

Evolution of CSMA Protocols of the IEEE 802.11 Standard

Michael Shell

School of Electrical and
Computer Engineering

Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0250

Email: <http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html>

Homer Simpson

Twentieth Century Fox
Springfield, USA

Email: homer@thesimpsons.com

James Kirk

and Montgomery Scott
Starfleet Academy

San Francisco, California 96678-2391

Telephone: (800) 555-1212

Fax: (888) 555-1212

Abstract—In this paper we present the requirements of candidate protocols to replace the pervasive CSMA/CA medium access control. We discuss the possibility of further preventing collisions and provide an overview of the related work. We specify protocols that are candidates of replacing CSMA/CA in pseudocode and use simulation to assess performance metrics such as throughput, fairness and collision probability.

I. INTRODUCTION

This demo file is intended to serve as a “starter file” for IEEE conference papers produced under L^AT_EX using IEEE-tran.cls version 1.7 and later. I wish you the best of success.

A candidate to replace CSMA/CA should

- Provide performance advantages, either in the form of throughput or short term fairness.
- Be backward compatible with current implementation.
- Be simple a simple evolution implementation to ease the transition and reduce time to market (Optional but desirable).

II. RELATED WORK

Since the popularization of IEEE 802.11, several papers have proposed modifications to the contention protocol that is used for sharing the medium. They can be categorized in three groups regarding the approach they use. The first one prevents that the contention window is reset to its minimum value after successful transmissions. Examples of this first group include [1], [2]. This solution improves throughput in saturation conditions at the price of lowering short term fairness.

The second groups involves the accurate estimation of the number of contenders to adjust the contention parameters. Two examples of this group are [3], [4]. This approach offers some throughput and fairness gains at the expense of increased implementation complexity. As the number of contenders is estimated relying on the number of collisions, the presence of channel errors further complicates the estimation. Furthermore, there is a fundamental trade-off between the accuracy and reaction time of the estimation.

The aforementioned solutions are not able to fairly share the medium with legacy devices. In fact, these proposals are, generally speaking, less aggressive than the currently implemented

protocol. Consequently, in a shared network, the new stations would receive a smaller share of the available bandwidth in a mixed scenario.

A more important limitation of the solutions exposed so far is that the throughput is bounded by that of CSMA/CA with optimal configuration [3], [5]. In this paper we focus on a third group of solutions that delivers throughput above the maximum attainable by CSMA/CA.

This third group of solutions uses a deterministic backoff after successful transmissions to further reduce the chances of collisions. It was introduced in [6] and a more detailed analysis that includes both saturated and non-saturated conditions is presented in [7]. analyzed in depth in [8].

III. ENHANCED CSMA

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

V. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bharghavan, A. Demers, S. Shenker, and L. Zhang, “MACAW: a media access protocol for wireless LAN’s,” in *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 24, no. 4. ACM, 1994, pp. 212–225.
- [2] C. Wang, B. Li, and L. Li, “A new collision resolution mechanism to enhance the performance of IEEE 802.11 DCF,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1235–1246, 2004.
- [3] F. Cali, M. Conti, E. Gregori, and P. Aleph, “Dynamic Tuning of the IEEE 802.11 Protocol to Achieve a Theoretical Throughput Limit,” *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 785–799, 2000.
- [4] A. Lopez-Toledo, T. Vercauteren, and X. Wang, “Adaptive Optimization of IEEE 802.11 DCF Based on Bayesian Estimation of the Number of Competing Terminals,” *IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput.*, vol. 5, no. 9, p. 1283, 2006.
- [5] G. Bianchi, “Performance Analysis of the IEEE 802.11 Distributed Coordination Function,” *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 535–547, 2000.
- [6] J. Barcelo, B. Bellalta, C. Cano, and M. Oliver, “Learning-BEB: Avoiding Collisions in WLAN,” in *Eunice*, 2008.
- [7] J. Barcelo, B. Bellalta, A. Sfairopoulou, C. Cano, and M. Oliver, “CSMA with Enhanced Collision Avoidance: a Performance Assessment,” in *IEEE VTC Spring*, 2009.
- [8] Y. He, R. Yuan, J. Sun, and W. Gong, “Semi-Random Backoff: Towards Resource Reservation for Channel Access in Wireless LANs,” in *IEEE ICNP*, 2009, pp. 21–30.