

# **A course on Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)**

Luis Sanabria, Jaume Barcelo

October 18, 2012



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>About the course</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Course Data . . . . .	1
1.2	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.3	Syllabus . . . . .	6
1.4	Bibliography . . . . .	7
1.5	Evaluation Criteria . . . . .	7
1.6	Survival guide . . . . .	7
1.6.1	Questions and doubts . . . . .	7
1.6.2	Continuous feedback . . . . .	8
1.6.3	How to make you teachers happy . . . . .	8



# Chapter 1

## About the course

### 1.1 Course Data

Code: 21754

Course name: “Xarxes de Sensors Sense Fils”

Teacher: Luis Sanabria and Jaume Barcelo

Credits: 4

Year: 3rd or 4th year (optional)

Trimester: Spring

### 1.2 Introduction

The reduction in price and size of computing and wireless communication platforms over the last years opens a new possibility for gathering and processing information: Wireless Sensor Networks. A wireless sensor node is an electronic device of small dimensions that gathers measures from the environment and transmit the data wirelessly. In wireless sensor nodes, communication is often established with other wireless sensor

nodes to exchange or pass information. It is common to have this data directed to an special device that gathers all the data and is called the network sink. As wireless sensor nodes are often battery-powered, energy saving is a relevant issue in these networks.

What follows is an extract of the first pages of [4].

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are a result of significant breakthroughs on wireless transceiver technology, the need of event sensing and monitoring. One might think of a WSN as the skin of our bodies; apart from its importance on many other subjects, our skin senses events nearby it, like touch, temperature changes, pressure and so forth. These events are generated by an external entity, the nerves or sensors of our skin are capable to react to such events and transmit this information to the brain.

There are enormous differences among characteristics of WSN and the skin, but the example given above will work as head start to understanding the technology. For instance, our skin sends the sensed event information towards the brain through the nerves, we could safely relate this medium to a wired network infrastructure. While in WSN, as its name suggests sends the sensed data towards a central node (Sink) via a wireless medium. Because of the limited radio range of each node, the route to the Sink is generally composed of jumps through different nodes (which is called a multi-hop route).

The majority of wireless nodes in a WSN are very constrained devices due to the restrictions in

costs and sometimes harsh environments where these networks are developed. These constraints go from cost, processing power, memory, storage, radio range, spectrum and, more importantly, battery life. One of the most popular low-end nodes model, the TelosB, is equipped with 16 MHz CPU, very small flash memory (48 KB avg.), about 10 KB of RAM and works on the very crowded 2.4 GHz spectrum at rates around 250 Kbps. These limitations force WSN engineers to design applications capable of working with low processor-intensive tasks and powered with limited battery (usually two AA batteries).

Many WSN applications process the sensed event before sending the data, this processing tries to reduce the information to send. As mentioned in [1], it is less energy consuming to process one bit of information than sending it. WSN protocols and applications are tailored to power conservation rather than throughput, mainly due to cost, dimension, processing and power constraints.

WSNs may contain different kind of sensors that help monitor metrics related to: temperature, humidity, pressure, speed, direction, movement, light, soil makeup, noise levels, presence or absence of certain kinds of objects, mechanical stress and vibration. Also further information like node location can be derived from a Global Positioning System (GPS) device embedded at each node.

Because of the variety of measures than can be monitored with these small and (generally) cheap devices, a wide range of applications have been de-

veloped; the authors of [1] divide them in: military, environmental, health, home and industry applications.

- *Military Applications:* one of the first applications of WSNs. The main advantages in this area are the fact that the deployment of low cost sensors (that are subject to destruction in a battlefield) proposes a cheaper approach to sensing different types of metrics, which in turn brings new challenges to WSN applications (increased power and processing constraints). Some of the applications are related to: monitoring the movement of troops, equipment and ammunition, battlefield surveillance, terrain reconnaissance, damage assessments, sniper detection [3], [5] and threat detection, as in the case of biological, radiological or chemical attacks.
- *Environmental Applications:* most of these applications are related to animal tracking, weather conditions and threat contention [6], [7].
- *Health Applications:* a great deal of these applications are dedicated to monitor patients inside hospitals and provide them with better care. This is achieved by tracking the patients vitals or other information of interest and making it available to doctors at any time from anywhere securely through the Internet.



- *Home Applications:* technology is making its way inside our homes from various fronts, and WSN are no exception. Sensor nodes inside domestic devices will result in an increased interaction among them and allow access via the Internet. These applications are of great importance in fields like domotics towards a smart home/work environment. Home surveillance and multimedia WSNs for home environments are also a growing field of research.
- *Industrial Applications:* historically the monitoring of material fatigue was made by experts introducing the observed situation inside PDA devices to be collected on a central site for processing. Further sensing techniques were developed on the form of wired sensors; nevertheless its implementation was slow and expensive due the necessary wiring. WSNs bring the best of both methods by sensing the events without the need of expert personnel and the cost of wiring.
- Other implementations as mentioned in [1] are: inventory management, product quality monitoring, smart offices/houses; guidance in automatic manufacturing environments, interactive museums, factory process control and automation, machine diagnosis, transportation, vehicle tracking and detection, spectrum sensing for cognitive radio networks, underground and underwater monitoring.

## 1.3 Syllabus

- Lectures

1. Introduction to WSNs
2. Arduino Platform
3. Zigbee and 802.15.4
4. Processing
5. tbd
6. tbd
7. tbd
8. Project presentation
9. Invited talk

- Seminars

1. Dimming LED + button
2. tbd
3. tbd
4. tbd

- Lab Assignments

1. Project proposal and planning
2. Project implementation
3. Project prototype (alpha)
4. Project prototype (beta)
5. Project demonstration

## 1.4 Bibliography

The course closely follows the book:

Robert Faludi “Building Wireless Sensor Networks” ([2]).

## 1.5 Evaluation Criteria

The grading is distributed as follows:

- Lectures continuous assessment, 20%
- Lab assignments, 20%
- Project proposal, 20%
- Project presentation, 20%
- Project demonstration, 20%

It is necessary to obtain a decent mark in all the different evaluation aspects. To pass the course, 50 out of the total 100 points need to be obtained.

## 1.6 Survival guide

### 1.6.1 Questions and doubts

WE like to receive questions and comments. Normally, the best moment to express a doubt is during the class, as it is likely that many people in the class share the same doubt. If you feel that you have a question that needs to be discussed privately, we can discuss it right after the class.

## **1.6.2 Continuous feedback**

At the end of the lecture, we will ask you to anonymously provide some feedback on the course. In particular, I always want to know:

- What is the most interesting thing we have seen in class.
- What is the most confusing thing in the class.
- Any other comment you may want to add.

In labs, I will ask each group to hand in a short (few paragraphs) description of the work carried out in class, and the members of the group that have attended the class. Note that this is different from the deliverables, which are the ones that are actually graded.

## **1.6.3 How to make you teachers happy**

Avoid speaking while we are talking.

# Bibliography

- [1] I. Akyildiz and M.C. Vuran. Wireless sensor networks. 2010.
- [2] R. Faludi. *Building Wireless Sensor Networks: with ZigBee, XBee, Arduino, and Processing*. O'Reilly Media, Incorporated, 2010.
- [3] Á. Lédeczi, A. Nádas, P. Völgyesi, G. Balogh, B. Kusy, J. Sallai, G. Pap, S. Dóra, K. Molnár, M. Maróti, et al. Countersniper system for urban warfare. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks (TOSN)*, 1(2):153–177, 2005.
- [4] Luis Sanabria. Localization Procedure for Wireless Sensor Networks. Master's thesis, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, 2012.
- [5] J.A. Mazurek, J.E. Barger, M. Brinn, R.J. Mullen, D. Price, S.E. Ritter, and D. Schmitt. Boomerang mobile counter shooter detection system. In *Proceedings of SPIE*, volume 5778, page 264, 2005.
- [6] J. Polastre, R. Szewczyk, A. Mainwaring, D. Culler, and J. Anderson. Analysis of wireless sensor networks for habitat monitoring. *Wireless sensor networks*, pages 399–423, 2004.

- [7] R. Szewczyk, E. Osterweil, J. Polastre, M. Hamilton, A. Mainwaring, and D. Estrin. Habitat monitoring with sensor networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 47(6):34–40, 2004.