Programming in Go

Matt Holiday Christmas 2020




Methods and Interfaces

Why have methods?

An **interface** specifies *abstract* behavior in terms of **methods**

```
type Stringer interface { // in "fmt"
    String() string
}
```

Concrete types offer methods that satisfy the interface



Methods are type-bound functions

A **method** is a special type of function (syntax from Oberon-2)

It has a **receiver** parameter *before* the function name parameter

```
type IntSlice []int

func (is IntSlice) String() string {
    strs []string

    for _, v := range is {
        strs = append(strs, strconv.Itoa(v))
    }

    return "[" + strings.Join(strs, ";") + "]"
}
```

Why have methods?

Only methods may be used to satisfy an interface

```
func main() {
    var v IntSlice = []int{1, 2, 3}
    var s fmt.Stringer = v

    for i, x := range v {
        fmt.Printf("%d: %d\n", i, x)
    }

    fmt.Printf("%T %[1]v\n", s)
    fmt.Printf("%T %[1]v\n", v) // Uses String() method (if available)
}
```

An IntSlice value "is a" fmt. Stringer because it implements the String() method

Why interfaces?

Without interfaces, we'd have to write (many) functions for (many) concrete types, possibly coupled to them

```
func OutputToFile(f *File, . . .) { . . . }
func OutputToBuffer(b *Buffer, . . .) { . . . }
func OutputToSocket(s *Socket, . . .) { . . . }
```

Better — we want to define our function in terms of abstract behavior

```
type Writer interface {
    Write([]byte) (int, error)
}
func OutputTo(w io.Writer, . . . ) { . . . }
```

Why interfaces?

An interface specifies required behavior as a **method set**

Any type that implements that method set satisfies the interface:

```
type Stringer interface { // in "fmt"
    String() string
}

func (is IntSlice) String() string {
    . . .
}
```

This is known as *structural* typing ("duck" typing)

No type will declare itself to implement ReadWriter explicitly

Not just structs

A method may be defined on any **user-declared** (named) type*

That means methods can't be declared on int, but

The same method name may be bound to different types

^{*} Some rules and restrictions apply, see package insert for details

Receivers

A method may take a *pointer* or *value* receiver, but not both

```
type Point struct {
    X, Y float64
func (p Point) Offset(x, y float64) Point {
    return Point{p.x+x, p.y+y}
func (p *Point) Move(x, y float64) {
    p.x += x
   p.y += v
```

Taking a pointer allows the method to change the receiver (original object)

Interface variables

A variable of interface type can refer to any object that satisfies it

Here w and r are references ultimately to files

But it could be a File and a bytes.Buffer source; it wouldn't care — all it needs is the specific behaviors (write & read)

Interface example

```
type ByteCounter int
func (b *ByteCounter) Write(p []byte) (int, error) {
    *b += ByteCounter(len(p)) // conversion required
    return len(p), nil
var c ByteCounter
f. _ := os.Open("input.txt")
n, \underline{\ } := io.Copy(\&c, f) // &c required
fmt.Println(n == int64(c)) // true
```

Lots of types are Writers and can be written/copied to; see also Francesc Campoy Interfaces in Go (2019)

Interfaces and substitution

All the methods must be present to satisfy the interface

```
var w io.Writer
var rwc io.ReadWriteCloser
w = os. Stdout
             // OK: *os.File has Write method
w = new(bytes.Buffer) // OK: *bytes.Buffer has Write method
w = time.Second  // ERROR: no Write method
rwc = os.Stdout // OK: *os.File has all 3 methods
rwc = new(bytes.Buffer) // ERROR: no Close method
                      // OK: io.ReadWriteCloser has Write
w = rwc
                      // ERROR: no Close method
rwc = w
```

Which is why it pays to keep interfaces small

Interface satisfiability

The **receiver** must be of the right type (pointer or value)

```
type IntSet struct { /* ... */ }
func (*IntSet) String() string

var _ = IntSet{}.String() // ERROR: String needs *IntSet (1-value)

var s IntSet
var _ = s.String() // OK: s is a variable; &s used automatically

var _ fmt.Stringer = &s // OK
var _ fmt.Stringer = s // ERROR: no String method
```

We'll come back and talk about pointer vs value receivers in more detail

Interface composition

io.ReadWriter is actually defined by Go as two interfaces

```
type Reader interface {
    Read(p []byte) (n int, err error)
type Writer interface {
    Write(p []byte) (n int, err error)
type ReadWriter interface {
    Reader
    Writer
```

Small interfaces with **composition** where needed are more flexible

Interface declarations

All methods for a given type must be declared in the same package where the type is declared

This allows a package importing the type to know all the methods at compile time

But we can always extend the type in a new package through embedding:

Interfaces in practice

- 1. Let **consumers** define interfaces (what *minimal* behavior do they require?)
- 2. Keep interface declarations small ("The bigger the interface, the weaker the abstraction")
- 3. Compose one-method interfaces into larger interfaces (if needed)
- 4. Avoid coupling interfaces to particular types/implementations
- 5. Accept interfaces, return concrete types (if possible *)
- 6. Re-use standard interfaces wherever possible

^{*} Returning error is a good example of an exception to this rule

```
package main
import ("fmt": "math")
type Distancer interface {
    Distance() float64
type Point struct {
   X. Y float64
type Line struct {
    Beain, End Point
func (1 Line) Distance() float64 {
    return math.Hypot(1.End.X-1.Begin.X, 1.End.Y-1.Begin.Y)
```

```
type Path []Point
func (path Path) Distance() (sum float64) {
    for i := 1: i < len(path): i++ {
        sum += Line{path[i-1], path[i]}.Distance()
    return sum
func PrintDistance(d Distancer) {
    fmt.Println(d.Distance())
func main() {
    side := Line{Point{1, 2}, Point{4, 6}}
    perimeter := Path\{1, 1\}, \{5, 1\}, \{5, 4\}, \{1, 1\}\}
    PrintDistance(side) // 5
    PrintDistance(perimeter) // 12
```

```
// intentionally wrong; needs a pointer receiver
func (1 Line) ScaleBy(f float64) {
    1.End.X += (f-1)*(1.End.X-1.Begin.X)
    1.End.Y += (f-1)*(1.End.Y-1.Begin.Y)
func main() {
    line := Line{Point{1, 2}, Point{4, 6}}
    fmt.Println(line.ScaleBy(2).Distance())
    // and this won't even compile
   // fmt.Println(Line{Point{1, 2}, Point{4, 6}}.ScaleBy(2).Distance())
```

```
// and now something completely different!
func (1 Line) ScaleBy(f float64) Line {
    newX := 1.End.X + (f-1)*(1.End.X-1.Begin.X)
   newY := 1.End.Y + (f-1)*(1.End.Y-1.Begin.Y)
    return Line{1.Begin, Point{newX, newY}}
func main() {
    line := Line{Point{1, 2}, Point{4, 6}}.ScaleBy(2)
    fmt.Println(line.Distance())
```

```
import ("fmt": "image/color": "math")
type Point struct{
   X. Y float64
func (p Point) Distance(q Point) float64 {
    return math.Hypot(q.X-p.X, q.Y-p.Y)
type ColoredPoint struct {
    Point
    Color color RGBA
func main() {
    p, q := Point{1, 1}, ColoredPoint{Point{5, 4}, color.RGBA{255, 0, 0, 255}}
    a.Distance(p)
    p.Distance(q.Point) // OK; but p.Distance(q) is NOT ALLOWED
                                                                                19
```

```
// we could do this, but it overloads Distance() // oops
func (p Point) Distance(g ColoredPoint) float64 {
    return p.Distance(q.Point)
func main() {
    p, q := Point\{1, 1\}, ColoredPoint\{Point\{5, 4\}, color.RGBA\{255, 0, 0, 255\}\}
   q.Distance(p) // OK; uses new method
    q.Distance(q.Point) // OK
```