Title: AI and Carbon Markets: Optimizing Emissions Trading in Canada’s Energy Sector

OLUWATOBI OLUKAYODE

University of Saskatchewan

ECON 825 – Energy Economics

Professor’s Name: Professor. Saeed Moshiri

3rd April, 2025

Abstract

This paper examines the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in optimizing carbon pricing and emissions trading within Canada's energy sector. While carbon pricing mechanisms such as carbon taxes and emissions trading systems remain central to climate policy, they often suffer from inefficiencies related to information asymmetry, enforcement delays, and limited adaptability. Drawing on the theoretical foundations of market-based environmental regulation and institutional economics, this study explores how AI technologies including machine learning, automated compliance tools, and predictive analytics can address these systemic weaknesses. Through a theoretical approach grounded in literature review and comparative policy analysis, the paper investigates how AI integration enhances market transparency, strengthens regulatory oversight, and improves investment signals in energy-intensive industries. The findings suggest that AI has the potential to significantly increase the efficiency and credibility of Canada's carbon pricing architecture, though institutional challenges related to data governance, regulatory alignment, and infrastructure readiness remain substantial. The study concludes that the effective integration of AI into Canada's climate policy will require not only technological capacity, but also coordinated institutional reform and policy innovation.

Introduction

The urgency of addressing climate change has intensified global interest in market-based environmental regulation, with carbon pricing now widely recognized as a central policy instrument. Mechanisms such as carbon taxes and emissions trading systems aim to internalize the external cost of greenhouse gas emissions and to promote cleaner production practices. However, despite their theoretical appeal, traditional carbon pricing schemes face persistent challenges, including pricing volatility, limited transparency, enforcement difficulties, and administrative burdens. In response to these shortcomings, artificial intelligence is beginning to influence the design and implementation of carbon markets by introducing predictive analytics, algorithmic trading, and real-time emissions monitoring.

In Canada, carbon pricing has developed into a multi-layered policy framework. A federal backstop operates alongside various provincial systems, such as British Columbia's carbon tax and Alberta's Technology Innovation and Emissions Reduction system. While these instruments have contributed to national climate objectives, they are constrained by institutional and operational frictions that limit their efficiency. As Chattopadhyay et al. (2021) suggest, artificial intelligence offers new possibilities for improving regulatory precision and system responsiveness. Similarly, Klenert et al. (2020) argue that moments of systemic disruption, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, reveal the importance of adaptive policy frameworks capable of incorporating innovation.

This paper investigates how artificial intelligence is influencing emissions trading and carbon pricing within Canada's energy-intensive industries, and how its integration is shaping investment and technological change in the sector. Specifically, it explores the extent to which AI applications including machine learning models for emissions forecasting and smart contracts for credit verification can enhance the performance, transparency, and credibility of

Canadian carbon pricing. Two central questions guide the analysis: How is AI optimizing emissions trading and carbon pricing in Canada's energy sector? And how does AI-driven carbon pricing affect investment and innovation in this domain?

To answer these questions, the paper adopts a theoretical approach grounded in a review of academic literature, Canadian policy frameworks, and international case studies. It draws on the work of Chattopadhyay et al. (2021) to assess AI's potential as a regulatory tool, and situates Canada's experience within a broader international context, including lessons from the European Union Emissions Trading System. In doing so, the paper seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of how emerging technologies can strengthen the performance of carbon markets and support Canada's long-term decarbonization goals.

Literature Review

The intersection of artificial intelligence and environmental economics has emerged as a dynamic area of inquiry, exploring how computational tools can strengthen traditional policy instruments. AI is becoming increasingly relevant to carbon pricing, offering data-driven solutions to persistent challenges in emissions measurement, policy verification, and market efficiency. Both theoretical and empirical studies indicate that AI can improve the credibility and cost-effectiveness of climate policy by enhancing data accuracy, optimizing market operations, and enabling more flexible regulatory design.

Chattopadhyay et al. (2021) offer a foundational contribution by outlining how AI can support energy policy and investment strategies. They argue that AI improves energy system performance by generating real-time insights into consumption patterns, emissions trends, and market signals. In carbon markets specifically, AI enables more accurate credit allocation, streamlines compliance verification, and supports dynamic pricing. Their work emphasizes

AI's potential to reduce transaction costs and build market credibility, two long-standing challenges in emissions trading.

This perspective aligns with broader research on the digitalization of environmental governance, where AI is increasingly viewed as a response to market failures in climate regulation. Monitoring technologies powered by AI can detect emissions irregularities in industrial processes, improving enforcement capacity. Similarly, machine learning models trained on historical data can simulate emissions outcomes under alternative policy scenarios, allowing for more adaptive pricing mechanisms. These innovations suggest that AI is not merely a technical tool, but a strategic lever for modernizing climate policy frameworks.

Klenert et al. (2020) reinforce this view from a policy innovation lens. Drawing on the disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic, they call for more flexible and resilient policy architectures capable of integrating new technologies. Their work supports the notion that structural shocks can create opportunities for regulatory redesign, particularly through the incorporation of AI to manage systemic risks. In Canada, this perspective suggests that AI could play a central role in increasing the responsiveness of pricing mechanisms across various energy subsectors, especially as the country works toward its net-zero commitments.

Within the Canadian literature, there is growing interest in integrating digital infrastructure into climate governance. The Canadian Institute for Climate Choices (2021) emphasizes the importance of aligning carbon pricing reforms with technological advancement, advocating for greater transparency in credit accounting and the use of AI for regulatory surveillance. Reports from Environment and Climate Change Canada also underscore the importance of high-quality data and analytics in evaluating policy outcomes. These insights suggest that AI is not only feasible but increasingly essential for the next phase of Canadian climate policy.

International case studies offer further insight into AI's practical application in carbon markets. The European Union Emissions Trading System has introduced AI-driven tools for automated reporting and fraud detection. Similarly, California's cap-and-trade program has tested AI applications for emissions forecasting and market simulations. These examples provide a comparative framework for assessing Canada's institutional readiness to adopt similar tools within its federal and provincial systems.

Taken together, the literature provides strong justification for examining how AI can support carbon pricing in Canada's energy sector. It highlights both the technical potential of AI systems and the institutional hurdles that may arise in their implementation. As Canada advances its decarbonization agenda, understanding the strategic role of AI in reinforcing market design and regulatory performance is not only timely but essential.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in the theory of market-based environmental regulation, particularly the Pigouvian principle that pricing emissions through instruments such as carbon taxes and emissions trading systems can internalize the external costs of pollution. In theory, these tools should lead to efficient resource allocation by aligning private incentives with social objectives. However, in practice, carbon pricing mechanisms often fall short due to information asymmetries, enforcement delays, and market volatility, all of which limit their effectiveness and undermine credibility.

Artificial intelligence offers a theoretical response to these limitations. Drawing from institutional and information economics, AI can be understood as a means of reducing transaction costs, improving monitoring capacity, and enhancing regulatory compliance. It strengthens the informational environment in which carbon pricing operates, enabling more accurate emissions tracking, faster policy adjustments, and a closer alignment between price signals and environmental outcomes.

This paper does not propose a formal model but instead adopts a conceptual framework in which AI serves as a technological complement to traditional carbon pricing instruments. By enhancing the administrative and informational infrastructure of carbon markets, AI helps close the gap between theoretical ideals and real-world implementation. This framing informs the analysis of Canada's carbon pricing system in the sections that follow.

AI and Carbon Pricing in Canada's Energy Sector

Canada's carbon pricing system is one of the most structured frameworks among major energy-producing economies. It combines a federal policy under the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act with provincial systems designed to reflect local priorities. The federal approach includes a fuel charge and an output-based pricing system applied to large industrial facilities. At the provincial level, British Columbia employs a carbon tax, Alberta operates the Technology Innovation and Emissions Reduction system, and Quebec participates in a cap and trade program linked with California through the Western Climate Initiative. These diverse approaches share a common objective: reducing emissions by embedding a cost on carbon within high-emitting sectors such as oil and gas and electricity generation.

Despite this policy infrastructure, Canada's carbon pricing systems face significant limitations. Emissions reporting is frequently based on self-disclosure, audits are periodic rather than continuous, and pricing instruments often fail to adjust dynamically to market or environmental conditions. These shortcomings weaken the price signal and reduce the credibility of carbon markets. It is within this context that artificial intelligence presents an opportunity to strengthen regulatory performance and market efficiency.

Artificial intelligence tools, including machine learning, remote sensing, and automated data processing, can address several of the principal weaknesses in current systems. Emissions monitoring can shift from infrequent manual assessments to real-time, data-driven processes. For example, industrial facilities could adopt sensors and emissions modeling software powered by artificial intelligence to produce more accurate and continuous tracking of emissions levels. This improves the precision of baseline estimates and reduces information asymmetries, which are central concerns in the environmental economics literature.

Artificial intelligence also offers improvements in compliance and enforcement. Natural language processing can be used to scan emissions disclosures for inconsistencies or errors, enabling automated audits and faster regulatory response. This reduces the administrative burden on government agencies and raises the perceived likelihood of detection and enforcement, thereby enhancing the deterrent effect of carbon pricing. In a federal system where regulatory capacity varies by province, such efficiencies are especially valuable.

Predictive analytics provide further advantages. Algorithms trained on historical emissions, energy prices, and market behavior can simulate firm responses to changes in carbon pricing. This allows policymakers to evaluate policy options, such as tax rate adjustments or emissions cap tightening, before implementation. These features align with the recommendations of Klenert et al. (2020), who emphasize the importance of adaptive and resilient climate policy frameworks in the face of systemic uncertainty.

Artificial intelligence also supports the long-term investment environment. By improving the accuracy and stability of carbon pricing, it reduces uncertainty premiums in capital planning.

Firms considering emissions reduction projects such as carbon capture can better assess the viability of such investments when they operate within a more transparent and data-informed carbon pricing regime. This can help shift investment toward low-carbon technologies.

In addition to direct effects on carbon markets, artificial intelligence supports broader innovation in the energy system. Tools that manage smart grids, forecast real-time demand, and integrate renewable sources can improve energy system efficiency and reduce the cost of emissions abatement. These developments complement carbon pricing by expanding the range of affordable mitigation options.

Nevertheless, adoption of artificial intelligence within Canada's carbon pricing architecture remains limited. Data standards are inconsistent, digital infrastructure is uneven, and policy misalignment between federal and provincial governments introduces friction. These challenges reduce the scalability and interoperability of artificial intelligence applications across jurisdictions.

Comparative insights from other regions are informative. The European Union Emissions Trading System has begun deploying artificial intelligence for fraud detection and emissions verification, supported by centralized data governance. In the United States, California's cap and trade program has used artificial intelligence to simulate policy scenarios and improve permit allocations. While Canada has not yet built a similar digital foundation, the Canadian Institute for Climate Choices (2021) has identified the need for more coordinated digital investment and policy support in this space.

In sum, artificial intelligence presents a compelling tool for strengthening the performance of carbon pricing in Canada's energy sector. It improves monitoring, enhances compliance, and reduces investment risk thereby addressing both research questions of this study. However, realizing these benefits will require regulatory coordination, infrastructure investment, and institutional capacity. As Canada advances toward its climate goals, integrating artificial intelligence into its carbon pricing framework may prove essential to unlocking deeper emissions reductions and building a more credible and efficient market system.

Policy Implications and Institutional Challenges

The integration of artificial intelligence into Canada's carbon pricing system presents important implications for both policy design and institutional capacity. Although the theoretical benefits, improved monitoring, compliance, and investment signals are well established, realizing these gains depends on addressing key structural and governance challenges. These include fragmented data systems, inconsistent jurisdictional policies, and limited digital infrastructure across federal and provincial boundaries.

One major institutional barrier is the lack of standardized emissions data across provinces. As highlighted by the Canadian Institute for Climate Choices (2021), the absence of a unified national emissions database restricts the interoperability of digital tools and weakens the analytical foundation required for artificial intelligence. While Environment and Climate Change Canada compiles a National Inventory Report, its reliance on self-reported data and significant time lags reduce its effectiveness for real-time regulatory use. AI tools depend on high-frequency, accurate, and verified data, conditions that Canada's current system does not consistently provide.

Jurisdictional fragmentation compounds the challenge. Provinces such as Alberta and Ontario have resisted federal pricing mandates, creating divergent policy architectures. These differences are not only technical but political, complicating the deployment of scalable AI tools. In contrast, the European Union's Emissions Trading System benefits from centralized oversight and harmonized rules, which facilitate the application of AI-driven compliance mechanisms across member states. Canada's decentralized model, while grounded in provincial autonomy, limits national integration of regulatory technology.

To address these constraints, strong federal leadership and targeted investments are required. Establishing a national emissions data platform could help standardize reporting protocols and create the digital foundation needed for AI implementation. Similar models in the financial sector demonstrate how centralized data systems can support automated oversight and reduce regulatory burden. In parallel, agencies must build the capacity to assess, procure, and manage AI tools—an institutional shift that demands technical training, procedural updates, and public-sector innovation.

The governance of AI itself also requires attention. While it may enhance regulatory efficiency, AI introduces risks related to algorithmic opacity, accountability, and bias. As noted by Babacan et al. (2020), embedding machine learning in regulatory systems raises concerns about transparency and due process. In the Canadian context, these risks call for regulatory frameworks that ensure AI systems are auditable, explainable, and aligned with democratic oversight.

Incentives will also play a crucial role in promoting private-sector adoption. Targeted tax credits, public funding for pilot programs, or performance-based grants tied to verifiable emissions reductions could encourage firms to invest in AI-enhanced compliance systems. Without such mechanisms, high upfront costs may deter smaller firms from adopting new technologies, slowing the broader modernization of Canada's carbon market.

In short, the success of AI in Canada's carbon pricing regime depends less on technological availability than on institutional readiness. Realizing the theoretical benefits—improved efficiency, lower transaction costs, and more adaptive regulation—will require coordinated investment, policy alignment, and digital governance reform. Without these foundational steps, AI risks remaining a promising yet unrealized solution in Canada's climate policy toolkit.

Conclusion

This paper has examined how artificial intelligence can strengthen carbon pricing within Canada's energy sector, guided by two central questions: how AI optimizes emissions trading for energy-intensive industries, and how it influences investment and innovation. Drawing from market-based regulation theory and insights from institutional and digital economics, the analysis suggests that AI can help resolve key inefficiencies in emissions monitoring, compliance, and policy responsiveness.

Rather than replacing existing instruments, AI serves as a technological complement that enhances the informational and administrative dimensions of carbon pricing. By improving data accuracy, reducing transaction costs, and enabling adaptive policy adjustments, AI can help align Canada's carbon markets more closely with theoretical expectations. This includes delivering more credible price signals and stronger incentives for low-carbon investment, especially in high-emission sectors.

At the same time, institutional barriers remain. Fragmented data systems, jurisdictional inconsistencies, and limited regulatory capacity restrict AI's potential. Without coordinated investment in digital infrastructure and regulatory reform, the benefits of AI may not materialize. Federal leadership and targeted incentives for private-sector adoption will be essential to support broader integration.

This study is theoretical and does not offer empirical validation. Future research should explore case-based or quantitative analysis of AI in carbon pricing, particularly in provinces piloting innovative tools. Comparative studies may also help identify the institutional conditions necessary for effective deployment.

Ultimately, as Canada moves toward its net-zero targets, the critical question is whether institutions are ready to adopt and govern AI at scale. If deployed strategically, artificial intelligence can transform carbon pricing from a static tool into a dynamic platform, one capable of supporting more credible, responsive, and forward-looking climate policy.

References

Babacan, Ozgur, Peter de Heer, and John Deane. 2020. "Artificial Intelligence Applications in Carbon Pricing and Climate Finance." *Nature Climate Change*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0874-8

Canadian Institute for Climate Choices. 2021. *Charting a Course to Net-Zero: Emissions Reduction Potential Across Sectors in Canada*. Ottawa: Canadian Climate Institute. https://climatechoices.ca/reports/charting-a-course-to-net-zero/

Chattopadhyay, Debabrata, Morgan Bazilian, and Peter Lilienthal. 2021. "Artificial Intelligence and the Energy Transition: AI for Policymakers and Investors." *Energy Policy* 158: 112558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112558

Environment and Climate Change Canada. 2022. *National Inventory Report 1990–2020: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada*. Gatineau, QC: Government of Canada. https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/climate-change/greenhouse-gas-emissions.html

Government of Canada. 2018. *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, S.C. 2018, c. 12, s. 186*. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/G-11.55/

Klenert, David, Franziska Funke, Lukas Mattauch, and Brian O'Callaghan. 2020. "Five Lessons from COVID-19 for Advancing Climate Change Mitigation." *Environmental and Resource Economics* 76 (4): 751–778. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-020-00453-w

World Bank. 2022. *Carbon Pricing Dashboard*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group. https://carbonpricingdashboard.worldbank.org/