

**Suggested Supervision: New Keynesian Economics**

Vasco M. Carvalho

Lent 2019

**Problem**

Consider the following macroeconomic model of imperfect competition. Aggregate demand  $Y$  is given by  $Y = M/P$ , where  $M$  is the money supply and  $P$  the aggregate price level. Each firm  $i$  faces the following downward sloping demand curve:

$$Q_i^D = Y \left( \frac{P_i}{P} \right)^{-2},$$

where  $P_i$  is the price charged by firm  $i$ . Firm  $i$  produces  $Q_i$  unit of goods using  $L_i$  units of labour only, and it is assumed that all firms behave identically. Labour supply is assumed to be a simple increasing function of the real wage:

$$L^S = \frac{W}{P}$$

1. Compute the optimal real price charged by any firm  $i$ ,  $P_i/P$ , as a function of the real wage  $W/P$ , and comment briefly on the resulting pricing policy.
2. Using the equilibrium condition on the market for goods, find the equilibrium real wage  $W/P$  as a function of  $M$  and  $P$ . Use this relation to compute the optimal pricing policy of a typical firm,  $P_i^*$ , as a function of  $M$ .
3. From now onwards, natural logarithms, denoted by lower case letters, should be used. Compute  $p^*$  (the aggregate price level under flexible prices),  $y^*$  (output under flexible prices), and comment briefly on their properties.
4. Suppose now that, following a monetary shock, a share  $1 - \lambda$  of firms are prevented from changing their price and keep them at some pre-determined price level  $\bar{p}$ . The price index after the monetary shock is therefore  $p = \lambda p^* + (1 - \lambda) \bar{p}$ . Express  $p$  and  $y$  as functions of  $m$ , and use these relations to compute the proportional change in  $P$  and  $Y$  following a 1% increase in  $M$ . Check that your answer in 3. is a limiting case of that in 4.

## Essay question

“To what extent are the assumptions of imperfect competition and price rigidities substitutes or complements in generating New Keynesian results?” [Tripos 2004]

## Reading list

- DK Backus and P.J. Kehoe (1992), “International evidence on the historical properties of business cycles”, *American Economic Review* 82(4), September, pp. 864-888.
- L. Ball and D. Romer (1990), “Real rigidities and the non-neutrality of money”, *Review of Economic Studies* 57, pp. 183-203.
- K. Blackburn and M.O. Ravn (1992), “Business cycles in the United Kingdom: Facts and fictions”, *Economica* 59, pp. 383-401.
- N.G. Mankiw (1985), “Small menu costs and large business cycle: a macroeconomic model of monopoly”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 100, May, pp. 529-539.
- N.G. Mankiw (1988), “Imperfect competition and the Keynesian cross”, *Economics Letters* 28, pp. 7-14.
- D. Romer (2001), *Advanced Macroeconomics*, McGrawHill (ch. 6).
- D. Romer (1993), “The New-Keynesian synthesis”, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7, Winter, pp. 5-22.