

(Due Tuesday, April 1)

Theorem 6.33: Every integer n , $n \geq 2$, can be factored uniquely into primes. (By “unique,” we mean unique up to the order in which the primes are listed.)

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 2$ and p_i, q_k is prime. Then,
 n can be factored into primes, represented as $p_1 p_2 \dots p_i$ given by Prop 6.28.
 Next we continue by strong induction on k :

Base Case, $i = 1$:

For the purpose of contradiction, let n have two distinct prime factorization:
 $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_i$ and $n = q_1 q_2 \dots q_k$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 p_1 | n & \\
 p_1 | q_1 q_2 \dots q_k & \\
 \text{Without loss of generality } p_1 | q_1 & \text{Prop 6.32.5} \\
 p_1 = q_1 & \text{Property of Prime}
 \end{array}$$

Inductive step:

WLG we can say $i \leq k$, We can now assume that $p_n = q_n$ for all $1 \leq n < i$
 Now we prove the $n + 1$ case:

$$n = p_1 \dots p_n p_{n+1} \dots p_i = q_1 \dots q_n q_{n+1} \dots q_k$$

Because p is the same as q through n , We can substitute j in for their place, that is:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 n = j(q_{n+1} \dots q_k) = j(p_{n+1} \dots p_i) & \\
 \text{we can define } x \text{ such that } n = jx & \\
 x = q_{n+1} \dots q_k = p_{n+1} \dots p_i & \\
 q_{n+1} | x & \\
 q_{n+1} | p_{n+1} \dots p_i & \\
 \text{Without loss of generality } q_{n+1} | p_{n+1} & \text{Prop 6.32.5} \\
 p_{n+1} = q_{n+1} & \text{Property of Prime}
 \end{array}$$

Up to p_i n can be factored uniquely.

For purpose of contradiction assume $i \neq k$, WLG we can say $i < k$, that is:

$$n = p_1 \dots p_i = q_1 \dots q_i \dots q_k.$$

Shown above: $p_1 \dots p_i = p_1 \dots p_i \dots q_k$

$$1 * p_1 \dots p_i = p_1 \dots p_i \dots q_k$$

$$1 = p_{i+1} \dots q_k$$

$p_{i+1} \dots q_k$ all equal 1, a contradiction of q_k being prime, so $i = k$.

Proving every integer n , $n \geq 2$, can be factored uniquely into primes.

□

COMPLETED Theorem 6.36: (Fermat's Little Theorem) If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p is a prime, then

$$m^p \equiv m \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be prime.

Special case, $p = 2$ $m^2 - m = m(m - 1) = m(m + 1 - 2)$ by Prop 6.16 either $2|m$ or $2|m + 1$

So it can be shown that in either case $2|m^2 - m$

When $p = 2$: $m^p \equiv m \pmod{p}$.

Now, either $p|m$ or $p \nmid m$:

If $p|m$:

$$m = pj$$

$$m^p - m = m(m^{p-1} - 1) = pj(m^{p-1} - 1)$$

$$p|m^p - m, \text{ when } p|m, m^p \equiv m \pmod{p}$$

If $p \nmid m$ we continue by induction on m :

Base Case $m = 1$: $1^p - 1 = 0$ $p|0$

When $m = 1$: $m^p \equiv m \pmod{p}$

Inductive Step, We can now assume $m^p \equiv m \pmod{p}$ for all $1 \leq k < m$:

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1)^p - (k+1) &= \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} k^j 1^{p-j} - (k+1) && \text{Binomial THM} \\ &= \binom{p}{0} k^0 + px + \binom{p}{p} k^p - k - 1 && \text{Prop 6.35} \\ &= 1 + px + k^p - k - 1 \\ &= px + k^p - k \\ &= p(x + z) \end{aligned}$$

Now we continue in the other direction, keeping the same base case we now assume k for $m < k \leq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(k-1)^p - (k-1) &= \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} k^j (-1)^{p-j} - (k-1) && \text{Binomial THM} \\
&= -\binom{p}{0} k^0 + px + \binom{p}{p} k^p - k + 1 && \text{Prop 6.35} \\
&= -1 + px + k^p - k + 1 \\
&= px + k^p - k \\
&= p(x + z)
\end{aligned}$$

Any prime greater than 2 is odd because if not that number would be divisible by more just itself

$x - 1$ is even when x is odd, as show in the proof above

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^p &= (-1)(-1)^{p-1} \\
p-1 &= 2j = j+j \\
(-1)(-1)^{p-1} &= (-1)((-1)^j)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Because $((-1)^j)^2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and -1^z can only be 1, 0, or -1, $(-1)(-1)^{p-1} = (-1)1 = -1$ \square