# Derivation and analysis of kidney HCE

# Niels Jongs, Samvel B. Gasparyan

# 27 October, 2025

# Contents

Inp Tot Der Kic Wir Ack Ap		2 4 6 7 7 8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ADSL dataset structure ADET dataset structure Kidney HCE ADLB dataset structure Frequency of outcomes by treatment group Total GFR slope difference between treatment groups Total GFR slope by treatment groups Predicted individual GFR slope values Kidney HCE data structure Win odds and its confidence interval	3 4 4 5 6 7
f List	of Figures  The maraca plot of kidney HCE	8

#### Introduction

In this supplementary material we will explain how to derive the analysis dataset for the kidney hierarchical composite endpoint (HCE), how to analyze it using win odds (Samvel B. Gasparyan et al. 2021), and how to visualize it using *maraca* plots (Karpefors, Lindholm, and Gasparyan 2023). Individual GFR slopes are calculated from the power-of-the-mean (POM) mixed-effects model (Vonesh et al. 2019). All calculations are done using the  $\mathbf{R}$  software (R Core Team 2022).

- The win odds and its confidence interval are calculated using the hce package (Samvel B. Gasparyan 2025).
- The maraca plots are implemented in the package maraca (Martin Karpefors, Samvel B. Gasparyan, and Monika Huhn 2025).

In addition, we will be using the following packages:

- The package readx1 for reading the data (Wickham and Bryan 2023).
- The package nlme for fitting a power-of-the-mean model for GFR slopes (Pinheiro and Bates 2000).
- The package multcomp to derive the total GFR slope from the power-of-the-mean model (Hothorn, Bretz, and Westfall 2008).
- The package dplyr for data manipulation (Wickham et al. 2023).
- The package ggplot2 for customizing the maraca plots (Wickham 2016).

```
library(readxl)
library(ggplot2)
library(nlme)
library(multcomp)
library(dplyr)

library(meadxl)

packageVersion("hce")

## [1] '0.8.5'

packageVersion("maraca")
```

#### Input datasets

We will use the following three input synthetic datasets that are structured according to clinical data standards for analysis datasets. The datasets are ADSL containing patient level data, ADLB containing GFR measurements for all patients, and ADET containing events of interest (death, chronic dialysis, sustained eGFR < 15, sustained eGFR declines of given threshold) and their study day of occurrence. Only one event per patient per type is kept in the ADET dataset.

```
ADSL <- read_excel("data/ADSL.xlsx")

ADET <- read_excel("data/ADET.xlsx")

ADLB <- read_excel("data/ADLB.xlsx")

head(ADSL)
```

Table 1: ADSL dataset structure

ID	TRTPN	EGFRBL	STRATAN
1	1	44	1
2	1	31	3
3	1	28	4
4	1	61	4
5	1	37	4
6	2	35	1

The dataset ADSL contains (see Table 1) the columns ID for patient ID, TRTPN, the planned treatment group, 1 for the active group, 2, for the control group. STRATAN contains randomization stratum for each patient (1-4), where a higher value means a higher risk of kidney progression.

head(ADET)

Table 2: ADET dataset structure

ID	AVAL	PARAM	PARAMCD	PARAMN	TRTPN
11	359	Sustained $>=50\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR50	5	2
11	467	Sustained $>=57\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR57	4	2
11	359	Sustained $>=40\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR40	6	2
11	841	Sustained eGFR<15 (mL/min/1.73 m2)	EGFR15	3	2
15	962	Death (adj)	DTHADJ	1	1
21	737	Sustained $>=40\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR40	6	1

The dataset ADET contains subject IDs, AVAL column specifies the study day of the event (days from randomization), PARAM specifies the type of the event, PARAMCD the coded type of the event, while PARAMN specifies the priority of the event, the numbers 1 to 6, where a higher value means a better (less severe) outcome.

ADET |> select(PARAM, PARAMCD, PARAMN) |> arrange(PARAMN) |> unique()

Table 3: Kidney HCE

PARAM	PARAMCD	PARAMN
Death (adj)	DTHADJ	1
Chronic dialysis (adj) >=90 days	DIAL90	2
Sustained eGFR<15 (mL/min/1.73 m2)	EGFR15	3
Sustained $>=57\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR57	4
Sustained $>=50\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR50	5
Sustained $>=40\%$ decline in eGFR	EGFR40	6

We need to derive the category 7 of the hierarchical composite endpoint (measurements), which is for patients not having any of the dichotomous outcomes 1-6 and who contribute to the analysis with their individual GFR slope. For this we will use the dataset ADLB of laboratory measurements.

head(ADLB)

Table 4: ADLB dataset structure

ID	TRTPN	AVAL	ADAY	AVISITN	PARAM	PARAMCD	PARAMN
1	1	40	357	7	eGFR measurements	eGFR	7
1	1	49	119	5	eGFR measurements	eGFR	7
1	1	41	238	6	eGFR measurements	eGFR	7
1	1	36	469	8	eGFR measurements	eGFR	7
1	1	40	721	10	eGFR measurements	eGFR	7
1	1	34	602	9	eGFR measurements	eGFR	7

In this dataset the column AVAL is the GFR measurement of patients done at the visit specified in the column AVISITN, while ADAY is the analysis day of the visit.

We will restrict the analysis to events and GFR measurements up to given cut-off of 3 years since randomization and we will consider the acute effect happening at the first visit, which is Day 14 (we divide by 360 to convert to years). We will derive also the coefficient for the chronic phase, which is the proportion of the length of the chronic phase (total follow-up minus the acute phase) divided by the total follow-up for annualizing the results. This will be used in deriving the total GFR slope from the two slope power-of-the-mean model.

```
CUTOFF <- 3
ACUTE <- 14/360
CHRONIC_coef <- (CUTOFF - ACUTE)/CUTOFF
```

The following code annualizes the analysis days (by dividing them by 360) and restricts the GFR measurements and dichotomous outcomes to the cut-off timepoint, and only one event per patient, their most severe event, is selected (the event with the lowest PARAMN value). The resulting summary table shows the number of patients with a given most severe event.

```
ADLB$ADAY <- ADLB$ADAY/360

ADET$AVAL <- ADET$AVAL/360

ADLB <- ADLB[ADLB$ADAY <= CUTOFF, ]

EVNT <- ADET |> filter(AVAL <= CUTOFF) |> arrange(ID, PARAMN)|> group_by(ID) |> mutate (n = row_number()) |> ungroup() |> filter(n == 1) |> select(- c("n"))

table(EVNT$PARAM, EVNT$TRTPN)
```

Table 5: Frequency of outcomes by treatment group

	Active	Control
Chronic dialysis (adj) >=90 days	17	29
Death (adj)	40	50
Sustained >=40% decline in eGFR	36	34
Sustained $>=50\%$ decline in eGFR	7	22
Sustained $>=57\%$ decline in eGFR	2	9
Sustained eGFR<15 (mL/min/1.73 m2)	16	28

## Total GFR slope difference calculation

We will merge the datasets ADLB and ADSL in order to have STRATAN and EGFRBL columns present with the GFR measurements in the same dataset. Then we will fit a *linear mixed effect model (LME)* using the function lme() in the package nlme.

The model will include only measurements up to the cut-off day, will be adjusted for baseline GFR values, and will include in the model covariates for the stratification, the treatment group (converted to a 0, 1 variable

with 1 for the active group and 0 for the control), analysis day ADAY, the derived SPLINE variable which corresponds to time since the acute phase, treatment by analysis day, and treatment by spline interaction terms, without an intercept term.

```
## Warning in optim(c(oldPars), function(lmePars) -logLik(lmeSt, lmePars), :
## method L-BFGS-B uses 'factr' (and 'pgtol') instead of 'reltol' and 'abstol'
## final value 92903.802147
## converged
```

Then, the function glht() from the package multcomp can be used to calculate the total GFR slope from a two-slope model accounting for the chronic phase through the CHRONIC\_coef coefficient.

Therefore, the total GFR slope difference between (and its 95% confidence interval) active and control group per year is retained in the variable Slope and presented in Table 6. GFR slope in the active and control groups is calculated in the variables Slope0 and Slope1 respectively (using the same model) and presented in Table 7.

Table 6: Total GFR slope difference between treatment groups

GFR Slope difference	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
1.1132	0.5888	1.6377

Table 7: Total GFR slope by treatment groups

	GFR Slope	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
Active	-3.6464	-4.0162	-3.2765
Control	-2.5332	-2.9049	-2.1614

We will use this model for predicting individual GFR slope values for all patients at the cut-off timepoint.

## Derivation of individual GFR slopes

For predicting the annualized change from baseline in GFR values for all patients at the end of follow-up, we need to create a dataset containing the necessary (the ones included in the model above) baseline covariates of all patients.

Analysis day is selected as the length of follow-up (ADAY), the SPLINE variable for the years since the acute phase will be length of follow-up minus the acute phase. Then we can predicted the GFR values at the end of follow-up using the predict() function to obtain predicted GFR values for all patients at the end of follow-up, and subtract the corresponding baseline GFR values for each patient (dividing by the length of follow-up will provide the annualized individual GFR slopes for all patients).

```
ADLB1 <- ADLB0[ADLB0$ADAY == 0, ]
ADLB1$ADAY <- CUTOFF
ADLB1$SPLINE <- CUTOFF - ACUTE
ADLB1$AVALP <- (predict(fit, newdata = ADLB1) - ADLB1$EGFRBL)/CUTOFF
Desc <- tapply(ADLB1$AVALP, ADLB1$TRT, function(x) list(mean = mean(x), sd = sd(x)))
do.call(rbind, Desc)
##
     mean
                  sd
## 0 -3.690067355 4.37486335
## 1 -2.545862177 4.328511519
ADLB1$AVALP <- round(ADLB1$AVALP, 2)
ADLB1 <- ADLB1[, c("ID", "AVALP")]
ADLB2 <- merge(ADLB1, EVNT, by = "ID", all.x = T)
ADLB2$AVAL <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$AVAL), ADLB1$AVALP, ADLB2$AVAL)
ADLB2$PARAMCD <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$PARAMCD), "eGFR", ADLB2$PARAMCD)
ADLB2$PARAM <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$PARAM), "eGFR slope", ADLB2$PARAM)
ADLB2$PARAMN <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$PARAMN), 7, ADLB2$PARAMN)
```

Note that although these individual GFR slope values are calculated for all patients, but they will be used only for those patients who did not get one of the dichotomous events described in Table 3. Then, we derive the PARAM and PARAMCD values for those patients, and the priority is set to 7, PARAMN=7. We will obtain the following dataset.

```
head(ADLB2)
```

Table 8: Predicted individual GFR slope values

ID	AVALP	AVAL	PARAM	PARAMCD	PARAMN	TRTPN
1	-3.03	-3.03	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	NA
2	1.75	1.75	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	NA
3	-1.90	-1.90	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	NA
4	-4.27	-4.27	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	NA
5	-2.29	-2.29	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	NA
6	-1.18	-1.18	${ m eGFR}$ slope	eGFR	7	NA

### Kidney HCE dataset

Lastly, we derive the dataset for the kidney HCE based on predicted individual GFR values (if the patient did not experience a dichotomous outcome during the follow-up) or the study day of the most severe dichotomous event, if the patient experienced one of the outcomes 1-6 in Table 3.

```
HCE <- ADLB2[, c("ID", "AVAL", "PARAM", "PARAMCD", "PARAMN")]
names(HCE)[names(HCE) == "AVAL"] <- "AVALO"
names(HCE)[names(HCE) == "PARAM"] <- "GROUP"</pre>
```

In the code below we derive the column GROUPN which is the prioritization of outcomes and is meant to introduce order between categories and within each category. Since within each category 1-6 the maximum value is the length of fixed follow-up (since within these categories the study day of the most severe events is used), then we can multiply the length of the follow-up with the priority number. We do this since in the next step we will be adding the study day of the events to the GROUPN values to introduce a ranking within each category. A particular care is needed for the category 7. The values in this category can be negative hence adding to the value of GROUPN we may get lower values than the values in the category 6. Hence we need to make sure that the value for GROUPN in this category is large enough.

```
M <- floor(abs(max(HCE$AVALO[HCE$PARAMCD == "eGFR"]))) + 1
CUTOFFO <- max(c(M, CUTOFF))
HCE$GROUPN <- CUTOFF*HCE$PARAMN
HCE$GROUPN[HCE$PARAMCD == "eGFR"] <- CUTOFFO*HCE$PARAMN[HCE$PARAMCD == "eGFR"]
HCE$AVAL <- HCE$AVALO + HCE$GROUPN

ADHCE <- merge(HCE, ADSL, by = "ID")
ADHCE$TRTP <- ifelse(ADHCE$TRTPN == 1, "A", "P")</pre>
head(ADHCE)
```

Table 9: Kidney HCE data structure

ID	AVAL0	GROUP	PARAMCI	PARAMNGF	ROUPN	AVAL	TRTPN	EGFRBL	STRATAN	I TRTP
1	-3.03	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	217	213.97	1	44	1	A
2	1.75	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	217	218.75	1	31	3	A
3	-1.90	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	217	215.10	1	28	4	A
4	-4.27	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	217	212.73	1	61	4	A
5	-2.29	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	217	214.71	1	37	4	A
6	-1.18	eGFR slope	eGFR	7	217	215.82	2	35	1	P

### Win odds and maraca plots

In this section we calculate the win odds and its confidence interval and plot the kidney HCE using the maraca plot (Karpefors, Lindholm, and Gasparyan 2023).

```
res <- calcWO(x = ADHCE, AVAL = "AVAL", TRTP = "TRTP", ref = "P")
res0 <- res[, c("WO", "LCL", "UCL", "Pvalue")]
res0</pre>
```

Table 10: Win odds and its confidence interval

WO	LCL	UCL	Pvalue
1.32	1.1733	1.485	0

And the maraca plot can be created as follows:

```
hce_test <- maraca(</pre>
  data = ADHCE,
  step_outcomes = c("DTHADJ", "DIAL90", "EGFR15", "EGFR57", "EGFR50", "EGFR40"),
  last_outcome = "eGFR",
  fixed_followup_days = CUTOFF,
  column_names = c(outcome = "PARAMCD", arm = "TRTP", value = "AVALO"),
  arm_levels = c(active = "A", control = "P"),
  compute_win_odds = FALSE
)
plot(hce_test) + theme_classic() + xlab("") + ylab("") +
  theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1, size = 7),
        legend.position = "bottom")
                                       П
                                       IÌ
   20
                                       П
                                       П
                                       П
   10
                                       П
                                       П
                                       П
                                       П
                                       П
    0
                           -10
                                           0
                                                          10
                                                                         20
                                                                                        30
                  EGFR15
EGFR57
EGFR50
```



Figure 1: The maraca plot of kidney HCE

#### Acknowledgment

The synthetic datasets were created and kindly provided by the Analytics Data Preparation Team (ADAPT), Data Office, Data Science and AI, AstraZeneca.

# **Appendix**

```
library(readxl)
library(ggplot2)
library(nlme)
library(multcomp)
library(hce)
library(maraca)
library(dplyr)
ADSL <- read_excel("data/ADSL.xlsx")
ADET <- read_excel("data/ADET.xlsx")
ADLB <- read_excel("data/ADLB.xlsx")
CUTOFF <- 3
ACUTE <- 14/360
CHRONIC_coef <- (CUTOFF - ACUTE)/CUTOFF</pre>
ADLB$ADAY <- ADLB$ADAY/360
ADET$AVAL <- ADET$AVAL/360
ADLB <- ADLB[ADLB$ADAY <= CUTOFF, ]
EVNT <- ADET |> filter(AVAL <= CUTOFF) |> arrange(ID, PARAMN)|> group_by(ID) |>
  mutate (n = row_number()) |> ungroup() |> filter(n == 1) |> select(- c("n"))
table(EVNT$PARAM, EVNT$TRTPN)
ADLBO <- merge(ADLB, ADSL[, c("ID", "STRATAN", "EGFRBL")], by = "ID", all.x = T)
ADLBO$SPLINE <- ifelse(ADLBO$ADAY <= ACUTE, 0, ADLBO$ADAY - ACUTE)
ADLBO$TRT <- ifelse(ADLBO$TRTP == 1, 1, 0)
fit <- lme(AVAL ~ EGFRBL + STRATAN + TRT + ADAY + SPLINE + ADAY*TRT + SPLINE*TRT - 1,
           random = list(ID = pdSymm(form = ~ 1 + ADAY)),
           weights = varComb(varIdent(form = ~ 1|TRT),
                              varPower(form = ~ 1 + ADAY)),
           na.action = na.omit,
           data = ADLBO,
           method = "REML",
           control = lmeControl(maxIter = 1e8,
                                 msMaxIter = 1e8,
                                 opt = "optim",
                                 optimMethod = "L-BFGS-B",
                                 msVerbose = T))
k <- ncol(coef(fit)) - 1</pre>
MTP <- glht(fit,
            linfct = rbind("Total: A - C" = c(rep(0, k - 2), 1, CHRONIC_coef)))
SLP <- confint(summary(MTP))</pre>
Slope <- SLP$confint[1:3]</pre>
Slope
MTPO <- glht(fit,
            linfct = rbind("Total: A - C" =
                                               c(rep(0, k-4), 1,
                                                 CHRONIC_coef, 1, CHRONIC_coef)))
SLPO <- confint(summary(MTPO))</pre>
Slope0 <- SLPO$confint[1:3]</pre>
Slope0
MTP1 <- glht(fit,
```

```
linfct = rbind("Total: A - C" = c(rep(0, k - 4), 1, CHRONIC\_coef, 0, 0)))
SLP1 <- confint(summary(MTP1))</pre>
Slope1 <- SLP1$confint[1:3]</pre>
Slope1
ADLB1 <- ADLB0[ADLB0$ADAY == 0, ]
ADLB1$ADAY <- CUTOFF
ADLB1$SPLINE <- CUTOFF - ACUTE
ADLB1$AVALP <- (predict(fit, newdata = ADLB1) - ADLB1$EGFRBL)/CUTOFF
Desc <- tapply(ADLB1$AVALP, ADLB1$TRT,</pre>
               function(x) list(mean = mean(x), sd = sd(x)))
do.call(rbind, Desc)
ADLB1$AVALP <- round(ADLB1$AVALP, 2)
ADLB1 <- ADLB1[, c("ID", "AVALP")]
ADLB2 <- merge(ADLB1, EVNT, by = "ID", all.x = T)
ADLB2$AVAL <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$AVAL), ADLB1$AVALP, ADLB2$AVAL)
ADLB2$PARAMCD <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$PARAMCD), "eGFR", ADLB2$PARAMCD)
ADLB2$PARAM <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$PARAM), "eGFR slope", ADLB2$PARAM)
ADLB2$PARAMN <- ifelse(is.na(ADLB2$PARAMN), 7, ADLB2$PARAMN)
HCE <- ADLB2[, c("ID", "AVAL", "PARAM", "PARAMCD", "PARAMN")]</pre>
names(HCE) [names(HCE) == "AVAL"] <- "AVALO"</pre>
names(HCE) [names(HCE) == "PARAM"] <- "GROUP"</pre>
M <- floor(abs(max(HCE$AVALO[HCE$PARAMCD == "eGFR"]))) + 1
CUTOFFO <- max(c(M, CUTOFF))</pre>
HCE$GROUPN <- CUTOFF*HCE$PARAMN
HCE$GROUPN[HCE$PARAMCD == "eGFR"] <- CUTOFFO*HCE$PARAMN[HCE$PARAMCD == "eGFR"]
HCE$AVAL <- HCE$AVALO + HCE$GROUPN
ADHCE <- merge(HCE, ADSL, by = "ID")
ADHCE$TRTP <- ifelse(ADHCE$TRTPN == 1, "A", "P")
head(ADHCE)
res <- calcWO(x = ADHCE, AVAL = "AVAL", TRTP = "TRTP", ref = "P")
res0 <- res[, c("WO", "LCL", "UCL", "Pvalue")]</pre>
res0
hce_test <- maraca(data = ADHCE,
                   tte_outcomes = c("DTHADJ", "DIAL90", "EGFR15",
                                     "EGFR57", "EGFR50", "EGFR40"),
                   continuous_outcome = "eGFR",
                   fixed followup days = CUTOFF,
                   column_names = c(outcome = "PARAMCD", arm = "TRTP", value = "AVALO"),
                   arm_levels = c(active = "A", control = "P"),
                   compute_win_odds = FALSE)
plot(hce_test) + theme_classic() + xlab("") + ylab("") +
  theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1, size = 7),
        legend.position = "bottom")
```

#### References

- Gasparyan, Samvel B. 2025. hee: Design and Analysis of Hierarchical Composite Endpoints. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=hee.
- Gasparyan, Samvel B, Elaine K Kowalewski, Folke Folkvaljon, Olof Bengtsson, Joan Buenconsejo, John Adler, and Gary G Koch. 2021. "Power and Sample Size Calculation for the Win Odds Test: Application to an Ordinal Endpoint in COVID-19 Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics* 31 (6): 765–87.
- Hothorn, Torsten, Frank Bretz, and Peter Westfall. 2008. "Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models." *Biometrical Journal* 50 (3): 346–63. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=multcomp.
- Karpefors, Martin, Daniel Lindholm, and Samvel B Gasparyan. 2023. "The Maraca Plot: A Novel Visualization of Hierarchical Composite Endpoints." Clinical Trials 20 (1): 84–88.
- Martin Karpefors, Samvel B. Gasparyan, and Monika Huhn. 2025. Maraca: The Maraca Plot: Visualization of Hierarchical Composite Endpoints in Clinical Trials. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maraca.
- Pinheiro, José C, and Douglas M Bates. 2000. "Fitting Nonlinear Mixed-Effects Models." *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*, 337–421. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme.
- R Core Team. 2022. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Version 4.2.2. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Vonesh, Edward, Hocine Tighiouart, Jian Ying, Hiddo L Heerspink, Julia Lewis, Natalie Staplin, Lesley Inker, and Tom Greene. 2019. "Mixed-Effects Models for Slope-Based Endpoints in Clinical Trials of Chronic Kidney Disease." *Statistics in Medicine* 38 (22): 4218–39.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
- Wickham, Hadley, and Jennifer Bryan. 2023. Readxl: Read Excel Files. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readxl.
- Wickham, Hadley, Romain François, Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller, and Davis Vaughan. 2023. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr.