



## **ENSE 405**

# **Activity #4: An emerging picture**

Name: SAMUEL IREGBU

Community (UN SD goal): GOAL 3: GOOD HEALTH & WELL-BEING

Date: 7 - 02 - 2021

#### Instructions:

Using your researched information from activities 1-3, fill out the flowing comparing the current state of the art with what you think new (software) innovations could bring to the community

#### **Covering the orientations**

Compare the left-hand column of the document "Technology configuration inventory" table with the right-hand column of the document "Community characteristics & orientation" table. What do you notice about the match (or mismatch) between your dominant community orientations and the current configuration of tools?

How well does the technology
inventory cover the
orientations? What themes
emerged from both the
community orientations and
the technology configuration
from your colleagues' notes

The technology inventory has done an okay job in creating platforms that matches up to a certain degree in comparison to the community orientation. It just does not cater to people with identified health conditions. That is the major difference and that is what is been left out.

	Are	you	a	lmost	the	re?
--	-----	-----	---	-------	-----	-----

 $\square$  Are there big gaps?

I would not like to think I am almost there, and at the same time I do not believe there are big gaps to be covered.

What is the range of skills? If their interests and/or skills are diverse, could it cause conflict or distraction?

The range of skills vary from tech-savvy people to amateurs, in the community. The interest also varies because of the difference in the level of technical know-how, and this has led to distraction from what is really sustainable and important; which is to help the community move forward.

### **Achieving integration**

## Look at all the pieces of your configuration

What level of integration and
interoperability has been
achieved?

There are currently diet plans, but the level of integrations stops at just maintaining good health for people already healthy or trying to be healthy. It does not go beyond that, to the extent of dealing with health conditions. Only so much has been achieved, in terms of sustainability in technology development.

#### Where are there big gaps

The gaps are present between maintaining good health for people without health conditions and people already battling health conditions, who also need these plans for speedy recovery. The big gap is also between trying to make profit and trying to move the community forward.





Balancing the polarities (Current state)					
How is the configuration balanced with respect to each polarity?					
Synchronous >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	»>>>>>>	<><<<<< AsynchronousAsynchronous tools?			
Participation >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	·>>>>>	<<<<<<< <b>Reification</b> Reification tools?			
Group >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		<<<<<<<< r/> / / / / /			
How well does this balance fit your community?					
Solution seeking					
In the new configuration, do you want your choice of tools to affect the polarities of your community in ways that differ from the current configuration? Which way?					
Synchronous >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	·>>>>>>>>>	<>>>> Asynchronous New asynchronous tools?			
Participation >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	·>>>>>>>>>	<><<<<<<<< ReificationNew reification tools?			
Group >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	>>>>>>>>	<><<<<<<< r/>IndividualNew individual tools?			

### **MVP** notes

I am currently working on simplifying the project, so as not to overburden myself. This involves me choosing to have a direct focus on who the major player is in the project for the time duration and currently it will be the experts. This is because their section can be done realistically within the time given for this project. As I progress to the design stage, it will be easier to envision a more defined MVP.