Verification and Validation Report: Software Eng

Team #11, Mac-AR
Student 1 Matthew Collard
Student 2 Sam Gorman
Student 3 Ethan Kannampuzha
Student 4 Kieran Gara

April 4, 2024

1 Revision History

| Date | Version | Notes |
|---------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| March 6, 2024 | 1.0 | Team worked all together on filling in all sections for revision 0 report |

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

All symbols, abbreviations, and acronyms can be found in section 1.4 of the SRS.

Contents

| 1 | Revision History | i |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms | ii |
| 3 | Functional Requirements Evaluation | 1 |
| | 3.1 Game Room Testing | 1 |
| | 3.2 Start Game Testing | 4 |
| | 3.3 Puzzle Interaction Testing | 4 |
| | 3.4 Messaging Testing | 6 |
| | 3.5 Individual Puzzle Testing | 6 |
| 4 | Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation | 7 |
| | 4.1 Look and Feel | 7 |
| | 4.2 Usability | 9 |
| | 4.3 Performance | 10 |
| | 4.4 Maintainability and Support | 10 |
| | 4.5 Security | 11 |
| | 4.6 Cultural | 11 |
| | 4.7 Legal | 12 |
| | 4.8 Health and Safety | 12 |
| 5 | Unit Testing | 13 |
| | 5.1 Puzzle Manager | 13 |
| | 5.2 Maze Puzzle Testing | 13 |
| | 5.3 Isometric Puzzle Testing | 15 |
| | 5.4 Simon Says Puzzle Testing | 15 |
| | 5.5 Wires Puzzle Testing | 16 |
| | 5.6 Combination Puzzle Testing | 17 |
| 6 | Changes Due to Testing | 18 |
| 7 | Automated Testing | 19 |
| 8 | Trace to Requirements | 19 |
| 9 | Trace to Modules | 23 |

| 10 Co | de Coverage Metrics | 26 |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | pendix — Reflection Usability Survey | 27 27 |
| List | of Tables | |
| 1 | Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Game | |
| | Room Testing | 2 |
| 2 | Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Game | 0 |
| 9 | Room Testing 2 | 3 |
| 3 | Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Start | 4 |
| 4 | Game Testing | 4 |
| 4 | Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Puz- | . |
| 5 | zle Interaction Testing Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Mes- | 5 |
| J | saging Testing | 6 |
| 6 | Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Mes- | U |
| U | saging Testing | 7 |
| 7 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | ' |
| · | Look and Feel Testing | 8 |
| 8 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | usability Testing | 9 |
| 9 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | Performance Testing | 10 |
| 10 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | Maintainability and Support Testing | 11 |
| 11 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | Security Testing | 11 |
| 12 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | Cultural Testing | 12 |
| 13 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | Legal Testing | 12 |
| 14 | Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for | |
| | Health and Safety Testing | 13 |
| 15 | Multiplayer Puzzle Manager Unit Test Evaluation Re- | 10 |
| | sults | 13 |

| 16 | MazePuzzle Evaluation Results | 14 |
|----|-------------------------------------------------|----|
| 17 | Isometric Puzzle Evaluation Results | 15 |
| 18 | Simon Says Unit Test Evaluation Results | 16 |
| 19 | Wires Puzzle Unit Test Evaluation Results | 17 |
| 20 | Combination Puzzle Unit Test Evaluation Results | 18 |
| 21 | Functional Traceability Matrix Pt. 1 | 20 |
| 22 | Functional Traceability Matrix Pt. 2 | 21 |
| 23 | Non-Functional Traceability Matrix | 22 |
| 24 | Module Traceability Matrix | 24 |
| 25 | Module Traceability Matrix Pt. 2 | 25 |
| 26 | Results of Usability Survey | 28 |

List of Figures

This document outlines the results of implementing the verification and validation plan. Included is a summary of all manual and automated tests performed on the project, along with their outputs.

3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

3.1 Game Room Testing

The following section goes over tests related to starting a game, loading game assets, and determining/displaying puzzles.

Table 1 and 2 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the game room. The way the game room will be assessed will be through the use of manual testing.

Table 1: Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Game

Room Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-CR1 | Manual | User presses Host button. | User redirected to create game menu which has entries to set game room settings (game room name, game room capacity, game room password). | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CR2 | Manual | Game room name inputted. The game room name will be a string consisting of letters, numbers, and ascii characters, and of character length greater than 3 and less than 64. | Game room with specified name is created in database. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CR3 | Manual | User tries to create a new game room with- out inputting a name for the game room | Game room is not created and error message tells user that game room name is not valid. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CR4 | Manual | User slides game room capacity slider to ad- just game room capac- ity (min 2, max 10) | Game room with specified capacity is created in database. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CR5 | Manual | User inputs game room password. The pass- word length will be be- tween 8 and 64 char- acters, and consists of letters, number, and ASCII characters ar- ranged in a random or- der. | Game room requiring password specified by user is created in database. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CR6 | Manual | User does not input anything for game room password, and hosts a game room. | Game room requiring no password is created in database. | Same as expected | Pass |

 ${\bf Table~2:~Functional~Requirements~Evaluation~Results~for~Game}$

Room Testing 2

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-JR1 | Manual | User presses Join Game button | User redirected to join room menu which lists all present game rooms in database that are available to be joined and are under capacity. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-JR2 | Manual | User attempts to enter a game room at maximum capacity. | User is not able to join the game room. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-JR3 | Manual | User enters room name of a created room that has no password set. | User joins game room and available capacity decreases by 1. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-JR4 | Manual | User enters room name and password of created game room. | User joins game and available capacity decreases by 1. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-JR5 | Manual | User enters room name and password of cre- ated game room | User is redirected to game room menu which displays the users present in the game room. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-RS1 | Manual | User presses settings button, then processes to change the game room name, password, or capacity. | User is redirected to edit game settings menu which displays the current settings of the game room (ie. room capacity, and password). | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-RS2 | Manual | Password to game room is updated. | Game room is updated to require the new password. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-RS3 | Manual | Game room settings are changed. | Game room settings menu is updated to show current setting values. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-ER1 | Manual | Game room menu is present and user presses exit button. | User is removed from game room. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-ER2 | Manual | User exits game room. | Game room menu updated to no longer display the user in the game room. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-ER3 | Manual | User exits game room. | Total number of users in game room decreases by one. | Same as expected | Pass |

3.2 Start Game Testing

The following section goes over tests related to starting a game, loading game assets, and determining/displaying puzzles.

Table 3: Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Start Game Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-ST1 | Manual | User presses start button | Game is started | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-ST2 | Manual | User starts game | First puzzle GameObject is instantiated and loaded into the scene | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-ST3 | Automated | User starts game | Order of puzzles is de- termined and each user is assigned a part of the puzzle | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-ST4 | Automated | User starts game | Progress bar displayed on screen to let user know current progress | TBD | TBD |
| Test-ST5 | Automated | User starts game | Puzzle is displayed to each user and game commences | Same as expected | Pass |

3.3 Puzzle Interaction Testing

The following section goes over tests related to interacting with the puzzle UI elements and the corresponding updated on the back end.

Table 4: Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Puzzle

Interaction Testing

| Id | ion Testi: Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-PI1 | Manual | User Selects Puzzle | Puzzle Enlarges On UI | TBD | TBD |
| Test-PI2 | Manual | User taps a key on the Combination Puzzle | If the digit was correct, the digit appears on the screen, if it was not, the combination puzzle turns red | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI2 | Manual | User presses a coloured button on the Simon Says Puzzle | If the colour pressed was the correct one, the system awaits the next button press. If it was not, the level is set to one, and the colour combination gets re-transmitted on the cube. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI2 | Manual | User rotates their phone on the maze puzzle | The maze rotates in accordance with the phone rotation | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI2 | Manual | User performs drags a wire on the Wires Puzzle | The wire follows the drag, and locks onto a node if the user lets go of their finger over it | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI2 | Manual | User types on the input field of the Isometric Puzzle | The input field updates on all the user's screen. If the code was entered correctly, the puzzle is completed. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI3 | Automated | User performs an action on a puzzle | Puzzle back end information is updated appropriately to action | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI4 | Manual | User performs an action on a puzzle | Puzzle UI updates appropriately for other members in game room | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI5 | Manual | Multiple users perform actions to complete puzzle | All users notified puz- zle that has been com- pleted and game room progresses | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI6 | Manual | Single user performs actions to complete puzzle | All users notified puz- zle that has been com- pleted and game room progresses | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI7 | Manual | User requests a hint | Hint for active puzzle is displayed | TBD | TBD |
| Test-PI8 | Manual | User presses button to close hint | Hint display is removed | TBD | TBD |
| Test-PI9 | Manual | User presses skip puz-5 zle button | Game room progresses | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI10 | Manual | User selects skipped puzzle | Puzzle state is equal to the state it was prior | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PI11 | Manual | User different than the one who skipped the puzzle selects the skipped puzzle | Puzzle state is equal to the state it was prior | Same as expected | Pass |

3.4 Messaging Testing

The following section goes over tests related to sending and receiving messages.

Table 5: Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Messaging Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-MS1 | Manual | User clicks messaging button | Messaging interface is displayed containing the messaging display window and input field | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MS2 | Manual | User presses the "X" button to close the messaging interface | The messaging interface is closed | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MS3 | Manual | User taps the input field and types on the displayed keyboard to compose a message, presses the send button | Typed message appears in text input field, then once send button is pressed message is displayed in the message display port. The input field returns to the empty (default) state | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MS4 | Manual | User sends a message (as in Test-MS3) | The UI of all the other users in the game room display a notification that a message has been received. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MS5 | Manual | User taps on the message button when there is a message received notification | The notification is removed. The received message is displayed in the display port | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MS6 | Manual | Single user performs actions to complete puzzle | All users notified puz- zle that has been com- pleted and game room progresses | Same as expected | Pass |

3.5 Individual Puzzle Testing

The following section goes over tests related to individual puzzle interactions.

Table 6: Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Messaging Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-FRMP1 | Manual | Users start the maze puzzle | A random maze with an end goal and a ball is visible only to the user in control of view- ing, and not the user in control of rotating the maze | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-FRMP2 | Manual | Users start the maze puzzle, user in control of rotating the maze rotates their phone | The maze visibly rotates on the controlling user's screen as well as the viewer's screen. The ball is observed to move in the direction of gravity by the viewing user | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-FRMP3 | Manual | Phone rotation by the controlling user, guiding the ball to the end goal | When the ball reaches the end goal, the puz- zle is completed | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-FRIP1 | Manual | Users start the isometric puzzle | Each user is given randomly assigned let- ter and number com- binations displayed on cubes. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-FRIP2 | Manual | Users enter the correct code for the isometric puzzle | Each user observes the puzzle completing | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-FRIP3 | Manual | Users enter the incorrect code for the isometric puzzle | Each user sees the incorrect code on their input field, the puzzle does not complete | Same as expected | Pass |

4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

4.1 Look and Feel

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional look and feel requirements.

Table 7 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the look and feel criteria.

Table 7: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Look

and Feel Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Test-LF1 | Manual | Main menu is launched with simulated width and height of 1080 and 1920 | x and y coordinates of menu elements are within the 1080 and 1920 bounds, allowing them to be visible and interacted with. This simulates the dimen- sions a Google Pixel would have. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-LF1 | Manual | Main menu is launched with simulated width and height of 1440 and 2960 | x and y coordinates of menu elements are within the 1440 and 2960 bounds, allow- ing them to be visible and interacted with. This simulates the di- mensions a Samsung Galaxy S9 would have. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-LF1 | Manual | Main menu is launched with simulated width and height of 1200 and 1920 | x and y coordinates of menu elements are within the 1200 and 1920 bounds, allowing them to be visible and interacted with. This simulates he dimensions a Nexus 7 would have. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-LF1 | Manual | Main menu is launched with simulated width and height of 1125 and 2436 | x and y coordinates of menu elements are within the 1125 and 2436 bounds, allowing them to be visible and interacted with. This simulates he dimensions a iPhone X would have. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-LF2 | Manual | Program launched on IPhone | All elements are clearly visible and able to be interacted with | App unable to be launched on IPhone due to stricter regulations on development builds and execution for IOS vs Android. IOS environment will be continued to be worked on throughout revision 1 | Fail |
| Test-LF3 | Manual | Program launched on Android | All elements are clearly visible and able to be interacted with | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-LF4 | Manual | Program launched in environment with harsh lighting | Most elements still clearly visible and able to be discerned by user | Same as expected | Pass |

4.2 Usability

Results of usability survey can be found in the following table: Table 26

Table 7 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the Usability criteria.

 ${\bf Table~8:~Non\text{-}Functional~Requirements~Evaluation~Results~for~usability~Testing}$

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Test-UH1 | Manual | The user attempts to connect to a lobby with no internet connection. | A message appears to prompt the user to connect to the internet and no lobby is joined. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-UH2 | Manual | The user loses connection to the internet while in the game room lobby | A message appears to prompt the user to connect to the internet. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-UH3 | Manual | The user loses connection to the internet while in the game interacting with puzzles | A message appears to prompt the user to connect to the internet. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-UH4 | Manual | Users below the age of 20 will install and use the app | The user determines that the app is easy to navigate | Same as expected (as shown through results of the usability survey) | Pass |
| Test-UH5 | Manual | Users between the ages of 20 and 30 will install and use the app | The user determines that the app is easy to navigate | Same as expected (as shown through results of the usability survey) | Pass |
| Test-UH6 | Manual | Users over the age of 30 will install and use the app | The user determines that the app is easy to navigate | TBD. No usability tests involving individuals over the age of 30 have been conducted yet. They will be conducted in the future | TBD |
| Test-UH7 | Manual | The user disconnects from the internet then reconnect | The user should be prompted to rejoin the game after their con- nection is restore | TBD | TBD |
| Test-UH8 | Manual | Users have completed playing the game | Average rating of usability survey is 3/5 or higher | Same as expected | Pass |

4.3 Performance

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional performance requirements.

Table 9 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the performance criteria.

Table 9: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Performance Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Test-PR1 | Manual | Request made to create lobby from main menu | Response time of system measured to be \leq 5 seconds | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PR2 | Manual | App launched between the hours of 6am and 6pm | All functionality is available | Same as expected. Occasional Vivox outages have occurred which have hindered voice chat support, but general reliability is found to be > 95%, which is within bounds of tolerance | Pass |
| Test-PR3 | Manual | App launched between the hours of 6pm and 6am | All functionality is available | Same as expected. Occasional Vivox outages have occurred which have hindered voice chat support, but general reliability is found to be > 95%, which is within bounds of tolerance | Pass |
| Test-PR4 | Manual | A request is made to join a lobby during a simulated server out- age | A warning is generated, notifying the user of the server outage and the unavailable functionality | TBD | TBD |

4.4 Maintainability and Support

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional maintainability and support requirements.

Table 10 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the maintainability and support criteria.

Table 10: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Maintainability and Support Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-MT1 | Manual | Static walk through of | Requirements verified | Same as expected | Pass |
| | | all documents | by code | | |
| Test-MT2 | Manual | N/A | All code comments are | Same as expected | Pass |
| | | | verified by third party | | |
| | | | to be valid and under- | | |
| | | | standable | | |

4.5 Security

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional security requirements.

Table 11 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the security criteria.

Table 11: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Security Testing

| 0 011 103 = | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
| Test-SR1 | Manual | External networking request for user IP address | No IP returned | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-SR2 | Manual | N/A | No data is shown to the user that is not rel- evant to their experi- ence with the app | Same as expected | Pass |

4.6 Cultural

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional cultural requirements.

Table 12 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the cultural criteria.

Table 12: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Cultural Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-CU1 | Manual | N/A | No offensive images, text, or sound are dis- played or heard during playing the game | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CU2 | Manual | N/A | All available text and audio clues are in Canadian English | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CU3 | Manual | N/A | Static code inspection ensuring all assets are in Canadian English | Same as expected | Pass |

4.7 Legal

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional legal requirements.

Table 13 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the legal criteria.

Table 13: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for Legal Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-LR1 | Manual | N/A | Confirmation that all the assets in the game are either created by MacAR developers or are open source re- sources that are prop- erly attributed to. | Same as expected | Pass |

4.8 Health and Safety

The following section goes over tests related to the non-functional health and safety requirements.

Table 14 below demonstrate the non-functional requirements evaluation for the health and safety criteria.

Table 14: Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation Results for

Health and Safety Testing

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|--------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-HS1 | Manual | Game Started | prompt the user to check their environ- ment and make sure its suitable for playing | Same as expected | Pass |
| | | | the game | | |

5 Unit Testing

5.1 Puzzle Manager

Table 15 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the Multiplayer Puzzle Manager. The way the Multiplayer Puzzle Manager will be assessed will be through the use of unit tests. These tests will cover the parts of the Multiplayer Puzzle Manager which can be verified through automation.

Table 15: Multiplayer Puzzle Manager Unit Test Evaluation Results

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-PM1 | Automated | Simple serialization of client data | Data is serialized into the correct bytes | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PM2 | Automated | Complex serialization of client data | Data is serialized into the correct bytes | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PM3 | Automated | Simple descrialization of client data | Data is describized into the correct bytes | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PM4 | Automated | Complex deserialization of client data | Data is descrialized into the correct bytes | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-PM5 | Automated | Client data undergoes full serialization - dese- rialization cycle | Initial data is retrieved on client side | Same as expected | Pass |

5.2 Maze Puzzle Testing

Table 16 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the maze puzzle. The way the maze puzzle will be assessed will be through the

use of unit tests. These tests will cover the parts of the maze puzzle which can be verified through automation.

Table 16: MazePuzzle Evaluation Results

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-MP1 | Automated | Spawn in the maze puzzle using NUnit unit testing | The maze puzzle is successfully spawned in, and mazePuzzle.activeSelf is true. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP2 | Automated | Instantiate maze puz- zle at $(0,0,0)$ with ro- tation $(0,0,0)$ | The maze puzzle object is not null and is available in the scene | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP3-1 | Automated | A two dimensional array of size 3x3 input into the To1DArray function | The output 1-D array is the same as the 2D array arranged row by row | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP3-2 | Automated | A two dimensional array of size 5x4 input into the To1DArray function | The output 1-D array is not the same as the 2D array arranged column by column | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP4-1 | Automated | A one dimensional array of size 9 is input with size parameters 3 for width and 3 for length into the Make2DArray function | The output 2D array is the same as arranging the 1D array into a 2D array of size 3x3 by rows | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP4-2 | Automated | A one dimensional array of size 20 is input with size parameters 5 for width and 4 for length into the Make2DArray function | The output 2D array is not the same as arranging the 1D array into a 2D array of size 5x4 by columns | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP5 | Automated | Two adjacent maze cube are input into the ClearWalls function. Cube 1 is spawned at (1,0,0) and cube 2 is spawned at (0,0,0) | The Left wall of the first cube and the Right wall of the second cube are both invisible | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP6 | Automated | A random array of size 100 with elements between 0 and 3 input into the convertLayoutToGrid function | A Maze that matches the removed elements | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-MP7 | Automated | A completely filled maze is massed into the GetUnvisitedCells function | An empty list of maze cells is returned | Same as expected | Pass |

5.3 Isometric Puzzle Testing

Table 17 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the Isometric puzzle. The way the Isometric puzzle will be assessed will be through the use of unit tests. These tests will cover the parts of the Isometric puzzle which can be verified through automation.

Table 17: Isometric Puzzle Evaluation Results

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-IP1 | Automated | Spawn in the Isometric puzzle using NUnit unit testing | The Isometric puzzle is successfully spawned in, and IsometricPuzzle.activeSelf is true. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-IP2 | Automated | Instantiate Isometric puzzle at $(0,0,0)$ with rotation $(0,0,0)$ | The Isometric puzzle object is not null and is available in the scene | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-IP3 | Automated | Call isometric puzzle InitializePuzzle with- out first setting up a multiplayer environ- ment | Null Reference Exception | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-IP4 | Automated | Call SetCubeLayout- Set function of the Isometric Puzzle class with parameter "1T" passed in | Cube layout changes to match the input combination "1T | Same as expected | Pass |

5.4 Simon Says Puzzle Testing

Table 18 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the Simon Says puzzle. The way the Simon Says puzzle will be assessed will be through the use of unit tests. These tests will cover the parts of the Simon Says puzzle which can be verified through automation.

Table 18: Simon Says Unit Test Evaluation Results

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-SP1 | Automated | Spawn in Simon Says Puzzle | Simon Says Puzzle successfully spawns in, and attribute activeSelf is true. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-SP2 | Automated | Spawn in Simon Says Puzzle | Simon Says Puzzle object is not null | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-SP3 | Automated | Increment Level function called on Simon Says Puzzle | Simon Says Puzzle level increases by 1 (from 1 to 2) | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-SP4 | Automated | Colour sequence list contains blue. TrackUserInput(blue) is called which represents user pressing blue button | Colour sequence list and Player Sequence list are equal | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-SP5 | Automated | Player input list contains colour values. BeginSimonSays() function is called. | Player input list is cleared | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-SP6 | Automated | Colour sequence list contains colour values. BeginSimonSays() function is called. | Colour sequence list is cleared | Same as expected | Pass |

5.5 Wires Puzzle Testing

Table 19 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the Wires puzzle. The way the Wires puzzle will be assessed will be through the use of unit tests. These tests will cover the parts of the Wires puzzle which can be verified through automation.

Table 19: Wires Puzzle Unit Test Evaluation Results

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-WI1 | Automated | Puzzle is initialized with trivial sequence | Wire/ Node sequence is set internally | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-WI2 | Automated | Puzzle is initialized with complex sequence | Wire/ Node sequence is set internally | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-WI3 | Automated | Puzzle is left uninitialized | Wire/ Node sequence is set to impossible to achieve values | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-WI4 | Automated | User connects wire | Wire/ Node sequence is properly updated | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-WI5 | Automated | User creates incorrect sequence | Wire/ Node sequence is updated but puzzle is not marked as com- plete | Same as expected | Pass |

5.6 Combination Puzzle Testing

Table 18 below demonstrates the functional requirements evaluation for the Combination puzzle. The way the Combination puzzle will be assessed will be through the use of unit tests. These tests will cover the parts of the Combination puzzle which can be verified through automation.

Table 20: Combination Puzzle Unit Test Evaluation Results

| Id | Type | Inputs | Expected Result | Actual Result | Result |
|----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Test-CP1 | Automated | Spawn in Combination Puzzle | Combination Puzzle successfully spawns in, and attribute activeSelf is true. | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CP2 | Automated | Spawn in Combination Puzzle | Combination Puzzle object is not null | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CP3 | Automated | KeyPadPress("3") is called to simulate a correct input | The first character of the current code is re- turned as "3" | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CP4 | Automated | KeyPadPress("4") is called to simulate an incorrect input | The first character of the current code is re- turned as "_" | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CP5 | Automated | KeyPadPress is used to simulate entry of the entire correct code | completePuzzle is true | Same as expected | Pass |
| Test-CP6 | Automated | The puzzle is initialized | The text of the instruc- tion page is not still the default instruction page text | Same as expected | Pass |

6 Changes Due to Testing

Currently, our group has done one usability testing session, however, there are plans to do more in the future. As a result, we will most likely receive more feedback and make changes based on this.

| Source | Feedback | Changes |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Usability Testing | Time to play the game is <30 minutes | Our group has decided to remove the Save/Load game requirements after usability testing, as the game only takes 15-30 minutes to complete, and doesn't really need a save game option. |
| Usability Testing | Time to play the game is <30 minutes | Since game length is max 30 minutes, our group has decided to have only 5 puzzles since this will keep the game within the time limit |
| Usability Survey and TA meeting | Calibration step not necessary | Removed FR-ST3 since there is no need for a calibration setup step for our technology to be used. |
| Usability Testing | Difficulty creating lobby as no notification was given for password not meeting requirements | Added pop-up notifications to give information to users (such as if password is not long enough) |
| Usability Testing | Join lobby button had issues as when pressed multiple times consecutively, users were unable to join the game room | Disabled join lobby button once it has been pressed to prevent consecutive server calls |
| Dr. Yuan Feedback | Isometric Puzzle spawns too high, resulting in users being unable to see letter/number on top of the puzzle | Instantiation of the Isometric Puzzle prefab adjusted to spawn in a lower position, and size of puzzle decreased |

7 Automated Testing

The automated test suite is, due to limitations with Unity, split into two parts. Both parts, the Edit Mode and Play Mode test suites, utilize NUnit to create and run the tests.

The Play Mode tests use Unity's InputSystem library to simulate user inputs (clicks, rotations, etc.), and consist of the majority of tests that simulate user interaction or multiplayer aspects.

The Edit Mode tests are more basic unit tests that test individual script functions. These tests are run on the CI/CD pipeline. In addition to the tests that the Mac-AR team has created, certain unity packages come with built in unit tests that are run alongside this suite. These additional tests currently number 682 in total.

8 Trace to Requirements

| | CG1 | CG2 | CG3 | CG4 | CG5 | JG1 | JG2 | JG3 | JG4 | $_{ m JG5}$ | RS1 | RS2 | RS3 | RS4 | RS5 | RS6 | ER1 | ER2 | ER3 | ST1 | ST2 | ST3 | ST4 | ST5 | ST6 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Test-CR1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR2 | X | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR3 | X | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR4 | X | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR5 | X | | | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR6 | X | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR1 | | | | | | X | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR2 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR3 | | | | | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR4 | | | | | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR5 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS1 | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS2 | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS3 | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS4 | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS5 | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ER1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ER2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | |
| Test-ER3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Test-ST1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-ST2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | Х | | | | |
| Test-ST3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | X | | |
| Test-ST4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | X | |
| Test-ST5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | X | | X |
| Test-PI5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |

Table 21: Functional Traceability Matrix Pt. 1 $\,$

| | PI1 | PI2 | PI3 | PI4 | PI5 | PI6 | PI7 | HD1 | HR2 | HR3 | SP1 | SP2 | SP3 | SM1 | SM2 | SM3 | SM4 | SM5 | RM1 | RM2 | RM3 |
|-----------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------|-----|----------|
| Test-PI1 | X | X | F19 | F14 | F19 | F10 | F17 | пп | HK2 | ппъ | SFI | SF 2 | 513 | SMI | 51V12 | SIVIS | 51V14 | SIVIO | KWII | RM2 | KW13 |
| Test-PI2 | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI3 | X | Λ | X | Α | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI4 | Λ. | | Λ. | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI5 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI6 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI7 | Λ. | Λ | Λ. | Λ. | Λ | Λ. | Λ. | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI8 | | | | | | | | Λ. | Λ. | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI9 | X | | | | | | | | | Λ | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI10 | X | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI11 | X | | | | X | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI12 | Λ | | | | Λ | | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS1 | | | | | | | | | | | Λ. | Λ | А | X | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MS1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Λ | X | Λ | X | | | | X |
| Test-MS3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | Λ | X | | | Λ |
| Test-MS4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | А | X | | Λ | X | | |
| Test-MS5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | X | X | |
| Test-PM1 | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | Λ | | | Λ | Λ. | |
| Test-PM2 | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM3 | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM4 | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM5 | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI1 | Λ | | X | X | X | Λ | Λ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI2 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI3 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI4 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI5 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP1 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP2 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP3 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP4 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP5 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP6 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP7 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP1 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP2 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP3 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP4 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP5 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP6 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP1 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP2 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP3 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP4 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \vdash |
| Test-CP1 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CP2 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CP3 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CP4 | | | X | X | X | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | | | | \vdash | | \vdash |
| Test-CP5 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CP6 | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1686-010 | | <u> </u> | | Λ. | А | | L | | | l | L | | L | | | | l | <u> </u> | | | |

Table 22: Functional Traceability Matrix Pt. 2

| | LF1 | LF2 | UH1 | UH2 | UH3 | UH4 | UH5 | UH6 | UH7 | UH8 | PR1 | PR2 | OE1 | MS1 | MS2 | SR1 | SR2 | CR1 | CR2 | LR1 | HS1 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Test-LF1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-LF2 | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-LF3 | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-LF4 | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH1 | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH2 | | | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH3 | | | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH4 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH5 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH6 | | | | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH7 | | | | | | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH8 | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PR1 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PR2 | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PR3 | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PR4 | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MT1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | |
| Test-MT2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | |
| Test-SR1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-SR2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| Test-CU1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-CU2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | |
| Test-CU3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Test-LR1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Test-HS1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |

Table 23: Non-Functional Traceability Matrix

9 Trace to Modules

Module descriptions can be found in section 5 of the MG. Module M10 (Math Puzzle module) will not be implemented, and therefore does not have any traceability to tests. In the future, documents will be revised to update module numbering.

| | M1 | M2 | М3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 | M8 | M9 | M10 | M11 | M12 | M13 | M14 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Test-CR1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR2 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR3 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR4 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR5 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-CR6 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR1 | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR2 | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR3 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-JR4 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS2 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-RS3 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ER1 | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ER2 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ER3 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ST1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ST2 | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ST3 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ST4 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-ST5 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI1 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI2 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI3 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI4 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI5 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI6 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI7 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI8 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI9 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI10 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI11 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PI12 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS1 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS2 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS3 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS4 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS5 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MS6 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM1 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM2 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |

Table 24: Module Traceability Matrix

| | M1 | M2 | М3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 | M8 | M9 | M10 | M11 | M12 | M13 | M14 |
|-----------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Test-PM3 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM4 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PM5 | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-MP1 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MP2 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MP3 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MP4 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MP5 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MP6 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-MP7 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Test-SP1 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP2 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP3 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP4 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP5 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-SP6 | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI1 | | | | | | Λ | | X | | | | | | |
| Test-WI2 | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Test-WI3 | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Test-WI4 | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-WI5 | | | | | | | v | X | | | | | | |
| Test-IP1 | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP3 | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| Test-IP4 | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| Test-CP1 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-CP2 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-CP3 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-CP4 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-CP5 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-CP6 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Test-LF1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-LF2 | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-LF3 | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-LF4 | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Test-UH2 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Test-UH3 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Test-UH4 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH5 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH6 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH7 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-UH8 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test-PR1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Test-PR2 | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Test-PR3 | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Test-PR4 | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X | X | |
| Test-MT1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Test-MT2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Test-SR1 | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Test-SR2 | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Test-CU1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Test-CU2 | | | | | | | | | | 05 | | | | X |
| Test-CU3 | | | | | | | | | - | 25 | | | | X |
| Test-LR1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Test-HS1 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | X | _ |
| 1000 1101 | <u> </u> | | | | | | | I | I | L | <u> </u> | l | -1 | |

Table 25: Module Traceability Matrix Pt. 2

10 Code Coverage Metrics

Our project did not have any strict requirements on meeting a particular code coverage percentage. Furthermore, lots of manual testing was done due to the use of the Unity framework.

| Module | Branch Coverage | Line Coverage |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Multiplayer Puzzle | 11% | 33% |
| Wires | 45% | 63% |
| Maze | 23% | 30% |
| Isometric | 60% | 67% |
| Simon Says | 27% | 40% |
| Combination | 20% | 65% |

References

11 Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection. Please answer the following question:

1. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)

The main difference between the original plan and how the validation and verification was conducted was the amount of tests that we thought we could automate. All of the members of the teams are very familiar with unit testing and therefore a large part of the VnV plan revolved around creating a comprehensive testing suite that could be run before every new feature to ensure correctness. What we failed to account for was the how strict Unity's environment was, and therefore how much work would have to be shifted to manual verification. This was fully a product of our inexperience with the program, and on future projects it is likely that we would be able to create a more accurate plan from the start.

11.1 Usability Survey

Table 26 below showing the results of the Usability survey

Table 26: Results of Usability Survey

| Question | Average Rating (out of 5) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| I find the controls of the game to be straightforward | 3.25 |
| I find the game's interface to be easy to navigate | 3.25 |
| I feel detached from the outside world while playing the game | 3 |
| I do not care to check events that are happening in the real world during the game | 3 |
| I think the game is fun | 4.25 |
| I find the game supports social interaction between players | 5 |
| I enjoy playing this game with other users | 4.5 |
| I feel the game allows me to be imaginative | 4 |
| I feel like the puzzles are challenging | 4 |
| I feel like the puzzle interactions are fun | 4.25 |
| I enjoy the game's graphics | 2.75 |
| I think the game is visually appealing | 3.25 |