

**Triplos, Part 2A, Paper 3**  
**Supervision 4**

**Question 1**

Consider the following bivariate linear regression

$$y = \alpha + T\beta + u \tag{1}$$

where  $T$  is a binary treatment regressor.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are unknown parameters, and  $u$  is an error term

- a. Describe in two sentences an empirical, real-life example where such an equation might arise.
- b. Why might  $u$  be heteroskedastic in your example?
- c. Why might  $T$  be endogenous in your example?
- d. Suppose a single instrument  $z$  is available. Show that the population coefficient  $\beta$  satisfies

$$\beta = Cov(z, y) / Cov(z, T) \tag{2}$$

where  $Cov(z, y)$  and  $Cov(z, T)$ , denote, respectively, the population covariance between  $z$  and  $y$ , and  $z$  and  $T$ . How can you use this information to obtain a consistent estimate of  $\beta$ ?

- e. Can you give an example of an instrument in your example? Argue why it might be a sensible IV.

**Question 2**

Consider the following wage equation that explicitly recognises that ability affects  $\log(wage)$

$$\log(wage) = \alpha + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 ability + u.$$

The above model shows explicitly that we would like to hold ability fixed when measuring the returns to education. Assuming that the primary interest is in obtaining a reliable estimate of the slope parameters  $\beta_1$ , and that there is no direct measurement for ability, explain how you would do this using a method based upon a proxy variable and an IV estimator. In doing so evaluate the following statement:

*whilst IQ is a good candidate as a proxy variable for ability, it is not a good instrumental variable for educ.*

### Question 3

#### Part B 2006 Exam Question

Consider the following linear model of log wages ( $w$ ) explained using years of schooling ( $S$ ), years of experience and its square ( $E$ ,  $E^2$ ), and 3 dummy variables indicating whether the individual was black (B), lived in the south (Sth), and lived in a metropolitan area (Sm).

$$w_i = \alpha + \beta_1 S_i + \beta_2 E_i + \beta_3 E_i^2 + \beta_4 B_i + \beta_5 Sth_i + \beta_6 Sm_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (3)$$

$\varepsilon_i$  is an unobserved error term, and  $i$  indexes individuals.

A reduced form model for schooling is written as

$$S_i = \delta + \mathbf{z}_i' \boldsymbol{\pi} + v_i, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{z}_i$  is a  $L \times 1$  vector including the instrument (lived near college) and all the exogenous variables in (3),  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  is a  $L \times 1$  vector of unknown parameters,  $\delta$  is an unknown scalar parameter, and  $v_i$  is an error term.

- a) Why might  $Cov(v_i, \varepsilon_i)$  be non-zero?
- b) Assuming that  $S_i$  is endogeneous how might you use the reduced form equation in conjunction with (3) to identify the parameter  $\beta_1$ ?
- c) In Tables 1.1 and 1.2 we report results from estimating two models based on (3). Data is a sample of 3010 men taken from the US National Longitudinal Survey of Young Men; the year is 1976. Provide a careful comparison of the results based on the OLS and IV estimators. Discuss the choice of instruments.

---

---

**Table 1.1 Wage Equation Estimated by OLS**Dependent:  $\log(\text{wage})$ 

Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	t-ratio
constant	4.734	0.068	70.02
S	0.074	0.004	21.11
E	0.084	0.007	12.58
E <sup>2</sup>	-0.002	0.000	-7.05
B	-0.189	0.018	-10.76
Sm	0.181	0.016	10.37
Sth	-0.125	0.015	-8.26

$$R^2 = 0.291, F=204.93$$

---

---

**Table 1.2 Wage Equation Estimated by IV**Dependent Variable:  $\log(\text{wage})$ 

Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	t-ratio
constant	4.066	0.609	6.68
S	0.133	0.051	2.58
E	0.056	0.026	2.15
E <sup>2</sup>	-0.008	0.001	-0.06
B	-0.103	0.077	-1.33
Sm	0.108	0.005	2.17
Sth	-0.098	0.028	-3.41

Instrument: lived near college

used for: S

 $R^2$  for reduced form for S: 0.119

---

---