Analyzing Student Enrollment Relations Using Reflexivity, Symmetry, and Transitivity

Introduction

In discrete mathematics, understanding the properties of **reflexivity**, **symmetry**, and **transitivity** is essential for analyzing relations, especially when solving real-world problems like grouping students. In this scenario, the university uses a relation R based on **shared course enrollment**, where **xRy** means "students **x** and **y** are enrolled in at least two common courses." The goal is to determine which pairs satisfy this relation, identify groupings based on equivalence, and analyze whether the relation is a **partial order** or an **equivalence relation**.

1. Determining the Set of Related Student Pairs RRR

We begin by examining shared courses among all students. The enrollment sets are:

- a: {Math, Physics, CS}
- b: {Math, CS, English}
- c: {Physics, Chemistry}
- d: {Math, CS}
- e: {Physics, English}

We compare each pair to see if they share at least two courses:

- (a, a): 3 common courses $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (b, b): 3 common courses $\rightarrow \checkmark$

- (c, c): 2 common courses $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (d, d): 2 common courses $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (e, e): 2 common courses $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (a, b): Math & CS $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (a, d): Math & CS $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (b, d): Math & CS $\rightarrow \checkmark$
- (c, e): Physics only $\rightarrow X$
- Others (e.g., a & c, b & e, etc.): either one or no common course → X

Relation R includes these pairs:

$$R = \{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c),(d,d),(e,e),(a,b),(b,a),(a,d),(d,a),(b,d),(d,b)\}$$

We included reverse pairs because the relation is undirected (e.g., if aRb, then bRab).

2. Forming Study Groups Based on Equivalence

To form study groups where each group contains **only students equivalently related**, we must determine **equivalence classes** based on R.

Let's look at the structure:

- **Group 1**: a, b, d (mutually share ≥ 2 courses)
- **Group 2**: c (not related to anyone else)
- **Group 3**: e (also unrelated to others)

✓ Groups formed:

- $G_1 = \{a, b, d\}$
- $G_2 = \{c\}$
- $G_3 = \{e\}$

Total: 3 groups

3. Partial Order vs. Equivalence Relation

A partial order relation must be:

- Reflexive: xRx
- Antisymmetric: If xRy and yRx, then x=y
- Transitive: If xRy and yRz, then xRz

An equivalence relation must be:

- Reflexive
- Symmetric: If xRy, then yRx
- Transitive

The key difference is **symmetry vs. antisymmetry**, which plays a central role in classifying the relation (Rosen, 2019).

4. Is R a Partial Order or Equivalence Relation?

Let's check the properties of R:

• **Reflexive**: Every student is related to themselves $\rightarrow \checkmark$

• Symmetric: If aRb, then bRa $\rightarrow \checkmark$

Transitive:

aRb and **bRd** \Rightarrow **aRd**?

Yes: a and d share Math and $CS \rightarrow \checkmark$

Similar for all in group $\{a,b,d\} \rightarrow \checkmark$

Antisymmetric:

o aRb and bRa, but $a \neq ba \rightarrow X$

Conclusion: R is **not a partial order** (fails antisymmetry)

R is an equivalence relation (meets reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity), forming

equivalence classes or partitions of the set SSS (Grimaldi, 2022).

Conclusion

By analyzing shared enrollments, we identified that relation **R** successfully groups

students based on equivalent course overlap. It satisfies all properties of an equivalence relation,

leading to three study groups: one shared group and two individual ones. Understanding such

properties allows us to model and solve practical problems using mathematical reasoning, a

fundamental tool in computing and data-driven decision-making.

Word count: 536

References

- Grimaldi, R. P. (2022). *Discrete and combinatorial mathematics: An applied introduction* (6th ed.). Pearson. https://eu.pearson.com/products/9781292485768
- Rosen, K. H. (2019). *Discrete mathematics and its applications* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill

 Education. https://www.mheducation.com/highered/product/Discrete-Mathematics-and
 Its-Applications-Rosen.html