

Supplemental Material: ANALYZING CROSS VALIDATION IN COMPRESSED SENSING WITH MIXED GAUSSIAN AND IMPULSE MEASUREMENT NOISE WITH L1 ERRORS

Shubhang Bhatnagar^{#*}, Chinmay Gurjarpadhye^{#*} and Ajit Rajwade^{†*}

1 Introduction

In this document, we present the proofs of Lemma 1, Theorem 1, Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 from the main paper.

Assumption 1: As discussed in the problem formulation, we assume the mean of the Gaussian noise to be large when compared to the values in signal \mathbf{x} and to the Gaussian noise variance which translates to the following approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i + G_i \right| &\sim \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i + G_i \text{ and} \\ \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i - G_i \right| &\sim \sum_{j=1}^N -A_{cv,i,j} \Delta x_j - n_i + G_i \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1: Throughout these proofs, we come across the folded Gaussian distribution, i.e. the distribution of $|X|$ if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We note that the mean, μ' of this distribution is given as $\mu' = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\mu^2/2\sigma^2} - \mu \left(1 - 2\Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right)$, where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cdf of the Gaussian distribution. This is proved in [1, 2]. Also note that all the expectations in the proofs that follow are over the noise instances as well as the instances for the randomly generated sensing matrix \mathbf{A} .

2 Proof of Lemma 1

The L1 cross validation error ϵ_{cv} (shorthand for $\epsilon_{cv,\ell_1,\lambda}$ in the main paper) is given as follows,

$$\epsilon_{cv} = \|\mathbf{y}_{cv} - \Phi_{cv} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_\lambda\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{cv}} \left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,i,j} \Delta x_j \right) + n_i + B_i G_i \right|,$$

^{#*}- CG and SB are first authors with equal contribution. AR acknowledges support from SERB Grant #10013890.

where x is the true, unknown signal, \hat{x}_λ is its estimate and $\Delta x_j := x_j - \hat{x}_\lambda(j)$. For simplicity of notation we define r_i as follows,

$$r_i = \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i + B_i G_i \right|$$

Using this definition we have,

$$\epsilon_{\text{cv}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\text{cv}}} r_i$$

Now we compute the value of $E[r_i]$,

$$E[r_i] = \frac{b}{2} E[r_i \mid B_i = -1] + (1-b) E[r_i \mid B_i = 0] + \frac{b}{2} E[r_i \mid B_i = 1]. \quad (1)$$

We first compute the value of middle term in (1):

$$E[r_i \mid B_i = 0] = E \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i \right| \right].$$

Here observe that the random variable $(\sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i)$ has mean 0 and its variance can be computed as follows,

$$E \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i \right)^2 \right] = \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta x_j^2 E(A_{\text{cv},i,j}^2) + E(n_i^2) = \frac{1}{m} \left(\sum_i \Delta x_j^2 + \sigma_n^2 \right).$$

Using the formula for the mean of a folded Gaussian, we have:

$$E(r_i \mid B_i = 0) = \sqrt{\frac{2(\sum_{j=1}^N \Delta x_j^2 + \sigma_n^2)}{\pi m}}.$$

Next, we calculate the conditional expectation for the other 2 terms which have $B = \pm 1$ -

$$E(r_i \mid B_i = \pm 1) = E \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^N a_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i \pm G_i \right| \right).$$

We now use Assumption 1 to approximate $|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i + G_i| \sim \sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i + G_i$ and $|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i - G_i| \sim \sum_{j=1}^N -A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j - n_i + G_i$. Now $\sum_{j=1}^N A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j + n_i + G_i$ is a sum of independent Gaussian Random variables, and hence is a Gaussian with the following mean:

$$E(A_{\text{cv},i,j} \Delta x_j) + E(n_i) + E(G_i) = \mu_G.$$

Similarly, $\sum_{j=1}^N -A_{cv,i,j}\Delta x_j - n_i + G_i$ is also a sum of independent Gaussian Random variables, and hence is a Gaussian with mean

$$E(A_{cv,i,j}\Delta x_j) - E(n_i) + E(G_i) = \mu_G.$$

So, we have

$$E(r_i | B_i = \pm 1) = \mu_G.$$

We take $\varepsilon_x^2 := \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta x_j^2$ which is the actual recovery error squared given the signal \mathbf{x} .

Using these and substituting in (1) we get the mean of r_i as-

$$\begin{aligned} E(r_i) &= \frac{b}{2} E(r_i | B_i = -1) + (1-b) E(r_i | B_i = -1) + \frac{b}{2} E(|r_i| | B_i = 1) \\ &= b\mu_G + (1-b) \sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi} (\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we calculate the variance of r_i

$$Var(r_i) = E(r_i^2) - E(r_i)^2. \quad (2)$$

We first calculate $E(r_i^2)$:

$$E(r_i^2) = \sum_{j=1}^N E(A_{cv,i,j}^2 \Delta x_j^2) + E(N_{cv,i}^2) + E(B_i^2 G_i^2) + 2E(B_i G_i N_{cv,i}) + \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (E(A_{cv,i,j} N_{cv,i}) \Delta x_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (E(A_{cv,i,j} B_i G_i) \Delta x_j) \\ & \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{\varepsilon_x^2}{m} + \frac{\sigma_n^2}{m} + E(B_i^2) E(G_i^2) + 0 + 0 + 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m} + b(\sigma_G^2 + \mu_G^2). \quad (5)$$

Here equality marked as ‘(a)’ follows because $N_{cv,i}$, B_i , G_i and $A_{cv,i,j}$ are all independent of each other and $N_{cv,i}$, B_i and $A_{cv,i,j}$ have 0 mean.

Now calculating the term $E(r_i)^2$:

$$E(r_i)^2 = b^2 \mu_G^2 + (1-b)^2 \frac{2}{m\pi} (\sigma_n^2 + \varepsilon_x^2) + 2b(1-b) \mu_G \sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi} (\sigma_n^2 + \varepsilon_x^2)}$$

Substituting these terms in equation (2), we obtain $Var(r_i)$ as follows:

$$Var(r_i) = \left(1 - (1-b)^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \frac{\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m} + (b)(\sigma_G^2 + (1-b)\mu_G^2) - 2b(1-b) \mu_G \sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi} (\sigma_n^2 + \varepsilon_x^2)}.$$

Now seeing that $\epsilon_{cv} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{cv}} |r_i|$, and assuming that m_{cv} is large, we apply the Central limit theorem (CLT) to say that ϵ_{cv} follows a normal distribution with the following mean and variance-

$$\epsilon_{cv} \sim N(m_{cv} E(r_i), m_{cv} Var(r_i))$$

with -

$$E(r_i) = b\mu_G + (1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi}(\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2)}$$

$$\text{Var}(r_i) = \left(1 - (1-b)^2\frac{2}{\pi}\right)\frac{\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m} + (b)(\sigma_G^2 + (1-b)\mu_G^2) - 2b(1-b)\mu_G\sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi}(\sigma_n^2 + \varepsilon_x^2)}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Now assuming $\mu_1 = E(\epsilon_{cv})$ and the standard deviation of ϵ_{cv} as σ_1 , we can say that with probability $\text{erf}(\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}})$ the following inequality holds:

$$-\varrho \leq \frac{\epsilon_{cv} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \leq \varrho. \quad (6)$$

Consider $K_1 := (1 - (1-b)^2\frac{2}{\pi})$, $K_2 := b(\sigma_G^2 + (1-b)\mu_G^2)$. Consider the expression for the variance of ϵ_{cv} in Lemma 1. Using the inequality $\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b} \geq \sqrt{a+b}$ where $a, b > 0$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\sqrt{\frac{m_{cv}}{m}K_1(\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2)} + \sqrt{m_{cv}K_2} \geq \sqrt{\frac{m_{cv}}{m}K_1(\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2) + m_{cv}K_2} \quad (7)$$

This is because K_1, K_2, m, m_{cv} are all positive quantities.

Consider. $\sigma_2 = \sqrt{\frac{m_{cv}}{m}K_1\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} + \sqrt{m_{cv}K_2}$. From inequality (7) we can say that $\sigma_2 \geq \sigma_1$

Now using inequality (6) and (7) we arrive at the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varrho &\leq \frac{\epsilon_{cv} - \mu_1}{\sigma_2} \leq \varrho \\ -\sigma_2\varrho &\leq \epsilon_{cv} - \mu_1 \leq \sigma_2\varrho \\ \mu_1 - \sigma_2\varrho &\leq \epsilon_{cv} \leq \mu_1 + \sigma_2\varrho \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now looking at the LHS of the above inequality, we get-

$$\begin{aligned} &-\varrho\sqrt{\frac{m_{cv}K_1}{m}(\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2)} - \varrho\sqrt{m_{cv}K_2} + m_{cv}b\mu_G + (1-b)m_{cv}\sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi}\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} \leq \epsilon_{cv} \\ &\sqrt{\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} \left((1-b)m_{cv}\sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi}} - \varrho\sqrt{\frac{m_{cv}K_1}{m}} \right) \leq \epsilon_{cv} + \varrho\sqrt{m_{cv}K_2} - m_{cv}b\mu_G \\ &\sqrt{\varepsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{cv} + \varrho\sqrt{m_{cv}K_2} - m_{cv}b\mu_G}{\left((1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} - \varrho\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}} \right)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now seeing RHS of the inequality (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{cv} &\leq \varrho \sqrt{\frac{m_{cv} K_1}{m}} (\epsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2) + \varrho \sqrt{m_{cv} K_2} + m_{cv} b \mu_G + (1-b) m_{cv} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi}} (\epsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2) \\
\epsilon_{cv} - \varrho \sqrt{m_{cv} K_2} - m_{cv} b \mu_G &\leq \sqrt{\epsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} \left(\varrho \sqrt{\frac{m_{cv} K_1}{m}} + (1-b) m_{cv} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m\pi}} \right) \\
\sqrt{\epsilon_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} &\geq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{cv} - \varrho \sqrt{m_{cv} K_2} - m_{cv} b \mu_G}{\varrho \sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}} + (1-b) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\varrho, \pm) &:= m_{cv} b \mu_G \pm \varrho \sqrt{m_{cv} K_2} \\
h(\varrho, \pm) &:= (1-b) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \pm \varrho \sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}},
\end{aligned}$$

We arrive at the following confidence interval on ϵ_x (with probability $\text{erf}(\varrho/\sqrt{2})$):

$$\frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \frac{\epsilon_{cv} - p(\varrho, +)}{h(\varrho, +)} \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_x + \sigma_n^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \frac{\epsilon_{cv} - p(\varrho, -)}{h(\varrho, -)}. \quad (10)$$

This proves Theorem 1. ■

We observe that the confidence interval length tends to 0 as we increase the value of m_{cv} , as expected. We prove this claim in the following steps-

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{cv} - p(\varrho, -)}{h(\varrho, -)} - \frac{\epsilon_{cv} - p(\varrho, +)}{h(\varrho, +)} \right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{cv}(h(\varrho, +) - h(\varrho, -)) - h(\varrho, +)p(\varrho, -) + h(\varrho, -)p(\varrho, +)}{h(\varrho, -)h(\varrho, +)} \right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{m}}{m_{cv}} \left(\frac{2\varrho\epsilon_{cv}\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}} + 2(1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\varrho\sqrt{K_2 m_{cv}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}}b\mu_G\varrho m_{cv}}{(1-b)^2\frac{2}{\pi} - \varrho^2\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}} \right) \\
&= \sqrt{m} \left(\frac{2\varrho\epsilon_{cv}\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}^3}}} + 2(1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{m_{cv}}}\sqrt{K_2} - 2\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}}b\mu_G\varrho \right) \\
&\quad \left((1-b)^2\frac{2}{\pi} - \varrho^2\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $m_{cv} \rightarrow \infty$ we get-

$$\begin{aligned}
&= m \left(\frac{\lim_{m_{cv} \rightarrow \infty} 2\varrho\epsilon_{cv}\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}^3}}} + \lim_{m_{cv} \rightarrow \infty} 2(1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2K_2}{\pi m_{cv}}}\varrho - \lim_{m_{cv} \rightarrow \infty} 2\sqrt{\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}}}b\mu_G\varrho \right) \\
&\quad \left(\lim_{m_{cv} \rightarrow \infty} 2(1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2K_2}{\pi m_{cv}}}\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{m_{cv}}}\sqrt{K_2} - \lim_{m_{cv} \rightarrow \infty} \varrho^2\frac{K_1}{m_{cv}} \right) \\
&= m \left(\frac{0 + 0 + 0}{(1-b)^2\frac{2}{\pi} + 0} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we say that the length of our confidence interval tends to 0 as we increase the value of m_{cv} .

4 Proof of Lemma 2

Here, we wish to obtain the distribution of $\Delta\epsilon_{cv}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\epsilon_{cv} &= \epsilon_{cv}^p - \epsilon_{cv}^q \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_{cv}} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i} \right| - \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i} \right| \right).\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity we define r_i^p, r_i^q and r_i as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}r_i^p &:= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i} \right| & r_i^q &:= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i} \right| \\ r_i &:= r_i^p - r_i^q.\end{aligned}$$

We now compute $E[r_i]$. To that end, we compute $E[r_i^p]$.

$$E[r_i^p] = (1-b)E[r_i^p|B_i = 0] + \frac{b}{2}E[r_i^p|B_i = 1] + \frac{b}{2}E[r_i^p|B_i = -1]. \quad (11)$$

We expand each of the above terms. We first compute $E[r_i^p|B_i = 1]$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}E[r_i^p|B_i = 1] &= E\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i}\right| \middle| B_i = 1\right] \\ &= E\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + G_{cv,i}\right|\right] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + G_{cv,i}\right] \\ &= \mu_g.\end{aligned}$$

Here (a) follows from Assumption 1. Similarly, one can get $E[r_i^p|B_i = -1] = \mu_g$. Now, we compute the first term in (11).

$$\begin{aligned}E[r_i^p|B_i = 0] &= E\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i}\right| \middle| B_i = 0\right] \\ &= E\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i}\right|\right] \\ &= E\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i}\right|\right].\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i}$ is a Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\frac{\varepsilon_p^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m}$, where $\varepsilon_p^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta x_j^2$. Thus the absolute value is a folded Gaussian and using Remark 1 we get,

$$E[r_i^p|B_i = 0] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_p^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m}}.$$

For simplicity, here onward we use K_1 and σ_p to denote $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_p^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m}}$ respectively. Similarly, we denote $\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_q^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m}}$ by σ_q . Thus, continuing from (11) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}E[r_i^p] &= (1-b)E[r_i^p|B_i = 0] + \frac{b}{2}E[r_i^p|B_i = 1] + \frac{b}{2}E[r_i^p|B_i = -1] \\ &= (1-b)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_p^2 + \sigma_n^2}{m}} + \mu_g - \mu_g \\ &= (1-b)K_1\sigma_p.\end{aligned}$$

Due to the symmetry we will have,

$$E[r_i^q] = (1 - b)K_1\sigma_q$$

Thus from the definition of r_i we get,

$$E[r_i] = (1 - b)K_1(\sigma_p - \sigma_q) \quad (12)$$

Having computed $E[r_i]$ we now compute $E[r_i^2]$ as follows,

$$E[r_i^2] = (1 - b)E[r_i^2|B_i = 0] + \frac{b}{2}E[r_i^2|B_i = 1] + \frac{b}{2}E[r_i^2|B_i = -1] \quad (13)$$

We expand each of the above terms. We first compute $E[r_i^2|B_i = 1]$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[r_i^2|B_i = 1] \\ &= E \left[\left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i} \right| - \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} + B_{cv,i} G_{cv,i} \right| \right)^2 | B_i = 1 \right] \\ &= E \left[\left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} + G_{cv,i} \right| - \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} + G_{cv,i} \right| \right)^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} E \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} (\Delta x_j^p - \Delta x_j^q) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m} (\varepsilon_p^2 + \varepsilon_q^2 - 2\langle \Delta \mathbf{x}^p, \Delta \mathbf{x}^q \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here, (a) follows from assumption 1. Similarly we get,

$$E[r_i^2|B_i = -1] = \frac{1}{m} (\varepsilon_p^2 + \varepsilon_q^2 - 2\langle \Delta \mathbf{x}^p, \Delta \mathbf{x}^q \rangle) \quad (15)$$

Now we compute the first term in (13) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[r_i^2|B_i = 0] \\ &= E \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} \right| \left| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} \right| \right] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Recall that $\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_p^2)$ as argued earlier. Similarly we have $\sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_q^2)$. So we now compute the remaining term in (16). For simplicity we define Gaussian random variables X and Y as follows,

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^p + n_{cv,i} \quad Y = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij} \Delta x_j^q + n_{cv,i}$$

Note that X and Y are 0 mean but correlated random variables. We further define random variables X' and Y' as follows,

$$X' = X/\sigma_p \quad Y' = Y/\sigma_q$$

Notice that X' and Y' are normally distributed. Let ρ be the covariance of X' and Y' . We compute the value of ρ later. We can write X' and Y' as follows,

$$X' = U \quad Y' = \rho U + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} V$$

where U and V are independent and identically distributed Gaussian random variables, with mean 0 and variance 1. Observe that $E[XY] = \sigma_p \sigma_q E[X'Y']$. We now compute $E[X'Y']$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} E[|X'Y'|] &= E[|U(\rho U + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} V)|] \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} E[|U(K_3 U + V)|] \quad \left(\text{Here } K_3 := \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K_3 x^2 + xy| f_U(x) f_V(y) dy dx \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_U(x) |x| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K_3 x + y| f_V(y) dy \right) dx \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_U(x) |x| \left(K_1 e^{\frac{-x^2 K_3^2}{2}} + K_3 x \left(\operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{K_3 x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) \right) dx \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_U(x) |x| K_1 e^{\frac{-x^2 K_3^2}{2}} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_U(x) |x| K_3 x \left(\operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{K_3 x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) dx \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |x| K_1 e^{\frac{-x^2 K_3^2}{2}} + \int_0^{\infty} 2 f_U(x) |x| K_3 x \left(\operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{K_3 x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) dx \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |x| K_1 e^{\frac{-x^2 (K_3^2 + 1)}{2}} + \frac{2K_3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \times 2\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(2\sqrt{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{K_3} \right) - \frac{(K_3/\sqrt{2})}{\frac{1}{2}(1/2 + K_3^2/2)} \right) \right] \right) \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \times \frac{1}{K_3^2 + 1} + K_3 \left[1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{K_3} \right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{K_3}{K_3^2 + 1} \right] \right) \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{K_3^2 + 1} + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left[1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho} \right) + \frac{2\rho}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \right] \right) \\ &= \rho - \frac{2\rho \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}/\rho)}{\pi} + \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, (a) follows from Remark 1; (b) follows from ???. Now we compute the value of ρ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = E[X'Y'] &= \frac{E[XY]}{\sigma^p \sigma^q} \\ &= \frac{E[N_{cv,ij}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N A_{cv,ij}^2 \Delta x_j^p \Delta x_j^q]}{\sigma^p \sigma^q} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^p \sigma^q} \left(\frac{\sigma_N^2}{m} + \frac{\langle \Delta x_j^p \Delta x_j^q \rangle}{m} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Now, substituting all terms in (13) we get,

$$E[r_i^2] = (1 - b) (\sigma_p^2 + \sigma_q^2 - 2\rho_1 \sigma_p \sigma_q) + \frac{b}{m} (\varepsilon_p^2 + \varepsilon_q^2 - 2\langle \Delta x_j^p, \Delta x_j^q \rangle)$$

where

$$\rho_1 = \rho - \frac{2\rho \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{1-\rho^2}/\rho)}{\pi} + \frac{2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{\pi}$$

Here, ρ is as given in (17). Also $\text{mean}(r_i) = E[r_i]$ is known from (12). Thus we have the variance of r_i as,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(r_i) &= E[r_i^2] - (E[r_i])^2 \\ &= (1-b)(\sigma_p^2 + \sigma_q^2 - 2\rho_1\sigma_p\sigma_q) + \frac{b}{m} \left(\varepsilon_p^2 + \varepsilon_q^2 - 2\langle \Delta x_j^p, \Delta x_j^q \rangle \right) - ((1-b)K_1(\sigma_p - \sigma_q))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Having obtained the mean and variance of r_i , we now use the Central Limit Theorem to compute the distribution of $\Delta\epsilon_{cv}$.

$$\Delta\epsilon_{cv} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \sim \mathcal{N}(m_{cv}\text{mean}(r_i), m_{cv}\text{Var}(r_i))$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 follows directly from Lemma 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}(\epsilon_{cv}^p > \epsilon_{cv}^q) &= \text{Pr}(\Delta\epsilon_{cv} > 0) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \end{aligned}$$

Here, μ and σ are as given in Lemma 2. Now substituting $x = (t\sigma + \mu)$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}(\epsilon_{cv}^p > \epsilon_{cv}^q) &= \int_{-\mu/\sigma}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\mu/\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

References

- [1] “Folded normal distribution,” https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Folded_normal_distribution.
- [2] F. C. Leone, L. S. Nelson, and R. B. Nottingham, “The folded normal distribution,” *Technometrics*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 543–550, 1961.