HONOURS STUDENT PROGRESS REPORT 2015

STUDENT	SUPERVISOR	EXPERT EXAMINER	NON-EXPERT EXAMINER
Samuel Hinton	Tamara Davis	David Parkinson	Seth Olsen
	Grade: Pass	Grade: Pass	Grade: Pass
	Sam has done an excellent job with the	Feedback: The literature review	Comments: I am impressed with the
	analysis for his honours project so far and	demonstrates an incredibly detailed	organization of the thesis document itself at
	his progress report is a pass. In writing	understanding of the project and the field.	this early stage. This is an excellent sign. The
	Sam should use less passive voice and	Furthermore it is written in exactly the	structure is good; the literature introduction
	less complex sentence structures to make	correct style to be found in a thesis or	seems of excellent quality for an
	clearer which parts of the analysis he has	scientific publication. The progress report	undergraduate thesis and would be
	done himself and to make for easier	is very impressive, as the student has very	competitive with post-graduate work. The
	reading. Care needs to be taken that the	quickly learnt and generated the necessary	introduction for the non-specialist is not bad
	thesis is written for someone who is not	techniques for the project in a very short	at all, but there is still room to improve the
	an expert in the field, and Sam has a	amount of time. I have no problems in	pedagogy in the text. There is ample time to
	tendency to assume knowledge the	judging this as a satisfactory and complete	do this. The overwhelming impression I came
	reader won't have. I've given detailed	review and report.	away with was of an awful lot of careful work
	comments and suggestions on the pdf		and study.
	document directly to Sam.	I would raise the minor point that the final chapter, "Plan for the rest of the thesis" is	
		very ambitious, and I would suspect that	
		not all of these objects can be completed	
		in the available time. I would recommend	
		that the student and supervisor get	
		together to generate a more realistic work	
		plan for the remainder of the semester,	
		that prioritises the most most important	
		aspects that will need to be included in the	
		final thesis. In particular I would flag the	
		fourth item "Determine significance of BAO	
		peak by fitting without peak." as being one	

which the examiners may be most
interested in.
interested iii.
Minor notes:
Willion Hotes.
The title on the first page should
not be in inverted commas
On pages 12 and 13 the student
quotes the number and volume of Euclid
and DESI. These surveys do not yet exist,
and so should be considered as plans for
the future, rather than existing data sets.
The current phrasing of the growth of
cosmological data is misleading.
• At the bottom of page 14, the
equation for the likelihood Q(y \theta) is
missing the latex \ to make it the greek
symbol theta
Page 18, second paragraph, 8th
line: the word 'shows' in the sentence
"Kazin et al. (2010b) showss the" has an
excess 's'
Page 19, there is an red text
TODO, meant for the student not the
examiner
Page 21-22: what criteria did Xue
et al (2012) use to select their final form of
A(s) (given in equation 3.6)
The chapter 5, plan for the rest of
the thesis is rather sparse, especially in

HONOURS STUDENT PROGRESS REPORT 2015

comparison to the high level of detail provided for the previous chapter. Furthermore, the plan is rather (very!) ambitious, and I worry that the student may run out of time before completing all of these objectives. A more detailed and realistic plan is recommended.	
---	--