conf:focus:id:4gsvcbs2	Flynn expected the brief and was told it wouldn't happen because of Kevin.
293	2/10/17 0:10	ppstrzok@██████████	cpage@██████████	Just thinking he might have not (or been afraid) to tell Flynn
294	2/10/17 0:10	ppstrzok@██████████	cpage@██████████	Told Kevin to tell Ezra in no uncertain terms the FBI had done everything it could and was waiting on WH before we could do anything else
295	2/10/17 0:12	cpage@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Yup, I can hear it now.

296	2/10/17 0:30	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hey while I'm thinking about it, can you send those lists to me? We aren't getting those names unless they affirmatively hit our indices
297	2/10/17 18:49	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	just learned that Trump was briefed on Op Legion threat from a couple years back for part of effort to get him up to speed
298	2/10/17 18:50	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	on national security
299	2/15/17 14:46	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	briefed by whom?
300	2/15/17 14:51	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Thoughts on sharing Andy's write up of convo with Flynn with DOI?
301	2/15/17 14:57	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Convo with Flynn? The one when he called to have you guys go over? I don't remember a write-up, but there have been a lot of them
			[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Yes. I'll send to you
302	2/15/17 14:57	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Can we meet at 11 instead? I am concerned this pre-brief will run over, and I would like to talk to Andy about his 702 materials.
303	2/15/17 14:58	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	I don't think it's urgent re the call recap. We did mention the call occurred
304	2/15/17 14:59	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Oh yeah. Now I recall. Why would we need to provide this to DOI?
305	2/15/17 14:59	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Do you think your discussion with Andy might go into 11, or does he have a hard stop?
306	2/15/17 14:59	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Elpynn's statements
307	2/15/17 14:59	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	He has a hard stop.
308	2/15/17 14:59	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	k, I'll make 11 work
309	2/15/17 20:00	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Apparently D may speak about leaks
310	2/15/17 20:01	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	You should dial in
311	2/15/17 14:17	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	finishing typhoon update, done in 5 but go ahead and ask here, or I'll call in a sec.
312	2/17/17 14:18	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Talking this morning, you said something about whether to include in the D's prep, and I paused about it, and now I can't remember what it was.
313	2/27/17 19:44	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	I have a swirl of correspondence on SCION from OCA (some you're on, some you're not), asking for info about this. I have a very uneasy feeling that there isn't enough centralized management of our responses/messaging. I am working on responses to HPSCI QFRs right now...
314	2/27/17 19:45	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	So start documenting what you need via email...
315	3/1/17 14:22	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hi - hey FYI earlier on the phone, I hope I didn't come across the wrong way - just want info, as Sally and I are trying to call and neither us has detail on what was decided, eg, are we "suggesting," "asking," or some other term that GSA do it. Are we doing it verbally or in writing, etc.
316	3/1/17 14:49	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]samoyer@[REDACTED]	Meeting with the D 10:30. Please come if you can. I think Trisha is on the Hill, so I am prepared to talk about [REDACTED]
317	3/1/17 17:10	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	thing in case you are not coming. I read it Monday but then was out yesterday. If you are there, I will defer to you.
318	3/1/17 21:40	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	For the summary for the D - just the USPERS, or include the Russians/intermediaries. That quickly gets both long
319	3/1/17 22:53	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	and complicated. Thinking Papa, Page, Manafort, Flynn, Phares
320	3/2/17 14:43	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	
321	3/2/17 15:02	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	
322	3/2/17 15:21	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	
323	3/2/17 16:37	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hey have you had a chance to finalize that Razor timeline? If not, can I just get a softcopy of whatever you have? Thx
324	3/2/17 17:39	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	hey can I come down and get a copy of the [REDACTED]?
325	3/2/17 17:40	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Yes. Can you email me the timeline? Or, wait, because I have things to add
326	3/2/17 17:43	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	you watching? he's being an adult so far...
327	3/2/17 17:45	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Yes - though I missed the beginning as I was photocopying for you. :\$
328	3/2/17 17:45	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	We need to fix the D's stuff. Not horrible but not great
329	3/2/17 17:47	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Not sure if I want to do that; he was very specific in telling me to limit it!
330	3/2/17 17:47	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	can we go down together in the context of something, or one follow the other? Let's talk about it
331	3/7/17 20:57	lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]lcpage@[REDACTED]	Page had contact which <b>WAV</b> preceded his involvement in the campaign





				Hi Lisa - it's [REDACTED] on the Midyear Exam team. The Acting Director represented us honorably yesterday. I am so grateful he was able to redirect the narrative regarding the internal level of support for Director Comey. I know it helped ease some of my anxiety.
34	5/12/17 14:55	[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	
35	5/12/17 17:06	[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	
36	5/12/17 17:07	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Let Andy know that his testimony about Director Comey was like a vitamin B shot to morale around here
37	5/12/17 17:08	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	This morning, we started collecting cards for Comey. That sounds like a great add campaign - Cards for Comey.
38	5/12/17 17:11	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Nice. Source saying D not worried about tapes, that he didn't ask for the meeting, and that he didn't ask to keep his job Spicy's back and about to get the podium back from McMaster for questions if you're around...
39	5/12/17 17:35	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Hey serious question - does Trump's tweet to Comey break any law? Witness tampering?
40	5/12/17 17:32	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Thanks [REDACTED] I will...
41	5/12/17 18:03	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icmoffa@[REDACTED]	
42	5/12/17 18:04	Icpage@[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	no problem. Just let me know what you need
43	5/17/17 15:18	Icpage@[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Did you know that Wilmer Hale is representing Manafort?
44	5/17/17 15:18	Icpage@[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	t
45	5/17/17 15:18	Icpage@[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Found that out yesterday.
46	5/17/17 15:19	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Also wilmer who is representing kushner/vanka
47	5/17/17 15:19	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	who is representing Q?
48	5/17/17 15:19	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	beats ms
49	5/17/17 15:19	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	me
50	5/17/17 15:24	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Talked to Pete. Will proceed accordingly. We also will have the special FISA package for the D today, just need to coordinate handling that off for signature at some point.
51	5/17/17 15:25	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	okay, that's easy enough. He should be available around 2 to sign that
52	5/17/17 15:44	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	I did a quick word search and didn't see anything particularly on point. I'm concerned that maybe it was something in closed?
53	5/17/17 15:45	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	I'm reviewing my notes now, but if it was, we're not really going to be able to adequately respond
54	5/17/17 17:44	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Is there time tomorrow say 3PM or after, that you can schedule a signing for Cross Wind with the Acting Director? It appears
55	5/17/17 18:00	Icpage@[REDACTED]	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	the field is still finalizing it today.
56	5/17/17 18:11	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	totally/doable. Let's talk later.
57	5/17/17 18:31	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	3:00 crossfire case update with DAG is cancelled
58	5/22/17 11:27	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icmoffa@[REDACTED]	Tash is going to ride with the DAG
				Would you bring the Flynn cuts too?
59	5/23/17 21:36	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	understandably so, but you're going to be with Director Mueller, and at the center of it all. what a very cool opportunity. i was
60	6/1/17 19:52	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	the counsel thrown into the NSD internal review of FBI training materials as directed by Mueller when we had all the scrutiny
61	6/2/17 14:35	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Iplenta@[REDACTED]	over anti-muslim training, and you find your footing pretty quickly and realize you know more than you do
62	6/7/17 18:07	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Hey give me a call /SSCI request
63	6/7/17 18:08	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	PS, I'm on the Special Counsel team now. For better or worse I guess
64	6/7/17 18:08	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	I am listening to CNN essentially read from the comey memos right two.
65	6/7/17 18:08	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	So this is about that. If you have time I want to tip you off on some things
66	6/7/17 18:08	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] drives --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
67	6/7/17 18:08	kedlinesmith@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	I think the A/D needs to lock those down.
68	6/7/17 18:08	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	can you come down here?
69	6/7/17 20:14	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Yes, OMW.
70	6/7/17 20:41	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Spoke to baker. Explained that I thought that this issue was not in our purview. I'll confirm with SC team, but in the meantime,
71	6/8/17 13:42	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	he knows you guys should proceed based on FBI equities.'
72	6/13/17 18:54	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	ok, thanks
73	6/13/17 19:02	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	LISA! Any chance that you are here at HQ today and planning to watch Comey's testimony? If you are, I would LOVE to sit and
74	6/14/17 18:54	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	watch it with you... what are your plans?
75	6/14/17 18:54	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	God Sessions has that funny hand position...
76	6/14/17 18:54	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Didn't someone say he DIDNT consult with an ethics official?
77	6/14/17 18:54	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Icpage@[REDACTED]	Do you have an updated Flynn timeline?

434	6/28/17 13:39	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Oh crap. I might be logged on at SC if you are trying to lync. I'm sitting at HQ right now. Do you have a desk phone handy?
435	6/28/17 15:10	[REDACTED] @ [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hey Lisa, do you have time for a quick chat? I got an email from SSCI today on an issue and wanted to quickly deconflict with you before reaching out to Stephen.
436	7/19/17 14:32	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hey do you have softcopies of the three primary Flynn verbatims?
437	7/19/17 14:33	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	No, I've only ever had hard copies.
438	7/19/17 14:33	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	OK. Sorta related, how are Andy/your memos about the DAG stuff going? You want me to coord with you or Baker or someone else?
439	7/19/17 14:35	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	K. Also, please, if you have an updated Flynn timeline that you were maintaining, that would be helpful.
440	7/19/17 14:36	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Sorry for all the asks...
441	7/19/17 15:11	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	I don't have an updated timeline. I can send what I have, but I don't recall ever updating it.
442	7/19/17 15:11	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Re memos, I am meeting with Andy at noon, and hope to get the rest of them then. [REDACTED] is coming by at 1:15 for some congressional-related question. Can I provide them to her then?
443	7/19/17 15:44	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	OK pls send what you have, I need to dig up my annotated hard copy
444	7/19/17 15:55	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	And yes that would be fine to give to [REDACTED]. Thank you.
445	8/3/17 14:09	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	oh nothing. I just didn't know if you were back. There was a rumor that your TDY was up
446	8/3/17 14:10	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	From Special Counsel? Yes. I decided not to renew. Trying to actually be a parent for a while
447	8/4/17 15:05	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	It was some article about people who might be called before the grand jury (was yesterday). It was Andy, Carl, a few others and Andy's attorney
448	8/4/17 15:06	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	I actually left the Special Counsel team. I need to fix my life
449	8/4/17 15:06	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Oh yeah...
450	8/4/17 15:06	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	where r u
451	8/4/17 15:06	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	same office in the glass doors
452	8/4/17 15:06	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	back to working for Andy for now.
453	8/4/17 15:07	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	I don't think I've spoken to you since the D's firing. Geez, I don't know where the time goes
454	8/4/17 15:07	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	good. can I call in a sec.
455	9/25/17 14:28	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Steinbach is not on the emails that are being released (re the MYE statement)

## Strzok Lync Messages

Date UTC	From	To	Content Text
2016-06-28 22:02:28	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	jerrybicki@[REDACTED]	Jim - I have the POTUS - HRC emails D requested at end of briefing yesterday. I hesitate to leave them, please let me know a convenient time to drop them off. We need to talk [REDACTED] when you get back. I got pulled into a conference call with Trainer because he saw me in the hallway...
2016-07-01 15:42:09	jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	I have three packets of the (C) e-mails in the review room. I'm doing a quality control check of them now, so they're ready whenever you want/need them. (Kevin has a separate copy for Sally right now.)
2016-07-06 21:06:05	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] and I are working on the HRC additions to the paper. Since the material is new, do you want her to send the latest internally first (you, JM, SM)? As opposed to DOI?
2016-07-07 20:20:00	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Hey I have the Clinton 302 with a couple of very minor edits
2016-07-11 11:50:34	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	lcpage@[REDACTED]	I remain@[REDACTED] have a couple of mye briefing ideas too
2016-07-11 15:09:58	lcpage@[REDACTED]	sip:samoyer@[REDACTED]	gruu:opaque=a Also, should we get together this morning to talk MYE since we have to go in front of the DD at 1pm tomorrow with some kind of plan?
2016-07-12 14:19:36	lcmoffa@[REDACTED]	sip:samoyer@[REDACTED]	gruu:opaque=a Unfortunately, I have a meeting at 10:30 and 11:45. Want to catch up at noon or before the 1?
2016-07-12 14:20:35	samoyer@[REDACTED]	sip:samoyer@[REDACTED]	gruu:opaque=a Also, gathering some facts for the meeting tomorrow with the DD.
2016-07-12 14:21:28	samoyer@[REDACTED]	pp:conf:focus:id:yvn4v2tw	I took Jonathan's email as a tasking for OCA (at this point).
2016-07-12 14:32:49	jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	sip:samoyer@[REDACTED]	gruu:opaque=e-a They have a tasking to make a binder summarizing the questions, but doesn't OGC have the ticket on telling him tomorrow what our plan/obligations are for how to answer them? That was my understanding, right?
2016-07-12 15:30:23	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	sip:samoyer@[REDACTED]	Varacalli is going. We talked earlier, and are on the same page. While we shouldn't be doing a damage assessment, we need to do some assessment and mitigation work. It's similar to Snowden that way...
2016-07-20 21:17:25	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Hi Pete, EAD Coleman is asking for the MYE talking points in advance of a trip to Dallas FO. Just checking to see if you were able to satisfy Baker's questions re: the fact-based examples. I will also ping you on UNET (sorry to stalk you)
2016-07-21 12:22:33	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	lcpage@[REDACTED]	Does this strike you as odd? I mean, he KNOWS the case, right?
2016-07-21 13:19:29	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	5:17 PM Hi Pete, EAD Coleman is asking for the MYE talking points in advance of a trip to Dallas FO. Just checking to see if you were able to satisfy Baker's questions re: the fact-based examples. I will also ping you on UNET (sorry to stalk you)
2016-07-25 15:47:34	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	I got them from a NY Times article I found on Google that described the charges and the guilty plea – also Priestap sent an email to the EAD, which I can forward to you...
2016-07-27 20:00:26	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	are you all having the MYE review tomorrow?
2016-07-27 20:01:06	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	do we need the MYE meeting today @ 1
2016-07-27 21:08:32	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Pete - Lisa Page sent an email to Bill requesting immediate review of the MYE Congress response as she is trying to get it out tonight. There were apparently some revisions. Bill may want to know you review and are good or not with language.
		[REDACTED]	Id did, we worked on it together. There is an issue of granularity at one point but she has flagged and i agree with her comment just closing loop... i talked to Bill about the MYE paper. He was fine with you taking the lead. I saw you email to that effect directed to Lisa plus.

		so three things i want to talk to you about :1) [REDACTED] 2) Our open CI investigations relating to Trump's Russian connections 3) Whether two things I bought frames for are OK to hang
2016-07-28 13:49:20	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 19:30:07	jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 19:30:52	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 19:38:35	jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 21:12:12	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] dnc
2016-07-28 21:12:20	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] related to mye
2016-07-29 13:09:16	kheard@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] do we need MYE today?
		Talked with [REDACTED] following his email this morning. I think we're fine, Australians want to keep this low key and protect the career dir [REDACTED] who met with Papadopoulos. DCM is notifying State since [REDACTED]
		I asked Paul to ask DCM to limit dissem at State as much as possible. Hopefully means 60 people instead of 120.
2016-08-01 12:20:27	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED]
2016-08-01 12:27:45	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-08-01 13:11:09	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED]
2016-08-01 13:11:27	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]
2016-08-01 13:23:13	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]
2016-08-01 13:23:34	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-08-01 15:21:54	bjvandeven@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-08-02 12:19:42	ramains@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-08-04 19:54:07	kheard@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-08-08 14:02:39	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jplientka@[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED] gruu;opaque=ap sip:jcmoffa@[REDACTED];pcon:fcusid:8d5h5f0r
2016-08-08 16:13:16	jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]
2016-08-09 23:05:10	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]
2016-08-09 23:55:10	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-10 00:02:49	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]
2016-08-10 00:03:52	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]

2016-08-10 13:00:02	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] gruu;opaque=a	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-10 15:08:19	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pp:conf:focus:id:85mzcvdhd	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-10 22:11:43	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-10 22:34:12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jplientka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-15 13:53:05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-15 14:30:45	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-16 14:16:29	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-16 14:16:46	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-16 14:41:57	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-16 16:42:53	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-16 19:49:29	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] mfvavaracall@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-17 18:19:25	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-17 18:55:58	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 12:32:48	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jplientka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 14:41:44	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 18:07:09	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jplientka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 18:07:26	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 18:07:50	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 18:07:55	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 18:08:14	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 18:08:23	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 22:48:18	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-23 22:48:21	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

				Kids are genius At this time, Flynn interjected, "I did SIGINT." Writer responded that he could then appreciate what our country can do technically to exploit a Tier 1 target and we must extrapolate a Foreign Intelligence Service could do the same to us. Trump then stated, "Yes I understand its a dark time. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] We used to lock things in a room, now anyone can get in. My son is ten years old. [REDACTED]
2016-08-30 23:02:54	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Moffa and I are meeting with ODAG about access to the [REDACTED] at 1:30. We may be a little late for the 2:30 CH meeting. I do have something I'll need to raise with you about CH [REDACTED]
2016-09-16 17:06:17	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	FYI just sent Joe M to get with Joe P on something that just came up: [REDACTED] and agent in IOD was out to dinner recently and sat next to, what she later learned was DOS A/S Toria Nunland and Senator Corker. [REDACTED] overheard discussions about Trump, etc. Toria is in my NSC meetings over the harassment and was the big decision maker on the PNG, etc after the assault. not sure if any issue but something work looking at
2016-09-20 19:56:21	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Also, they will want to discuss the Russian DNC hack as well. As soon as I receive the OCAs piece which will be provided to the D, I will forward to you.
		[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	Hey can you bring by the [REDACTED] which details the Russian and the German? Thanks
		[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jplentka@[REDACTED]	You heard Russians back on DNC?
				Any updates before I walk upstairs? (eg Deripaska positive contact, CG cyber stuff?)
				however, this is a cut and paste from CG at 3PM for you guys: Miami followed up this morning with Central Dynamics who confirmed that the mail1.trump-email.com domain is an old domain that was set up in approximately 2009 when they were doing business with Trump Organization that was never used. They released the domain via GoDaddy to the Trump Organization over a year ago; however, the DNS tables were not updated and that domain still pointed to Central Dynamics servers. As of this afternoon, a WHOIS look-up revealed that the mail1.trump-email.com no longer resolves to Central Dynamics, indicating they likely updated their DNS tables after the FBI informed them of the oversight. This email domain is no longer pointing to any active mail server. Central Dynamics provided reviewed a picture of a Barracuda (spam filter) service connected to server [trump-email.com]. The information displayed by the Barracuda spam filter for trump-email.com indicates that during an unspecified time period, 15 inbound emails were received, 1 was allowed to pass through the filter, and 1 outbound email was marked as spam and blocked. The information provided only reflects email smtp traffic, and we have requested that Miami obtain logs for the email server on which the domain was residing to identify whether or not there was any other traffic (non-smtp) that indicates malware or another ALFA BANK traffic [REDACTED] including the alleged DNS queries residing on the server [REDACTED]
2016-09-23 18:25:52	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jplentka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-09-23 19:49:17	[REDACTED] jplentka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

2016-09-23 22:21:23	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	bjaunit@[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-09-27 14:22:26	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-09-28 21:36:17	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
2016-09-28 21:36:19	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
2016-09-30 14:09:12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jdmarasco@[REDACTED] pjentka@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-10 17:01:55	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 17:57:42	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 18:00:04	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 18:00:51	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 18:01:12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 18:02:02	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 18:02:24	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 18:02:39	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-17 19:06:12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-18 10:44:13	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcromoff@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-18 14:14:43	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-19 09:44:37	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-19 12:08:50	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcromoff@[REDACTED]	
2016-10-19 13:40:22	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzok@[REDACTED]	meryn2@[REDACTED]

		ppstrzok@██████████			Pete - Cyber is asking for information regarding the Romanian server that housed the Blumenthal emails. The latest release of 302s resulted in a request to LEGAT by the Romanians. We have the info but I wanted to check with you as to how much we can release.
2016-10-19 15:43:22		██████████	██████████	██████████	I think we can. I'd defer to CYD. Is it pretty much the info that was on thumb drive that the IMF CHS gave us?
2016-10-19 19:52:28	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	Yes. It is all on the thumb drive. I ran it by Kevin so that is how Sally knows. Are you going tonight?
2016-10-19 20:07:07	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	It's all over the news that among John Podesta's hacked gmails are ones between him and Obama going back to at least 2008. I wonder if the Obama email account is this same Yahoo account that Chris is now talking about?
2016-10-21 17:28:22		██████████	██████████	██████████	gruu;opaque=ap
2016-10-24 17:49:35	dpieter@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	gruu;opaque=a pp:conf:focus:id:36it1k10
					Are you referring to Midyear? Bob-for-MYVE, I'm trying to pull out from the way back time machine the date we met with INSD and provided the ██████████ 302s. I remember meeting the guy in your office. Do you (or Myra) still have your old calendar? I have a note to myself on 9/4/15 that I needed to do so. Thanks. I hate this case...
2016-10-24 22:42:14	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	27 confirmed classified TOTAL (26 to ClintonEmail, 1 to Yahoo): 6 of the 27 were SECRET then (4 of which remain SECRET now and 2 of which are CONFIDENTIAL) - 21 of the 27 were CONFIDENTIAL then (16 of which remain CONFIDENTIAL now and 5 of which are UNCLASS or FOUO)
2016-10-26 19:08:41			██████████	██████████	And point of clarification, we are just talking about Huma's ClintonEmail or Yahoo accounts? Do we care if many of the Confirmed Classified were in her State.gov? That will take a lot longer to figure out since we didn't track that on the spreadsheet.
2016-10-26 19:45:43			██████████	██████████	gruu;opaque=a pp:conf:focus:id:005mtywn
2016-10-26 20:16:09	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	gruu;opaque=a pp:conf:focus:id:005mtywn
2016-10-26 20:30:22	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	H ██████████ are you there, by any chance is Sally with you? Or whether DOI ██████████ Thanks
2016-10-31 17:08:37	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	Jcmoffa@██████████ gruu;opaque=a Bill also said DD said MYVE brief may get pushed till tomorrow
2016-11-01 15:09:31	jcmoffa@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	She was with me and now she is headed down to the 11am with DOI in 4017
2016-11-07 18:01:40	samoyer@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	I believe. We were briefing in the MYVE review team. I won't be able to meet at 2:30 anyway. I have two other meetings at that time.
					One with Baker so hoping to follow up with him on the ██████████ OGA
					Great. Can we steal him to go see the Crossfire and Russia program guys? The only ones in SIOC are the CD social media people and the multi-division command post (I assume that is who he is going to see). We are also supposed to be in Cyber meeting at 11am but I'll skip it if he has time to come see the team....
2016-11-08 14:25:29	jcmoffa@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	Are we having a CH intel SVTC this afternoon?
2016-11-10 13:07:23	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	gruu;opaque=a sip:jcmoffa@██████████ gruu;opaque=ap p:conf:focus:id:73qg3g05
2016-11-28 13:16:15	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	I'd like to get together this morning to discuss London. Would 10:30/11:00 work?
2016-11-28 15:17:22	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	Hey what TR# have we been using for this years Crossfire travel?
2016-11-28 15:41:22	mivaracall@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████ which is now completely depleted as a result.
2016-11-28 15:47:24	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	OK I will ask Lori to plus it up. Thanks
2016-11-28 19:08:26	jcmoffa@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████	Yo. I have this memo laying out past/future Flynn investigation that the AD wanted today. You want to see it before I hand it to him?

2016-12-12 16:09:09		[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Pete-Sec/DHS is sitting down to speak with WaPo's David Ignatius tomorrow to speak about the Russian hack issue...we have an opportunity to clarify some things through the Secretary if desired...you have about fifteen minutes today to huddle with myself and Mike?
2016-12-16 14:42:38	jpientka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Just passing this along as I write the Ohr 302 from Monday. Just want you to know this narrative is out there: Simpson received a bizarre tip on December 9 that the initial intentus to look at Anthony Weiner's computer came from [FGI] [REDACTED]
2016-12-16 14:53:56	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Simpson is not sure whether to believe this.
2016-12-19 15:45:01	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	Hey stop by, DD wants Crown added to material we're providing to CIA/DNI influence report
2016-12-19 16:24:36	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jpientka@[REDACTED]	Hey Paul need to talk hit me here when you can. BLUF - I need to talk to [FGI] at the [REDACTED] about using his sh*t in the report POTUS has asked for Where are we in interview of Winer?
2016-12-19 23:10:07		[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	I can ask you this over hync. Steinbach is covering a deputies secure call tomorrow from 10 to 11. My hunch is that the call will cover every potential cost we could impose on Russia in the next couple weeks. My question: is it a bad idea to invite Laycock to sit in for the call? Would you or Bill prefer to sit in for CD without Laycock?
2016-12-20 16:03:29	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] - also forgot to mention: Regarding our discussions with Ol specifically [REDACTED] Apparently they are doing us a solid by meeting with us on continued discussions re: TYPHOON. They made it clear [REDACTED] would not support even our discussions let alone an app....
2016-12-20 20:43:52	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] jcmoffa@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Hey can send me that [FGI] reporting? I don't have it
2017-01-03 11:24:27		[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	How do you want to handle this SKorea case out of Atlanta? Do you want it to go to the special "Crossfire Unit" or should it stay with CD4? If it's a CD4 case, do you want to handle this as a close hold (NSIs, etc done outside the system)? I want this and [REDACTED] in CD4. Ned to convince Bill
2017-01-03 20:54:16	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Got it. These should definitely be in CD4. They are traditional 65 or FARA cases. We can't work the entire administration out of one unit (esp a unit that (1) is focused on the Russian side of things and (2) has no real prosecution or espionage experience). Ok. Off my soapbox.
2017-01-03 21:50:09		[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] He's still stuck on having it all together, but I think i saw some daylight to mon-Russia stuff
2017-01-03 21:55:11	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] I'm worried when Flynn blabs himself into a legit 65 unit.
2017-01-03 21:57:36	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] If he hasn't already... [REDACTED]
2017-01-03 21:57:50	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] OGA and laid out all the reasons its bad to have a Trump Unit (which is what it would become) rather than a Russian influence one. Did it again with Moffa this morning. Seemed to have a little more impact. Need to try a third time
2017-01-03 21:58:13	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] And I still can't get the OGA [REDACTED] which apparently went to Jen and she's not talking about it
2017-01-03 21:58:21	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	
2017-01-03 21:59:58	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	
2017-01-03 22:00:24	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	

2017-01-03 22:01:00	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Yeah, a "Trump Unit" is a REALLY bad idea █████ unit needs to look at the Russian threat in a different way. But our cases have to resolve back to the units that have expertise.
2017-01-03 22:05:50	ppstrzok@██████████	jcb Boone@██████████	██████████ OGA [or extended reporting] or █████
2017-01-04 19:11:22	ppstrzok@██████████	jplientka@██████████	corresponding to the OGA █████ sent on the 30th? Want to talk to you (and Bill) about the disposition of the OGA █████ ...would like to house it in AC
2017-01-04 19:12:33	ppstrzok@██████████	jplientka@██████████	Hey if you haven't closed Fury, don't do it yet
2017-01-04 19:23:46	bjautent@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Sorry, RAZOR █████ has been handling RAZOR's closure - do you want me to reach out to him?
2017-01-04 19:37:01	ppstrzok@██████████	jplientka@██████████	they're trying to decide if the contact between CR and Kislyak merits a crimes report to DOJ based on violating 953
2017-01-04 22:59:11	ppstrzok@██████████	mfvvaracall@██████████	Hey got clarity on what legacy Crossfire cases are going where. Let's discuss when you have a sec. I have a D brief tomorrow at 9
2017-01-05 16:44:27	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Hey can one of you source the info about Putin using the roof of Trump Tower in NY for secure comms back to rodina?
2017-01-05 16:46:17	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████	What?!? God! I want to listen to this
2017-01-05 16:46:34	ppstrzok@██████████	bjautent@██████████	No, we may assign him somewhere else, don't send yet
2017-01-05 23:12:18	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████	Hi Brian, do you have the most recent CROWN report grid with receipt dates?
2017-01-05 23:53:28	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████	Thanks
2017-01-05 23:53:46	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████	Rgr. Let me know if he's square with the Crown timing. D seemed interested from the standpoint of defending when we knew, what we did, etc.
2017-01-06 16:15:12	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	I suppose we should consider a more detailed timeline. Or maybe just wait for when the time comes
2017-01-06 16:15:35	bjautent@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████ FGI gave us a copy of a cheat sheet
2017-01-06 21:51:56	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Pls I need a copy of the cheat sheet
2017-01-09 21:43:38	ppstrzok@██████████	cfmcgonigal@██████████	██████████ gave us with Crown sourcing, as well as to give you something
2017-01-09 21:44:35	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████	██████████ Will bring it down in just a sec
2017-01-09 21:45:24	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████	This re holding copy of report for Trump people? If not, need to talk to you, too
2017-01-09 22:17:04	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Story no longer Scututto, now Evan Perez.
2017-01-11 21:19:31	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Internal nervousness about nature of allegations
2017-01-11 21:19:48	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Also Carl Bernstein (yes that Carl) called OPA, said he got a "dossier" from McCain
2017-01-11 21:21:15	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Awesome. Let Carl run it down then.
2017-01-11 21:21:33	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	OK relayed FGI they will hold off for now
2017-01-11 22:06:07	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	WSJ identity has published identity and current employment of source
2017-01-11 22:06:22	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	sorry, strike the first "identity" above.
		ppstrzok@██████████	Thanks Pete - I bumped into Steve in the corridor who let me know
		ppstrzok@██████████	██████████ FGI
		ppstrzok@██████████	I haven't heard anything further from FGI on this. Still a requirement from Neil to get hold of the report if possible.
		ppstrzok@██████████	Hi John, you there? I have █ with me
		ppstrzok@██████████	Excellent...let me call you...

2017-01-12 13:41:09	[REDACTED] jpientka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>HEIDE, CURTIS A. (CG) (FBI) 8:21 AM i know you're not point on this anymore, but typhoon got a call from the VP at Fox News yesterday, who advised that the Government was conducting "checks" on him a few months back. i haven't listened to the exact audio, but i'm guess that's the FARA checks that we did with DOJ on our 4 main guys; especially given the article that you pushed yesterday.</p>
2017-01-12 20:07:57	[REDACTED] bjauten@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>(S//NF) The CHS has booked travel to Las Vegas, for both himself and CROSSEIRE TYPHOON. The two plan to travel out on the morning of January 13, 2017 and return to Chicago on the evening of January 14, 2017. FBI Chicago has identified them to be booked on SOUTHWEST AIRLINES flights SW 1633 and SW 4707 respectively. The CHS further reserved one hotel room for their travel, which Chicago has identified as the LINQ HOTEL, located at 3535 South Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109.</p>
2017-01-13 19:35:07	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>sorry.? was out and just got your email and IM.? [REDACTED] will send Huma draft now and i will check the low side and get back to you.</p>
2017-01-17 14:45:27	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	<p>Hey, you up for lunch? Saw tomorrow's out and Thurs will be dicey. Also, want to talk to you about what to do with Pence statement re: RAZOR...</p>
2017-01-18 20:30:03	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>Sigh. I don't know if that is a good thing.</p>
2017-01-18 20:30:17	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<p>No one having anything?</p>
2017-01-24 11:46:07	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<p>Hi - sorry I missed you yesterday. About to email you questions for Andy to think about in advance of his call with Flynn. I'm sure he's thought of them already, but just in case</p>
2017-01-24 13:56:33	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>Any feedback on timing for DD-Flynn call? I'd appreciate it, as Joe is sitting on my sofa on short standy and loving his opportunity for an impromptu DAD shadow program...</p>
2017-01-24 13:57:20	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>hahaha. No timing yet. I'm still trying to connect with Flynn's office to set up the call. I left a message for Flynn's EA to call me.</p>
2017-01-24 13:57:33	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>I'll let you know as soon as I know.</p>
2017-01-25 15:51:29	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	<p>Pete-when you get sec, need to get your opinion on how to navigate queries on Flynn. No rush...4-5035.</p>
2017-01-25 21:39:13	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<p>I still have your Flynn cut</p>
2017-01-27 00:30:03	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] mfvaracall@[REDACTED]	<p>Hey per DD, good to go to interview TYPHOON. Let's get it done tomorrow. Bottom line, need to get to the point in the interview where he's discussing allegations the Russians offered assistance to the Trump camp. If they can get him there without direct question, great. But if he doesn't go there, that question needs to be directly asked.</p>
2017-01-27 13:03:17	[REDACTED] icboone@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	<p>Let's talk this morning about TYPHOON.? I thought about this last night and given the fact that the focus is on the Russian angle, I think we should shift it to [REDACTED]'s team for now as that aspect plays out.? Particularly if we're looking at the DRAGON ties.? If it washes and the interest in only 303, then it would go back.? Keeping it apart though is causing a hole for the team now.</p>



2017-01-30 20:10:19	mfaracall@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████ jcboone@██████████	FYSA: CD-1 opened a 105H on MISFUD, OO:CG, handled by Curtis. We'll work closely with CD-1 to ensure good coordination as we continue with TYPHOON.
2017-01-30 20:43:47	ppstrzok@██████████			yep got them
2017-01-30 21:15:28	mfaracall@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Any insight into how the DD's meeting with Flynn went regarding ██████████ Friday evening?
2017-01-30 19:31:41	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Are you around? I'd like to talk to you (and maybe Varacalli and Moffa) before advising Curtis. See email.
2017-02-01 22:32:12	mfaracall@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	CG is reaching out to TYPHOON via phone to try to get a one-on-one meet. If he wants to meet us with an attorney present, we may send Sally or Kevin tomorrow to join Curtis in any interview.
2017-02-01 22:42:24	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	as recently as yesterday. Field may be looking for guidance from CD as to what to put in our response (this doesn't even include the fact that he hit on our CH 97 case).
2017-02-01 22:58:10	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	TYPHOON has opted to meet without an attorney and is meeting with Curtis @ 1800 (now).
2017-02-01 23:05:14	mfaracall@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Yeah. ██████████ has all the facts and details. Maybe we can do a lync call with field tomorrow or Friday. I'll touch base with you tomorrow.
2017-02-01 23:27:51	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Ah. Yeah, that sucks. I get it. Can I stop by at some point and finish reading that transcript? Not urgent, and i suppose people are milling around still up there.
2017-02-01 23:37:35	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████@██████████	lcpage@██████████	Have someone taking the cuts to WH tomorrow yes, but there are a million people still milling.
2017-02-01 23:37:55	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████@██████████	lcpage@██████████	And they're talking to TYPHOON now, without an atty
2017-02-01 23:37:59	lcpage@██████████	██████████@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Hey heads up per ██████████ WH is ripin't about leak of contents of call between P and foreign counterpart and are urgently asking process for media leak referral. I havent yet told Bill but will as soon as a call 'm on is done. To the extent we have
2017-02-01 23:38:05	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████@██████████	lcpage@██████████	people sharing information of this nature with us, we need to be very cautious about it. Including caution about reaching out to them now. Think about it now, let's discuss later
2017-02-02 18:47:46	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████@██████████	pp:conf:focus:id:rnf6esth7	I'm not. I just talked to Kevin and he said he heard they were concerned about leaks of the transcripts from calls with Mexico and Australia.
2017-02-02 18:53:14	██████████@██████████	██████████@██████████	pp:conf:focus:id:rnf6esth7	He gave me a different presentation of the facts
2017-02-02 18:53:41	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████@██████████	pp:conf:focus:id:rnf6esth7	But I think WHC is involved.
2017-02-02 23:28:44	mfaracall@██████████	██████████@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████	Did you get the TYPHOON update from them when you spoke to ██████████ /Kevin?
2017-02-02 23:59:41	mfaracall@██████████	██████████@██████████	██████████@██████████	If not, Curtis contacted TYPHOON and he said he wanted to meet with his attorney. Curtis is trying to get a few minutes with him at least...otherwise, we'll try to get both him and his attorney and have the attorney sign an NDA.
2017-02-03 21:07:47	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████@██████████	pp:lpaged@██████████	And Dina said she went to defensively brief Hope Hicks. With the EAD. Face time Super. She asked me for the write-up we did on Hope Hicks and what we found about her in the system. So I guess that's where she went.
2017-02-03 21:09:17	jcmoffa@██████████	██████████@██████████	:conf:focus:id:qfhbpkwp	

2017-02-03 21:12:10	ppstrzok@██████████	sip:lcpage@██████████ :conf:focus:id:cfhbokwv sip:ppstrzok@██████████ pp:conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2 sip:ppstrzok@██████████ pp:conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2 sip:ppstrzok@██████████ pp:conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2	gruu;opaque=a gruu;opaque=a ██████████ managed to piss off both Steinbach and Flynn on the same day.	BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM Do you have the cuts (or summary of cuts) re Hicks? STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM I dont think so. Didn't know there were any.... BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:33 PM what Jon referenced in the 1100s when we were still in the big conf room no worries. i'll ask moffa what he has
2017-02-03 21:19:55	██████████			
2017-02-03 21:20:04	ppstrzok@██████████			
2017-02-03 21:20:21	██████████			
2017-02-08 22:28:20	mfaracall@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Flynn expected the brief and was told it wouldn't happen because of Kevin.
2017-02-08 22:57:33	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		Sent you an e-mail message about Dale Killinger
2017-02-09 00:00:34	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		briefing Flynn this afternoon. Any insight into that? Can't make this stuff up...
2017-02-09 00:04:12	██████████	██████████		FYSA, I learned that FBI Insider Threat Section Chief Dale Killinger provided a briefing to Flynn this afternoon. I am not sure of the content of the briefing, but can assume it was related to how FBI handles insider threat-CD type issues, and thought you should be aware.
2017-02-09 14:21:50	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		Unable to get any validation on either rumor.... no Econ EO, no Killinger brief.?
2017-02-09 20:41:38	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Went up to Flynn's office on both.
2017-02-09 20:46:17	██████████	██████████		I hope it'll settle out once all the 'Obama people' are flushed out. (Whenever I hear that term, I wonder if they're including me...)
2017-02-09 21:57:49	██████████	██████████		Did we hear that WashPo has FISA transcripts of Kislyak calls?
2017-02-10 00:03:02	██████████	██████████		Pete: Met with WaPo re: the matter we discussed via Lync last week, received assurance they wouldn't run name. ██████████ will fill you in on other.
2017-02-10 00:03:24	██████████	██████████		I'm told that a reporter was reading to Flynn from the transcript.
2017-02-10 00:06:08	██████████	██████████		On the leaks of the EO's, yes. For the FISA transcript, that would be FBI information, right?
2017-02-10 00:10:01	██████████	██████████		And the leak of the internal transcript, yes.
2017-02-10 20:51:03	jcmoffa@██████████	██████████		Talking with ██████████ about Andy's comment re Australia transcript
2017-02-14 13:44:25	██████████	██████████		Just thinking he might have not (or been afraid) to tell Flynn
2017-02-14 15:09:04	jchonne@██████████	██████████		Might have good to like, you know, have a plan, come up with the right questions, wait until the Typhoon interviews are done and we had the CHS meet tomorrow. I don't know, I'm just an analyst.
2017-02-14 22:04:42	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		My carefully cultivated network of sources and finely honed political sensitivity tell me that Gen. Flynn is no longer warming the chair in the APNSA office.?
2017-02-15 14:51:00	lcpage@██████████	██████████		Last thing we had was a sub-PCC on Russian CT cooperation
2017-02-15 14:59:15	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		Sir... ASAC Carroll from Chicago. ? Wanted to touch base with you to make sure you are good with Chicago activities and support with our Typhoon investigation.
2017-02-15 17:10:42	tmcarrall@██████████	██████████		From where I'm sitting, it looks like the entire future credibility of the FBI is sitting on your shoulders. ? Just my opinion, but thought you should know.
2017-02-17 14:17:18	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		finishing typhoon update, done in 5 but go ahead and ask here, or I'll call in a sec
2017-02-17 14:41:56	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		Hi Brian, do you have the O&A date for ██████████



2017-03-02 16:51:19	ppstrzok@██████████	drieper@██████████		Hey when/who took the Flynn/Kislyak transcripts over to the WH a second time for review? Who was there (I think it was McGahn and Eisenberg but want to confirm). Thx
2017-03-02 17:17:32	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		We have 5 others, mostly crossfire, one furtive exposure. I'll send you the OGA numbers:
2017-03-02 17:20:15	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Crossfire: OGA ██████████ OGA ██████████
2017-03-02 17:20:28	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		OOGA ██████████
2017-03-02 20:02:14	ppstrzok@██████████	bjauten@██████████		Hi Brian, how are we looking on the Sessions data pull? Also, has anyone done OOGA? research about the Trump foreign policy speech circa April 2016 left in the ██████████?
2017-03-03 14:37:53	caheide@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		no we haven't told them. we just briefed papa and said he may have had knowledge of Russian stuff before it happened. that's all we told them.
2017-03-03 18:54:25	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Can you talk?? This one's a bit tricky... .
2017-03-03 18:55:02	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		(Re: Russian election interference, not DRPK)
2017-03-06 16:38:19	ppstrzok@██████████	mfvaracall@██████████		Fine. Ran through cases and working on IPs for the D for the Hill. He said he's included to keep Typhoon and all of these cases together here and out of a local USAO. If I had to bet, that means EDVA. But not NDIL. I wouldn't relay that to CG, let DOJ manage that rollout of that
2017-03-08 19:43:31	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		And now Sean Spicer's remarks about trying to find out if the President is the target of a CI investigation...an interesting day here..
2017-03-08 19:43:45	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		Didnt hear it. What did he say??
2017-03-08 19:44:18	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Someone asked if the President was the target of a CI investigation and Spicer responded, that's what we're trying to find out. (Not an exact quote).
2017-03-08 19:45:00	ppstrzok@██████████	lcpage@██████████		Apparently Spicer just got asked if the President was the target of a CI investigation, and he responded, that's what we're trying to find out :
2017-03-08 20:04:17	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Did you know NYO is planning to interview Dragon today?
2017-03-08 20:05:52	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Yeah, NYO called Kevin for advice on how to answer if he asks if he's a subject.
2017-03-08 20:06:08	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		They said they were just waiting for D approval. So I guess it's been briefed???
2017-03-08 20:06:13	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		I can only assume the D will not approve. It seems like terrible timing.
2017-03-16 19:53:06	lcpage@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		And unnecessary at this point.
2017-03-16 19:53:20	lcpage@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Hey it's not clear to me from that email if the Mitch stuff got redacted... ██████████ is saying that it did.
2017-03-17 13:41:54	lcpage@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		I'll follow up with rybicki. He also made changes to our statement - I'm waiting on that too.
2017-03-20 17:40:00	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		Pete, we are still checking on the SF-86 for RAZOR, but it doesn't look like we have one.
2017-03-21 13:40:47	samoyer@██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		The 2 pm meeting on your calendar is to provide an overview of Crossfire to Karen Miller (as we discussed). Bob and ██████████ will be attending b/c (although they've been workin gon this for Branch II) they have never been "read in." I would think you can do the same briefing you gave to the A/DAG several weeks ago.
2017-03-21 14:54:54	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		The other one relates to Razor's SF86. We can likely obtain via DIA, but going through DIA will result in a conversation about their request for access to the Razor information that I think we are still waiting for a decision on. the other alternative is the routine route, which is via OPM.
2017-03-21 16:20:53	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████		OK thanks. P's check with Sally - I'm OK going to DIA for the SF86
2017-03-22 13:37:51	ppstrzok@██████████	samoyer@██████████		Ha. I agree. Main thing I want to do is push them on setting to Typhoon to talk
2017-03-23 16:51:37	██████████	ppstrzok@██████████		And Kwock (seeking a briefing for tomorrow), and the ██████████ reporting (which is being run up to Bannon and Kushner). Interesting times.

2017-03-23 16:51:37	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] And Kwoik (seeking a briefing for tomorrow), and the [REDACTED] reporting (which is being run up to Bannon and Kushner)? Interesting times.
2017-03-23 20:57:23	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Went to the WIKI hearing on the Hill. SSCI. They broke in the middle for a "members only" discussion about what happened "over the last 24 hours."
2017-03-23 20:57:33	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] They also asked about Roger Stone and his connections to Wiki.
2017-03-23 21:10:35	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Well, Bill E punted to me so I relied on the Director's old adage - "I'm not prepared to speak to that today." We'll probably get a Q [REDACTED] mentioned wanting to talk. Hey so I may miss you the rest of the morning [REDACTED]
2017-03-27 14:20:10	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Hey - I have some more info re Typhoon interview. After the call from Typhoon on Friday, DOJ (Brandon van Grack) and EDVA have asked Kevin to reach out to Typhoon's attorney. They don't want their participation known yet.
2017-03-27 19:14:42	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED] sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED] gruu:opaque-a	[REDACTED] Let me know if you want to talk about Kushner this afternoon
2017-03-27 20:46:42	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pp:conf:focus:id:8glbstb0	[REDACTED] I just left a meeting with the current Fusion GPS client who wants to share their holdings [REDACTED]
2017-03-28 21:02:13	jpientka@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] And this was the list of all [REDACTED] related to CROSSFIRE and [REDACTED]
2017-03-28 21:16:31	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] correct?
2017-03-28 21:26:25	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Yes, and Don't know re Mike. Maybe Moffa
2017-03-28 22:06:29	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] we're getting pinged by SSCI - any chance we got the list of doc #'s from our friends today?
2017-03-31 17:23:03	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lcpage@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] AG Sessions "indicated Thursday evening that the Trump administration would pursue criminal charges to end alleged leaks from U.S. agencies. "I expect that we'll get to the bottom of some of this,' Sessions said during an interview on Fox News' 'The O'Reilly Factor' ... 'It's not right. We've never seen this kind of leaking,' Sessions continued. 'It's almost as if people think they have a right to violate the law. This has got to end and it will probably take some convictions to put an end to it."
2017-04-03 17:52:51	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Hey, did you hear there was an exchange between Dir. Comey and McMaster Friday evening about Mar-a-Lago? [REDACTED]
2017-04-10 21:48:26	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Hey - Did you know [REDACTED] was at the meeting with Flynn [REDACTED]
2017-04-10 21:48:26	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] and Turkish officials in NY in the fall? [REDACTED]
2017-04-10 21:48:54	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Hey - Did you know [REDACTED] was at the meeting with Flynn, Woolsey and Turkish officials in NY in the fall? [REDACTED]
2017-04-10 21:50:03	samoyer@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] How do we know that [REDACTED]
			[REDACTED] The New Yorker. I'll forward you the article on UNET.



				Specific allegation was that Manafort was recruited and paid by RIS to infiltrate the Trump campaign.
2017-04-26 15:22:30	ppstrzok@██████████	jplientka@██████████	██████████	Except for that last part, seems a lot like the report he gave us
2017-04-26 15:22:40	ppstrzok@██████████	jplientka@██████████	██████████	Ok after looking, getting a number (even an approximate one) will be tough because (1) there's multiple people (Oscar and Marina, namely), (2) a lot of datasets (HA Open Net, Datto Backups, etc), and (3) often a back and forth discussion after the initial Forward for a print, and (4) sometimes HRC isn't on the chain but its obvious she is the recipient. However, in just HA's open net (so this wouldn't even include huma@clintonemail) there are hundreds of emails back and forth with Oscar that contain the word "print."
2017-05-09 15:05:44	██████████	██████████	██████████	Huma also made the following statements: "ABEDIN was shown an email dated June 23, 2011 with subject "Marina print." After reviewing the email, ABEDIN stated she did not recall the specific email but would often forward emails to her email account so Marina could print the forwarded email for Secretary Clinton to view in hardcopy at her residence in Whitehaven." (Interview 2)
	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	and "ABEDIN provided that the team would also occasionally email things to SANTOS or FLORES to print for CLINTON." (Interview 1)
	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	Read it again, and I assume you may not want to get too in the weeds, but my only thought is the statement could be tweaked to clarify attribution (i.e., Backups of HA's emails and forwards to AW's email). I think these group of 50 emails fall into a mix of coming from AW backups and emails just residing on the computer in his outlook (but we could have to check with OTD)
	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-09 15:06:27	██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-09 15:07:42	██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-09 18:35:32	██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-09 18:41:25	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-11 11:36:02	██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-12 13:34:56	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	"Trump warn Comey and says he may cancel press briefings.
2017-05-12 13:35:12	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	Also he [REDACTED] better hope there aren't recordings of our conversations
2017-05-12 15:19:24	██████████	██████████	██████████	[REDACTED] did not search the Clinton case (or anything else of note). He did search for the article that he provided information for, but that was all that ESOC found.
2017-05-12 17:11:19	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	Nice. Source saying D not worried about tapes, that he didn't ask for the meeting, and that he didn't ask to keep his job
2017-05-12 17:35:40	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	Spicy's back and about to get the podium back from McMaster for questions if you're around....
2017-05-12 17:42:52	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	Hey serious question: does Trump's tweet to Comey break any law?
	██████████	██████████	██████████	Witness tampering?
	██████████	██████████	██████████	No - there might have been some confusion by Laycock. Since I'm in the subject pool for the Page/FISA media leak, it isn't a good call to brief me on specifics of case discussion. But I told him I did want to meet about the March Toll [OGA]
2017-05-15 19:03:31	ppstrzok@██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
2017-05-15 19:25:27	██████████	██████████	██████████	Sounds like a large disconnect. I am told he did not want to meet on [OGA] Will make it right. [OGA] may wait until next week to allow PC squad to get everything in order. I will meet with PC Section today. Copy re: Page, think that was more for squad to get legal questions out of the way.



				Hil Kevin quick question for you - is the term OGA
2017-06-05 23:29:18	ppstrzok@ ppstrzok@	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Bill's also got a meeting called "Crossbow data" with Baker tomorrow 11:15-11:45 I think I mentioned yesterday - maybe to Paul - that the obstruction folks need to loop in with 4B to see about getting the call logs between the D and POTUS.
2017-06-13 18:51:18	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Some will be complicated if they were on CMS phones. Also, I think some were WH calling the D and saying, "call POTUS back." Anyway, we need to start figuring out how to do that
2017-06-13 18:52:41	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Copy. Should we reach out to [REDACTED] Or the UC?
2017-06-13 18:53:20	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] God Sessions has that funny hand position....
2017-06-13 18:54:52	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Didn't someone say he DIDNT consult with an ethics official?
2017-06-13 19:02:05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Back. Did I miss anything other than the senile moment?
2017-06-13 19:25:20	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Someone did, but he claims that he did.
2017-06-13 19:26:18	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Oh - figured it out - he was late to the CEO of Starbucks. :)
2017-06-14 14:37:04	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Carl met with him as well today
2017-06-14 14:37:35	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Regular interview panel....
2017-06-14 14:37:46	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Hey who's the POC for the MYE file now? Dargis?
2017-06-14 15:26:57	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Do you have an updated Flynn timeline?
2017-06-14 18:54:32	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Can you grab a copy of the Flynn-Kislyak calls on CD? Thanks
2017-06-27 20:31:12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Would [REDACTED] be willing to come over to work Flynn w/ Barnett?
2017-06-29 13:49:35	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Does [REDACTED] have any white collar background? I am worried there is a lot of follow
				[REDACTED] the money coming in Flynn. Not saying we can't assign [REDACTED] but i want a good WC agent in there
2017-06-29 13:58:31	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] She came from the WCC side of the house before going to CPC. We can ask Bill how he has been working with her and how she is...
2017-06-29 14:02:43	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] And dang I still need to email Steve Richardson
2017-06-29 11:39:24	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] and CT to let them know about the bodies they're losing
2017-06-29 11:43:05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Yes, OK thanks for the heads up. Shall we add [REDACTED] the Flynn team?
2017-06-29 11:44:30	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Also we need to assign someone to the Crown work (assuming we don't want to take [REDACTED] with us)
2017-06-29 11:56:07	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Yes re [REDACTED] Let's talk re [REDACTED]
2017-06-29 18:03:54	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] He didn't put the CD side on the b6 list so he won't share grand jury results.
2017-06-29 18:04:03	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] And we need them to get an OGA.
2017-06-29 18:04:50	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] That was supposed to be
2017-06-29 18:05:29	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] I will fix that. Who is on the CD side that needs to be on it?
2017-06-30 18:29:20	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Well, presumably he would be sharing these results with the entire CW team. But for right now, we need Kevin [REDACTED]
				[REDACTED] Would you please let me know when [REDACTED] s done reviewing the Comey memos (and confirm that he showed, if he came alone, and questions/comments, etc)?
				[REDACTED] Thanks
				[REDACTED] I need a couple of things please. First is a clean marked copy of the Comey memos (a copy of what we showed [REDACTED] last week). Second item is a 10 year (or whatever makes sense), events, etc.
				[REDACTED] Also a CD with the Flynn-Kislyak calls. Thanks
				[REDACTED] Re: Comey memos. To keep or just to review?



		Hey I'm here with INSD, trying to get a comprehensive list of all the compartments that OIG would need to read my (Russia related) notebook material. At a minimum the complete ICA list. I know [REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED], but I know there are others. Do you have a complete list?
2018-06-15 17:49:27	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 17:49:40	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 17:50:13	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 17:51:13	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 17:51:22	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 17:51:33	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		And if they want ALL of my notebook content, that's going to be a nightmare
		Those two for sure. Probably OGA as well
		Sorry--you need the full ICA list--I think Bill has that.
		I will get it and send it to INSD
		I will be both OGA [REDACTED]
		I think [REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED] which might be in
		my the TOTALITY notes (think every PRC, Iran, DPRK, wildass global country, DOD
		prolif SAPs, and random covert action programs), which I'm not even sure I can do
		at this point.
		I'll talk to INSD--they'll need to get someone in the DI to pull your clearances. Not
		on you--I also have the ICA list--I'll get that to them as well.
		DOI too for OGA [REDACTED]
		I've explained the issue and will give them my best and likely impossible to be
		completely complete
2018-06-15 18:00:32	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 18:02:16	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 18:02:27	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2018-06-15 18:07:20	ppstrzok@[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Strzok Lync Messages

Messages	8/23/2016 22:50 pstrzol	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] lpage	[REDACTED]	In the scope note of the entire paper, we need to make sure that there is boilerplate language indicating that the product does not include information related to ongoing investigations [REDACTED]. In the textbox, we need to indicate the following: The same reliable source provided the FBI with additional information not developed by the above-referenced, layered sub-source network. The FBI source caveated, however, that while similar to previously-provided reporting in terms of content, the source was unable to vouch for the additional information sourcing and accuracy. Hence, this additional information is not included in this product.
Messages	12/22/2016 22:41 [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] pstrzol	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] In the textbox, we need to indicate the following: "The same reliable source provided the FBI with additional information not developed by the above-referenced, layered sub-source network. The FBI source caveated that while similar to previously-provided reporting in terms of content, the source was unable to vouch for the additional information sourcing and accuracy. Hence, this additional information is not included in this product."
Messages	12/22/2016 22:42 [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**TAB 7**

(U) FBI Minimized FISA: This report includes information from FBI collection. Such information, and any information derived therefrom, may only be used in a US legal or administrative proceeding with the advance authorization of the Attorney General. Any recipient interested in obtaining authorization for such use in a US legal or administrative proceeding should contact FBI Headquarters. Any further dissemination outside of intelligence channels and/or for law enforcement purposes must be made through FBI Headquarters. Any reproduction, dissemination, or communication (including, but not limited to oral briefings) of this information must be accompanied by a statement of these restrictions.

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
(U) 2016/80 * 6/20/16 ** 9/19/16	(U) "Russian regime has been cultivating, supporting and assisting TRUMP for at least 5 years. Aim, endorsed by PUTIN, has been to encourage splits and divisions in western alliance"	(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, <sup>1,2</sup> In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets." <sup>3</sup>
(U) 2016/80 * 6/20/16 ** 9/19/16	(U) "So far TRUMP has declined various sweetener real estate business deals offered him in Russia in order to further the Kremlin's cultivation of him."	(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, <sup>4,5</sup> In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets." <sup>6</sup>
(U) 2016/80 * 6/20/16 ** 9/19/16	(U) "However, he and his inner circle have accepted a regular flow of intelligence from the Kremlin, including on his Democratic and other political rivals"	[REDACTED] This information was provided by [REDACTED] Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]
(U) 2016/80 * 6/20/16 ** 9/19/16	(U) "Former top Russian intelligence officer claims FSB has compromised TRUMP through his activities in Moscow sufficiently to be able to blackmail him. According to several knowledgeable sources, his conduct in Moscow has included	[REDACTED]

\*Report Date  
\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI  
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	<p>perverted sexual acts which have been arranged/monitored by FSB”</p>	<p>(U) An uncorroborated source, journalist and author Andrea Chalupa, was cited in an Australian magazine article dated 1 November 2016 as mentioning Trump having an orgy in Russia.<sup>9</sup></p> <p>[REDACTED] The details in the Crown reporting differ somewhat from the Australian reporting (“golden showers” versus “orgies”), although these differences may have been a result of how the writers decided to characterize the information.</p>
(U) 2016/80 *6/2016 **9/19/16		<p>(U) “A dossier of compromising material on Hillary CLINTON has been collated by the Russian Intelligence Services over many years and mainly comprises bugged conversations she had on various visits to Russia and intercepted phone calls rather than embarrassing conduct. The dossier is controlled by chief Kremlin spokesman, PESKOV...”</p>
		<p>(U) Dmitry Peskov is Deputy Head and Press Secretary, Presidential Administration since 2012.</p>

\*Report Date

\*\* Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

**Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI**  
**Report Not Provided to Corn**

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	<p><b>Version sent to FBI:</b> "... on direct orders from Putin. It has not been distributed abroad as yet, including to TRUMP team; but PUTIN's intentions for its use remain unclear."</p> <p><b>Version sent to Journalists:</b> "...directly on Putin's orders. However, it has not as yet been distributed abroad, including to TRUMP. Russian intentions for its deployment still unclear."</p>	<p>[REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] 10,11,12,13</p> <p>(U) As US Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton took four trips to Russia (one each in 2009 and 2010, and two in 2012).<sup>14</sup> Bill Clinton met with Boris Yeltsin 18 times while serving as President, but it is unclear how many times the first lady accompanied him on those trips.<sup>15</sup></p>
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	<p>(U) "1. Speaking to a trusted compatriot in June 2016 sources A and B, a senior Russian Foreign Ministry figure and a former top-level Russian intelligence officer still active inside the Kremlin respectively, the Russian authorities had been cultivating and supporting US Republican presidential candidate, DONALD TRUMP for at least 5 years. Source B asserted that the TRUMP operation was both supported and directed by Russian President Vladimir PUTIN. Its aim was to sow discord and disunity both within the US itself, but more especially within the Transatlantic alliance which was viewed as inimical to Russia's interests. Source C, a senior Russian financial official said the TRUMP operation should be seen in terms of PUTIN's desire to return to Nineteenth Century 'Great Power' politics, anchored upon countries' interests rather than the ideals-based international order established after World War Two. S/he had overheard PUTIN talking in this way to close associates on several occasions."</p>	<p>[REDACTED] This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]</p> <p>(U) No direct mention of the "Great Game" could be found in Putin's public speeches, including his annual address to the Valdai Discussion Club. However, there is plenty of media attention and analysis paid to his "19<sup>th</sup> century outlook." This outlook can be viewed in the context of his speeches "Presidential Address to the Federal Assembly"<sup>16</sup> "Message from the President of Russia to the leaders of several European countries"<sup>17</sup> and "Vladimir Putin's Interview with Radio Europe 1 and TF1 TV Channel."<sup>18</sup></p>

\*Report Date

\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI  
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, the real estate deal he was negotiating included a hotel in St. Petersburg. <sup>19</sup> In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets." <sup>21</sup>
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "2. In terms of specifics, Source A confided that the Kremlin had been feeding TRUMP and his team valuable intelligence on his opponents..."  <b>Version sent to FBI:</b> "..., including Democratic presidential candidate Hillary Clinton,..."  <b>Version sent to Journalists:</b> [omitted]  "...for several years (see more below). This was confirmed by Source D, a close associate of TRUMP who had organized and managed his recent trips to Moscow, and who reported, also in June 2016, that this Russian intelligence had been "very helpful." The Kremlin's cultivation operation on TRUMP also had comprised offering him various lucrative real estate development business deals in Russia, especially in relation to the ongoing 2018 World Cup soccer tournament. However, so far, for reasons unknown, TRUMP had not taken up any of these."	(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, <sup>22</sup> he was negotiating included a hotel in St. Petersburg. <sup>23</sup> In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets." <sup>24</sup>
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "3. However, there were other aspects to TRUMP's engagement with the Russian authorities. One which had borne fruit for them was to exploit TRUMP's personal obsessions and sexual perversion in order to obtain suitable 'kompromat' (compromising material) on him. According to Source D, where s/he had been present, TRUMP's (perverted) conduct in Moscow included hiring the presidential suite of the Ritz Carlton Hotel, where he knew President and Mrs OBAMA	(U) This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  (U) There is no confirmation that Trump stayed here. There is no "Presidential Suite" currently listed on the Ritz Carlton website, though they do have the Moscow Suite, Ritz-Carlton Suite, Carlton [REDACTED]

\*Report Date

\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

**Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI****Report Not Provided to Corn**

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	<p>(whom he hated) had stayed on one of their official trips to Russia, and defiling the bed where they had slept by employing a number of prostitutes to perform a 'golden showers' (urination) show in front of him. The hotel was known to be under FSB control with microphones and concealed cameras in all the main rooms to record anything they wanted to."</p>	<p>Suite, Teverskaya Suite, and the Executive Suite.<sup>26</sup> There is mention of a Presidential Suite at the Ritz Carlton in a press release from 2015.<sup>27</sup></p> <p>(U) In 2009 President Obama stayed in the Presidential suite at the Ritz-Carlton Hotel in Moscow which is described as a five room kremlin view luxury suite with huge panoramic windows.<sup>28</sup></p>
	OGA	This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	<p>(U) "4. The Moscow Ritz Carlton episode involving TRUMP reported above was confirmed by Source E, a senior (western) member of staff at the hotel, who said that s/he and several of the staff were aware of it at the time and subsequently. S/he believed it had happened in 2013. Source E provided an introduction for a company ethnic Russian operative to Source F, a female staffer at the hotel when TRUMP had stayed there, who also confirmed the story. Speaking separately in June 2016, Source B (the former top-level Russian intelligence officer) asserted that TRUMP's unorthodox behavior in Russia over the years had provided the authorities there with enough embarrassing material on the now Republican presidential candidate to be able to blackmail him if they so wished."</p>	<p>This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]</p>
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	<p>(U) "5. Asked about the Kremlin's reported intelligence feed to TRUMP over recent years and rumours about a Russian dossier of 'kompromat' on Hillary CLINTON (being circulated), [REDACTED] confirmed the file's existence. S/he confided in a trusted companion that it had been collated by Department K of the FSB for many years, dating back to her husband Bill's presidency, and comprised mainly eavesdropped conversations of various sorts rather than details/evidence of unorthodox or embarrassing behavior. Some of the conversations were from bugged comments CLINTON had made on her various trips to Russia and focused on things she said which contradicted her</p>	<p>This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]</p>

\*Report Date  
\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI  
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	(U) "current position on various issues. Others were most probably from phone intercepts."	(U) This could also be Directorate K of the FSB, "Counterintelligence in Financial Services," which monitors employees in the financial sector. <sup>31</sup>  (U) As US Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton took four trips to Russia (one each in 2009 and 2010, and two in 2012). <sup>32</sup> Bill Clinton met with Boris Yeltsin 18 times while serving as President, but it is unclear how many times the first lady accompanied him on those trips. <sup>33</sup>
(U) 2016/80 * 6/20/16 ** 9/19/16	(U) "6. Continuing on this theme, [REDACTED] a senior Kremlin official, confided that..." <b>Version sent to FBI:</b> "...the CLINTON dossier was controlled exclusively by senior Kremlin spokesman, Dmitry PESKOV, on the direct instructions of PUTIN himself. The dossier had not been made available, as yet, <i>inter alia</i> , to any foreigners, including TRUMP and his inner circle. However PUTIN's intentions with regard to the dossier and its future dissemination remained unclear."  <b>Version sent to Journalists:</b> "...[t]he CLINTON dossier was controlled exclusively by chief Kremlin spokesman, Dmitry PESKOV, who was responsible for compiling/handling it on the explicit instructions of PUTIN himself. The dossier however had not as yet been made available abroad, including to TRUMP or his campaign team. At present it was unclear what PUTIN's intentions were in this regard."	(U) This information was provided by [Danchenkos] sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]  OGA
(U) 2016/086 * 7/26/15	(U) Russia has extensive programme of state-sponsored offensive cyber operations. External targets include foreign	(U) [REDACTED]  OGA

\* Report Date  
\* Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI  
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	governments and big corporations, especially banks. FSB leads on cyber within Russian apparatus. Limited success in attacking top foreign targets like G7 governments, security services and IFIs but much more on second tier ones through IT back doors, using corporate and other visitors to Russia.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) FSB often uses coercion and blackmail to recruit most capable cyber operatives in Russia into its state-sponsored programmes. Heavy use also, both unwittingly and unwittingly, of CIS emigres working in western corporations and ethnic Russians employed by neighbouring governments e.g. Latvia	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Example cited of successful Russian cyber operation targeting senior Western business visitor. Provided back door into important Western institutions.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Example given of US citizen of Russian origin approached by FSB and offered incentive of "investment" in his business when visiting Moscow.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Problems however for Russian authorities themselves in countering local hackers and cyber criminals, operating outside state control. Central Bank claims there were over 20 serious attacks on correspondent accounts held by CBR in 2015, comprising Roubles several billion in fraud.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Some details given of leading non-state Russian cyber criminal groups	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 1. Speaking in June 2016, a number of Russian figures with a detailed knowledge of national cyber crimes, both state-sponsored and otherwise, outlines the current situation in this area. A former senior intelligence officer divided Russian state-sponsored offensive cyber operations into four categories (in order of priority):- targeting foreign, especially western governments; penetrating leading foreign business corporations, especially banks; domestic monitoring of the elite; and attacking political opponents both at home and	

\*Report Date

\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI

Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	abroad. The former intelligence officer reported that the Federal Security Service (FSB) was the lead organization within the Russian state apparatus for cyber operations.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 2. In terms of the success of Russian offensive cyber operations to date, a senior government figure reported that there had been only limited success in penetrating the “first tier” foreign targets. These comprised western (especially G7 and NATO) governments, security and intelligence services and central banks, and the IFIs. To compensate for this shortfall, massive effort had been invested, with much greater success, in attacking the “secondary targets”, particularly western private banks and the governments of smaller states allied to the West. S/he mentioned Latvia in this regard. Hundreds of agents, either consciously cooperating with the FSB or whose personal and professional IT systems had been unwittingly compromised, were recruited. Many were people who had ethnic and family ties to Russia and/or had been incentivized financially to cooperate. Such people often would receive monetary inducements or contractual favours from the Russian state or its agents in return. This had created difficulties for parts of the Russian state apparatus in obliging/indulging them e.g. the Central Bank of Russia knowingly having to cover up for such agents’ money laundering operations through the Russian financial system.	This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 3. In terms of the FSB's recruitment of capable cyber operatives to carry out its, ideally deniable, offensive cyber operations, a Russian IT specialist with direct knowledge reported in June 2016 that this was often done using coercion and blackmail. In terms of ‘foreign’ agents, the FSB was approaching US citizens of Russian (Jewish) origin on business trips to Russia. In one case a US citizen of Russian ethnicity had been visiting Moscow to attract investors in his new	This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

\*Report Date  
\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI  
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	<p>information technology program. The FSB clearly knew this and had offered to provide seed capital to this person in return for them being able to access and modify his IP, with a view to targeting priority foreign targets by planting a Trojan virus in the software. The US visitor was told this was common practice. The FSB also had implied significant operational success as a result of installing cheap Russian IT games containing their own malware unwittingly by targets on their PCs and other platforms.</p>	
	<p>(U) 4. In a more advanced and successful FSB operation, an IT operator inside a leading Russian SOE, who previously had been employed on conventional (defensive) IT work there, had been under instruction for the last year to conduct an offensive cyber operation against a foreign director of the company. Although the latter was apparently an infrequent visitor to Russia, the FSB now successfully had penetrated his personal IT and through this had managed to access various important institutions in the West through the back door.</p>	<p>(U) This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source was identified was [REDACTED]</p>
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	<p>(U) 5. In terms of other technical IT platforms, as FSB cyber operative flagged up the 'Telegram' enciphered commercial system as having been of especial concern and therefore heavily targeted by the FSB, not least because it was used frequently by Russian internal political activities and oppositionists. His/her understanding was that the FSB now successfully had cracked this communications software and therefore it was no longer secure to use.</p>	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	<p>(U) 6. The senior Russian government figure cited above also reported that non-state sponsored cyber crime was becoming an increasing problem inside Russia for the government and authorities there. The Central Bank of Russia claimed that in 2015 alone there had been more than 20 attempts at serious cyber embezzlement of money from corresponding accounts held there, comprising several billions Roubles. More generally, s/he understood there were circa 15 major organized crime</p>	

\*Report Date

\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI

Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	groups in the country involved cyber crime, all of which continued to operate largely outside state and FSB control. These include the so-called 'Anunak', 'Buktrap' and 'Metel' organisations.	
(U) 2016/94 *7/19/16 **9/19/16	(U) "Speaking in July 2016...Igor SECHIN confided the details of a recent secret meeting between him and visiting Foreign Affairs Advisor...Carter Page"	<p>This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source was identified as [REDACTED].</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>(U)  FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 39</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>(U)  FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 40,41</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(U) PAGE worked as Gazprom as a "Corporate Broker" which is Corporate Investment Marketing. During that time, he was instrumental in instituting an investor's day. PAGE attended the Gazprom Investor's day on 02/28/2017 in Singapore. When asked by FBI NY who from Gazprom he met at the event, PAGE said he thought the CFO was there<sup>44</sup>.</li> </ul> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>(U)  FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 42,43</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>(U)  FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 45,46,47</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>
		<p>*Report Date</p> <p>**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI  
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		<p>FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "48</p> <p>[REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "49</p> <p>[REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "50</p> <p>FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "51</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(U) Valery L. Marakov PII [REDACTED] is the President and Professor of Economics at NES. He is part of the faculty there and has been a lifelong academic.<sup>52</sup></li> </ul> <p>(U) "Though he publicly attended the [July 2016 New Economic School] event, Page refused to answer questions about his itinerary in Moscow. The media criticized him for specifically avoiding questions about his plans to meet with GOR officials."<sup>53</sup></p> <p>(U) Page reported to have met with Deputy Prime Minister Arkady Dvorkovich at NES.<sup>54</sup></p> <p>(U) In December of 2016, Page visited the NES again. He had dinner with Schliomo and Yuval Weber, and Andrej Krickovic. During the dinner, DPM Dvorkovich congratulated Page on Trump's victory, and asked about how to connect for future cooperation. Page told Dvorkovich that he was no longer involved with the Campaign.<sup>55</sup></p> <p>(U) On 16 March 2017, Page told FBI NY that he was invited to speak at the NES by Weber. Page received permission from Corey Lewandowski to attend the NES graduation and give the commencement speech. Page offered to have Trump do the speech instead; the campaign refused. Page, according to the e-mail, was to attend outside of his [REDACTED]</p>

\*Report Date

\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

[Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI](#)[Report Not Provided to Corn](#)

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		(U) "In July 2016...DIVYEKIN also had met secretly with PAGE on his recent visit...." *7/19/16 **9/19/16
OGA		This information was provided by [REDACTED] Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]
OGA		[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
OGA		[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

\* Report Date

\*\* Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI

Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		<p>(U) On 23 March 2015, an article in <i>Gazeta.ru</i> detailed the departure of Oleg Morozov, the head of the Russian Presidential Administration's Domestic Policy Administration. Morozov, who had served in the position since May 2012, was replaced by Tatyana Voronova. In turn, the article named Igor Diveykin, "current leader of [first deputy head of the Presidential Staff Vyacheslav] Volodin's secretariat."<sup>61</sup></p> <p>(U) On 23 September 2016, Yahoo News published an article claiming Carter Page was under investigation by the FBI and US Intelligence due to his ties with Russia. This article mentioned Page's alleged meeting with Diveykin.<sup>62</sup></p>
		<p>[FISC ordered Sequestered]</p> <p>[FISC ordered Sequestered]</p>
(U) 2016/94 *7/19/16 **9/19/16		<p>(U) "[SECHIN] had raised with PAGE the issues of future bilateral energy cooperation and prospects for an associated move to lift Ukraine-related western sanctions against Russia."</p> <p>(U) In a September 2014 interview, Igor Sechin was quoted saying "Neither I nor my company have anything to do with the crisis in Ukraine. As such, there is no foundation for the sanctions against me and Rosneft. They represent a violation of international law."<sup>67</sup></p>
(U) 2016/95 *Jul/Aug 16 **9/19/16		<p>(U) "Close associate of Donald TRUMP, admitted that there was a well-developed conspiracy of co-operation between them and the Russian leadership. This was managed on the TRUMP</p>

\*Report Date

\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

[Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI](#)[Report Not Provided to Corn](#)

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	side by the Republican candidate's campaign manager, Paul MANAFORT, who was using foreign policy advisor, Carter PAGE, and others as intermediaries."	<p>(U) introduced Page to Sam Clovis. The first public announcement of Page's participation in the Campaign came in March of 2016.<sup>68</sup></p> <p>(U) On 16 March 2017, Page told FBI NY that he never met nor spoke to Paul Manafort. There was one email in which Manafort was a party to a reply-all email by Page. Page signed Non-Disclosure Agreements with the Trump Campaign and Global Partners in Democracy. PAGE did not attend any Principles' meetings.<sup>69</sup></p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>
(U) 2016/95 * Jul/Aug 16 ** 9/19/16		<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>(U) On 16 March 2017 Page told FBI NY after the attention that Page received from his NES speech, Burt Mizusawa and Joe Schmitz both asked Page to keep a low profile. PAGE was also told by Boris Epshteyn that he was still in the "orbit" of the campaign.<sup>72</sup></p> <p>(U) [REDACTED] In October 2016, the United States Government officially blamed Russia for interfering with the election process and assisting with the DNC hacks that occurred earlier in the year. Open Source</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>
		<p>(U) "Source E acknowledged that the Russian regime had been behind the recent leak of embarrassing e-mail messages emanating from the Democratic National Committee (DNC), to the WikiLeaks platform."</p> <p>* Report Date ** Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>

[Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI](#)  
[Report Not Provided to Corn](#)

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		<p>(U) The Washington Times provided the following overview of the DM exchange between Stone and Guccifer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (U) On 14 August 2016, Stone DM'd Guccifer and stated he was "delighted" to see the user's twitter handle reinstated after having been suspended.</li> <li>• (U) On 16 August 2016, Stone sent a DM asking Guccifer to retweet an article he had written regarding the 'rigging' of the 2016 presidential elections.</li> <li>• (U) Guccifer later replied to Stone stating: "<i>wow. thank u for writing back, and thank u for an article about me!!! did you find anything interesting in the docs I posted</i>"</li> <li>• (U) On 17 August 2016, Guccifer sent a DM to Stone stating "<i>i'm pleased to say u r great man. please tell me if / can help u anyhow. It would be a great pleasure to me.</i>"</li> </ul>
(U) 2016/95 * Jul/Aug 16 **9/19/16		<p>(U) "In return the TRUMP team had agreed to sideline Russian intervention in Ukraine as a campaign issue and to raise US/NATO defence commitment in the Baltics and Eastern Europe to deflect attention away from Ukraine"</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>

\*Report Date

\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI

Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		<p>(U) On 16 March 2017, Page provided FBI NY the 07/14/2016 e-mail received from JD Gordon informing of the policy change. Page said that he had no part in the decision, but he supported it. Page assessed that JD Gordon was controlling and Gordon did not disclose much of his decisions to the team. PAGE later stated that he felt that Manafort more-likely-than-not recommended the pro-Russian changes.<sup>79</sup></p> <p>(U) In July 2016, during the RNC in Cleveland, members of the Trump campaign convinced the platform committee to change [Diana] Denman's proposal. It went from calling on the U.S to provide Ukraine "lethal defensive weapons" to the phrase "appropriate assistance."<sup>80</sup></p> <p>(U) "They were over sitting in chairs at the side of the room," Denman said of two men who said they were working for the Trump campaign, one of whom was [J.D] Gordon. 'When I read my amendment, they got up and walked over and talked to the co-chairman and they read it. That's when I was told that it was going to be tabled.'"<sup>81</sup></p> <p>(U) On 21 July 2016, Trump discussed NATO by saying, "If we cannot be properly reimbursed for the tremendous cost of our military protecting other countries, and in many cases the countries I'm talking about are extremely rich. Then if we cannot make a deal, which I believe we will be able to, and which I would prefer being able to, but if we cannot make a deal, I would like you to say, I would prefer being able to, some people, the one thing they took out of your last story, you know, some</p>

\*Report Date  
\*\*Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

[Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI](#)  
[Report Not Provided to Corn](#)