

Assignment9

Samundra karki

May 2019

1(a)

In the sequence $\langle 3, 10, 2, 4 \rangle$ we can apply the $h_1(k) = k \bmod 3$ and $h_2(k) = 7k \bmod 8$. So, if key = 3 we have $h_1(k) = 3$ and $h_2(k) = 5$.

Here, since there is no collision and current index 3 is available so, the slot 3 is given to key = 3.

If key = 10, we have $h_1(k) = 0$ and $h_2(k) = 6$.

Here, since there is no collision and current index 0 is available so, the slot 0 is given to the key = 10.

If key = 2, we have $h_1(k) = 2$ and $h_2(k) = 6$.

Here, since there is still no collision and current index 2 is available so, the slot 2 is given to the key = 2.

If key = 4, we have $h_1(k) = 4$ and $h_2(k) = 4$.

Here, since position 4 is still available so the slot 4 is given to the key = 4.

Position in order we get: 3, 0, 2, 4.

1(b)

You can find the HashTable.java file in this zip file. I have used division method in hashing.

Why division method?

The goal of hash function is to distribute the keys as uniformly as possible. Here number of the element in array is 200 and nearest prime number to $200/3$ is 67. So $n = 200$ and $m = 67$.

This increases the uniformity of the hash table created by this hash function.

2(a)

Activity-selection problem : Choosing the problems such that the person can only start the job if its next activity starting time is greater than or equal to the finishing time of its current activity.

This statement will create a flaw in Greedy Algorithm.

Let's take an example that would make us clear about the arising problem.

$a_1 = 1 - 10.$

$a_2 = 9 - 11.$

$a_2 = 11 - 15.$

Here, we are not able to choose the activity with minimum time span because this occurs in the activity two. Due to the choice of the a_1 as our initial optimal solution, we could not choose a_2 due to the fact that $9 \nmid 10$.

2(b)

Gist of the greedy algorithm that selectes activity with latest starting time.

Taking a example :

$a_1 = 1 - 5.$

$a_2 = 9 - 15.$

$a_3 = 5 - 11.$

$a_4 = 20 - 23.$

$a_5 = 4 - 8.$

$a_6 = 3 - 19.$

Here, we are not allowed to sort the problem which would cost us minimum of $O(n \lg n)$ time complexity by comparison sort. First we should find the latest starting point and make it null and then search next greatest. If the second greatest is disjoint with the current greatest then this would be added to optimal solution otherwise not.

Activity class struture:

```
class Activity{
startingTime;
finsihingTime;
}
```

findMax(Activity)

tempMax = Activity[1].startingTime

index = 1;

for i = 2 to Activity.size **do**

if Activity[i].startingTime > tempMax **then**

 index = i;

end if

end for

return index

isDisjoint(staringTime, finishingTime)

return finshingTime <= startingTime

ActivitySelection(Activity)

create new array for result R

```

for i = 1 to Activity.size do
    maxIndex = findMax(Activity)
    if (R is empty) then
        add Activity[maxIndex] to R
        make the current index of the null.
    end if
    if isDisjoint(Activity[maxIndex].finishingTime, R[R.size].startingTime) then

        add the current index i,e Activity[maxIndex] to the R
        make the current index of the null.
    end if
end for
return R

```

Here **isDisjoint** returns the boolean value if the element present in result array's startingTime is greater than the current maximum or latest staring Activity index passed. Here we make current max to null to know another maxElement when called again.