Deep Learning 1

2025-2026 – Pascal Mettes

Lecture 7

Graph Deep Learning

Previous lecture

Lecture	Title
1	Intro and history of deep learning
3	Deep learning optimization I
5	Convolutional deep learning
7	Graph deep learning
9	Multi-modal deep learning
11	What doesn't work in deep learning
13	Q&A

Lecture	Title
2	AutoDiff
4	Deep learning optimization II
6	Attention-based deep learning
8	From supervised to unsupervised deep learning
10	Generative deep learning
12	Non-Euclidean deep learning
14	Deep learning for videos

I have to leave a 10:10 on the dot to be able to make it to a PhD committee at 11:00 in the city center.

This lecture

Graphs

Graph convolutions

Graph attention

Graph applications

Graphs, more common than you think









Many structures are special cases of graphs



Graphs as geometry











What are graph networks?

The bigger picture:



Notation: $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{X})$

- Adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$
- Feature matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$

Main idea: Pass messages between pairs of nodes & agglomerate

Graph networks

Input: Feature matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times E}$, preprocessed adjacency matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$



$$\mathbf{H}^{(l+1)} = \sigma\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{H}^{(l)}\mathbf{W}^{(l)}\right)$$

Node classification:

$\mathrm{softmax}(\mathbf{z_n})$

e.g. Kipf & Welling (ICLR 2017)

Graph classification:

$\mathrm{softmax}(\sum_n \mathbf{z_n})$

e.g. Duvenaud et al. (NIPS 2015)

Link prediction:

$p(A_{ij}) = \sigma(\mathbf{z_i^T z_j})$

Kipf & Welling (NIPS BDL 2016)

"Graph Auto-Encoders"

1) Graph classification

Make a prediction over the entire graph.

Akin to assigning a label to an entire image.



2) Node classification

Make a prediction for each individual node.

Akin to segmentation for images.

3) Link prediction

Make a prediction for each edge between two nodes.



RNA-Disease association network

4) Graph generation

Similar in spirit to image/text generation, topic of next week.

Example molecule



Generated molecule




a)
Graph classification
Graph neural network
Combine
Classify
Class 1
Class 2
Class 3
b)
Node classification
Graph neural network
Classify node from node embedding
c)
Edge prediction
Graph neural network
Predict edge presence from adjacent embeddings

Graphs can be dynamic

Graphs have fixed structures.

but the feature are very variable, and also this is an assumption, the graph structure could change, nodes and edges could appear and disappear



But many are subject to change.



In practice, this change can even be gradual and continuous.

Regular structures and graphs

Regular structures are a subset of graphs. I.e., images are grid graphs.

- Convolution + pooling
- Local neighborhood: fixed window
- Constant number of neighbors
- With fixed ordering
- Translation equivariance



- Message passing + coarsening
- Local neighborhood: 1-hop
- Different number of neighbors
- No ordering of neighbors
- Local permutation equivariance

We have to simplify convolution to make it works on graphs

Labelled graph	Degree matrix	Adjacency matrix	Laplacian matrix
	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition of a graph

(in deep learning)

Directed graphs

Vertices $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, also called “nodes”

Edges $\mathcal{E} = \{(i, j) : i, j \in \mathcal{V}\} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ (directed)



Undirected graphs

Vertices $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \dots, n\}$

Edges $\mathcal{E} = \{(i, j) : i, j \in \mathcal{V}\} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ (directed)

Edges $\mathcal{E} = \{\{i, j\} : i, j \in \mathcal{V}\} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ (undirected)



Graph neighborhood

The neighborhood of a node consists of all nodes directly connected to it

$$\mathcal{N}(i) = \{j : (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

The **degree** of a node is the number of neighbors: $d_i = |\mathcal{N}(i)|$

The diagonal matrix D contains all degrees per node



Attributes

Node features $\boldsymbol{x}$: $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, X = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)$

Edge features $\boldsymbol{e}_{ij}$: $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d'}$



Adjacency matrix

An $n \times n$ matrix A, for n nodes

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } (i,j) \in \mathcal{E} \\ 0 \text{ if } (i,j) \notin \mathcal{E} \end{cases}$$

$(A^z)_{ij}$: number of paths that go from i to j in z steps





Adjacency matrix for undirected graphs

The adjacency matrix is symmetric for undirected graphs.





Weighted adjacency matrix

When the edges have weights, so does the adjacency matrix.





Final graph input representation









Back to graph networks

We can do a lot of processing on this data structure.

But the pre-defined features are raw inputs.

Graph networks do 1 thing: transform the feature vector per node over layers.

The bigger picture:

every layer we use the adjency matrix to help changing the representation of the nodes (feature matrix)



Notation: $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{X})$

- Adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$
- Feature matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$

In the end we obtain and NxN output feature matrix

Three perspectives to graph networks



Three “flavours” of GNNs, left-to-right: convolutional, attentional, and general nonlinear message passing flavours. All are forms of message passing. Figure adapted from P. Veličković.

Graph layer as a convolution layer

Single CNN layer with 3x3 filter:



(Animation by Vincent Dumoulin)



Update for a single pixel:

- Transform messages individually $\mathbf{W}_i\mathbf{h}_i$
- Add everything up $\sum_i \mathbf{W}_i\mathbf{h}_i$

$\mathbf{h}_i \in \mathbb{R}^F$ are (hidden layer) activations of a pixel/node

Full update:

$$\mathbf{h}_4^{(l+1)} = \sigma\left(\mathbf{W}_0^{(l)}\mathbf{h}_0^{(l)} + \mathbf{W}_1^{(l)}\mathbf{h}_1^{(l)} + \cdots + \mathbf{W}_8^{(l)}\mathbf{h}_8^{(l)}\right)$$

Which assumptions from images are no longer valid?

Number of neighbors per node no longer fixed.

No more ordering between neighbours.

Extending convolutions to graphs



Convolution in CNNs

Convolutions in CNNs are inherently localized. Neighbours participating in the convolution at the center pixel are highlighted in gray.



Localized Convolution in GNNs

GNNs can perform localized convolutions mimicking CNNs. Hover over a node to see its immediate neighbourhood highlighted on the left. The structure of this neighbourhood changes from node to node.

Graph convolution layer

Consider this undirected graph:

Calculate update for node in red:



Update rule: $$\mathbf{h}_i^{(l+1)} = \sigma\left(\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}\mathbf{W}_0^{(l)} + \sum_{j\in\mathcal{N}_i}\frac{1}{c_{ij}}\mathbf{h}_j^{(l)}\mathbf{W}_1^{(l)}\right)$$

Scalability: subsample messages [Hamilton et al., NIPS 2017]

Desirable properties:

- Weight sharing over all locations
- Invariance to permutations
- Linear complexity O(E)
- Applicable both in transductive and inductive settings

Limitations:

- Requires gating mechanism / residual connections for depth
- Only indirect support for edge features

$\mathcal{N}_i$: neighbor indices c_{ij} : norm. constant (fixed/trainable)

for one layer we define one degree of separation.

adding layer we increase the degree of separationi.e how much information one onde capture from distant nodes

Stacking graph convolution layers

Each layer aggregates information from their direct neighbors.

At the end of each layer, we add a non-linearity such as a ReLU.

We can increase complexity and receptive field simply by stacking multiple layers.

Consider this undirected graph:



Calculate update for node in red:



Update rule:

$$\mathbf{h}_i^{(l+1)} = \sigma\left(\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}\mathbf{W}_0^{(l)} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \frac{1}{c_{ij}} \mathbf{h}_j^{(l)}\mathbf{W}_1^{(l)}\right)$$

https://mbernste.github.io/posts/gcn/

Graph convolution layer in matrix form

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A}) := \sigma\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1/2}(\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{D}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{W}\right)$$

$\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:= The adjacency matrix
$\boldsymbol{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:= The identity matrix
$\boldsymbol{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:= The degree matrix of $\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I}$
$\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$:= The input data (i.e., the per-node feature vectors)
$\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times w}$:= The layer's weights
$\sigma(.)$:= The activation function (e.g., ReLU)

Kipf and Welling (2017)

Let’s break it down



Let’s break it down

Add ones to diagonal, needed because each node should pass its own vector through.

the diagonal of adjacency matrix has all zero, but we want to use the informations about self nodes so we add a diagonal of 1 with ones



Let’s break it down

This step essentially normalizes the adjaceny matrix. I will show how in a few slides.

The matrix D is a diagonal matrix with the degree of the nodes on the diagonal. nodes with huge grades obtain a higher sum from neighbours, this create an imbalance in activations between nodes that needs to be normalized



Let's break it down

Just a standard linear layer and a non-linearity.

Cant see the convolution here



Let's break it down

Just a standard linear layer and a non-linearity.



Rewriting into 2 steps

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}} := \boldsymbol{D}^{-1/2}(\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{D}^{-1/2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{D} := \begin{bmatrix} d_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_{2,2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_{3,3} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & d_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{i,i}d_{j,j}}}, & \text{if there is an edge between node } i \text{ and } j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A}) := \sigma\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{W}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{-1/2} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{1,1}}} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{2,2}}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{3,3}}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{n,n}}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(X, A) := \sigma\left(\tilde{A}XW\right)$$

Left side of the equation



$$\bar{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{i,j} x_j$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \text{Neigh}(i)} \tilde{a}_{i,j} x_j$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \text{Neigh}(i)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{i,i} d_{j,j}}} x_j$$

For each feature i'm summing all the values of that specifico feature from the nodes in the neighborood

$$f(X, A) := \sigma\left(\tilde{A}XW\right)$$

Right side of the equation

the core concept is doing an MLP and summing the neighobours

It can be easier to think as a linear transformations of the whole feature and then apply to it the graph structure captured by A

but it is more efficient to do AX first because A may be sparse



Why add a normalization step?

Do we even need it? Let's see what happens without it:

$$\hat{A} := A + I$$

Normalization dropped, only self-loop retrained

$$f_{\text{unnormalized}}(X, A) := \sigma(\hat{A}XW)$$

Layer update remains the same

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{i,j} x_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{I}(j \in \text{Neigh}(i)) x_j \\ &= \sum_{j \in \text{Neigh}(i)} x_j\end{aligned}$$

Problem! More neighbors = bigger sum.
Huge bias when training graph networks.

Why not simply divide by the node degree?

this is a totally viable way to implement a graph neural network. here I have a uniform weighting

$$D^{-1}\hat{A}$$



$$D^{-1/2}\hat{A}D^{-1/2}$$



but this one, use the joint degree between edges. It makes something more unique more important.
This implementation is incorporating some second order information

Visualizing node representations

Parameters initialized randomly



2-dim output per node



Alternative: graph layer as attention

Similar but including attention as *aggregation*: $y_i = h\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} a_{ij} \boldsymbol{z_j}\right)$

Using self-attention:

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \exp(e_{ij})},$$

where e_{ij} are the self-attention weights (like query == key)

$$e_{ij} = \text{LeakyRELU}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{x}_j \boldsymbol{W}\right] \cdot u\right)$$

u is a weight vector.

This bracket notations stands for concatenation

Flexibility in Learning Relationships: Concatenation allows the attention mechanism to learn asymmetric relationships between nodes. WhenWhen you concatenate [x_jW, x_iW]the network can learn that the importance of node j to node i might be different from the importance of i to jeven in undirected graphs.

The four steps of a graph attention layer



$$z_i^{(l)} = W^{(l)} h_i^{(l)}, \tag{1}$$

$$e_{ij}^{(l)} = \text{LeakyReLU}(\vec{a}^{(l)^T} (z_i^{(l)} || z_j^{(l)})), \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha_{ij}^{(l)} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij}^{(l)})}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \exp(e_{ik}^{(l)})}, \tag{3}$$

$$h_i^{(l+1)} = \sigma\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \alpha_{ij}^{(l)} z_j^{(l)} \right), \tag{4}$$

Connecting graphs, convolutions, and transformers

Transformers operate on a complete graph (adjacency matrix with all 1's).

With attention-based GCN, we recover the Transformer.

equivariancy:

Architecture	**Domain Ω**	**Symmetry group $\mathfrak{G}$**
CNN	Grid	Translation
Spherical CNN	Sphere / SO(3)	Rotation SO(3)
Intrinsic / Mesh CNN	Manifold	Isometry $\mathrm{Iso}(\Omega)$ / Gauge symmetry SO(2)
GNN	Graph	Permutation Σ_n
Deep Sets	Set	Permutation Σ_n
Transformer	Complete Graph	Permutation Σ_n
LSTM	1D Grid	Time warping

Optimizing graph networks

after K layers I have only new node feature, the structure of the graphs remain the same

Input: Feature matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times E}$, preprocessed adjacency matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$



$$\mathbf{H}^{(l+1)} = \sigma\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{H}^{(l)}\mathbf{W}^{(l)}\right)$$

Node classification:

$\mathrm{softmax}(\mathbf{z_n})$

e.g. Kipf & Welling (ICLR 2017)

Graph classification:

$\mathrm{softmax}(\sum_n \mathbf{z_n})$

e.g. Duvenaud et al. (NIPS 2015)

Link prediction:

$p(A_{ij}) = \sigma(\mathbf{z_i^T z_j})$

Kipf & Welling (NIPS BDL 2016)

"Graph Auto-Encoders"

Pooling in graph networks

Specifically for graph classification, pooling is an optional operators.

Pool nodes together to save compute, requires updating the adjacency matrix.



https://jonathan-hui.medium.com/applications-of-graph-neural-networks-gnn-d487fd5ed17d

Applications of graph networks

Drug discovery





Modeling the spread of deceases





Social networks





Recommendation



Traffic forecasting





The model architecture for determining optimal routes and their travel time.

Scene graph generation of visual data





Point cloud classification





Object interactions



Text classification



Particle physics



Next lecture

Lecture	Title
1	Intro and history of deep learning
3	Deep learning optimization I
5	Convolutional deep learning
7	Graph deep learning
9	Multi-modal deep learning
11	What doesn't work in deep learning
13	Q&A

Lecture	Title
2	AutoDiff
4	Deep learning optimization II
6	Attention-based deep learning
8	From supervised to unsupervised deep learning
10	Generative deep learning
12	Non-Euclidean deep learning
14	Deep learning for videos

Learning and reflection

Understanding Deep Learning: Chapter 13

Thank you!