

Week 9

Random walk in trap environment

LECTURER: SIVA ATHREYA

SCRIBE: SANCHAYAN BHOWAL, RAMKRISHNA SAMANTA

9.1 Continuous time random walk

In this model the random walker waits an exponential amount of time to perform a jump like a discrete time random walk. Consider $\{V_i : i \geq 1\}$ to be a collection of independent $\text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ random variables. Let $\lambda = 1$. Define T_k to be the sum of the first k V_i 's. Also, define N_t to be the number of T_k less than t . Hence,

- $\mathbf{P}(V_i \leq t) = 1 - e^{-t}$
- $T_k = \sum_{i=1}^k V_i$
- $N_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}(T_k \leq t)$
- $\{N_t = k\} = \{T_k \leq T_{k+1}\}$

Theorem 9.1.1. 1. $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(t)$

2. $N_t - N_s$ is independent of N_r where $r \leq s \leq t$.

3. For $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$

$\{N_{t_{i+1}} - N_{t_i} : i = 0, \dots, n-1\}$ are independent

So, $N_{t_{i+1}} - N_{t_i} \sim \text{Poisson}(t_{i+1} - t_i)$

Definition 9.1.1. Let $U_n : n \geq 0$ be a random walk on (Γ, μ) . Define, a continuous time random walk on (Γ, μ) with rate 1 to be:

$$Y_t = U_{N_t} \forall t \geq 0$$

.

Remark. The random variable Y_t is a random step function which is right continuous with left limits.

9.2 Random walk in trap environment

Continuous Time set-up

Consider the graph \mathbb{Z}^d with natural weights. Let $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous time random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d starting at 0, with rate κ . Now, let us set up the traps, i.e., for each $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ let $N_y \sim \text{Poisson}(\rho)$. This N_y denote the number of *traps* at y . Each trap $(Y^{j,y})$ perform a continuous time random walk $(\{Y_t^{j,y}\}_{t \geq 0})$ with rate ν ; where $1 \leq j \leq N_y$. The random walk gets killed if it meets a trap. There are two ways of killing viz,

Hard The walk gets killed upon intersection with any $Y^{j,y}$.

Soft At each site x at time $t \geq 0$, define

$$\xi(t, x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 1 \leq j \leq N_y} \#\{Y^{j,y} \text{ at } x\}.$$

Now X_t gets killed at rate $\gamma \xi(t, x)$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark. Hard killing infact corresponds to $\gamma = \infty$ case of soft killing.

The probability of survival is given by

$$Z_{\gamma, t} = \mathbf{E}^X[\exp(-\gamma \int_0^t \xi(s, X(s)) ds)]$$

Discrete Time set-up

Let $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d with natural weights starting at 0. For each $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ let $N_y \sim \text{Poisson}(\rho)$ denotes the number of traps at y . Each trap $(Y^{j,y})$ perform a lazy random walk $(\{Y_t^{j,y}\}_{t \geq 0})$ on \mathbb{Z}^d ; where $1 \leq j \leq N_y$. The trap kills the random walk with probability q if it meets the random walk; $q \in (0, 1)$. Let $\xi(n, x)$ denote the number of traps at location x , i.e.

$$\xi(n, x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 1 \leq j \leq N_y} \delta_x(Y_n^{j,y}).$$

Assume X_k has survived till $k \leq n$. Given X_n the probability that X_n will survive at time n is $(1 - q)^{\xi(n, X_n)}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^X(n, \xi) &= \mathbf{P}(X \text{ has survived till time } n \text{ given } \{Y_m^{j,y}\}_{1 \leq j \leq m, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \text{ where } m \leq n) \\ &= (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi(i, X_i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

9.3 Pascal's Theorem

The average survival probability of a given trajectory X is given by $\sigma^X(n) = \mathbf{E}^\xi[(1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi(i, X_i)}]$.

Theorem 9.3.1 (Pascal). *The survival probability is maximized by the trajectory $\underline{0}$ where $\underline{0}_k = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$, i.e.,*

$$\sigma^X(n) \leq \sigma^{\underline{0}}(n).$$

Lemma 9.3.1. $\sigma^X(n) = \exp(-\lambda \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} W_X(n, y))$ where $W_X(n, y) = 1 - \mathbf{E}^y[1 - (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(Y_i^y)}]$. The Y_i^y is a random variable with ditribution same as i.i.d. $Y_i^{j,y}$.

Proof. Let $X : \mathbb{N} \cup 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $X_0 = 0$ be the trajectory. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^X(n) &= \mathbf{E}^\xi[(1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi(i, X_i)}] \\
&= \mathbf{E}^\xi[(1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N_y} \delta_{X_i}(Y_n^{j,y})}] \\
&= \prod_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{E}^\xi[\prod_{1 \leq j \leq N_y} (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}(Y_n^{j,y})}] \\
&= \prod_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{E}^y \mathbf{E}^{N_y}[\prod_{1 \leq j \leq N_y} (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}(Y_n^{j,y})}] \\
&= \prod_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \mathbf{E}^y[\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}(Y_n^{j,y})}] \\
&= \prod_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} (\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}(Y_n^{j,y})})^k \\
&= \prod_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-\lambda(1 - \mathbf{E}^y((1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}(Y_n^{j,y})}))} \\
&= e^{-\lambda \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} W_X(n, y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 9.3.2. $W_X(n, y) = 1 - \mathbf{E}^y[1 - (1 - q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(Y_i^y)}] = \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau \leq n)$, where $\tau = \min\{i \geq 0 | X_i = Y_i, Z_i = 1\}$.

Lemma 9.3.3. $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau \leq n) \geq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_y^0(\tau \leq n)$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
q &= \mathbf{P}(Z_n = 1) \\
&= \mathbf{P}_{X_n}(\bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \{Z_n = 1, Y_n = y\}) \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_{X_n}(Z_n = 1, Y_n = y) \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_{X_n}(Z_n = 1, Y_n = X_n) \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [\mathbf{P}^X(\tau = n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau = k) p_{n-k}^y(X_n - X_k) q]
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9.3.4. For a lazy symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d .

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n^Y(0) &\geq p_n^Y(y), \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\
p_n^Y(0) &\geq p_{n+1}^Y(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the above lemma, we get:

$$q \leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [\mathbf{P}^X(\tau = n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau = k) p_{n-k}^y(0) q].$$

Also, replacing $X = \underline{0}$ in $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [\mathbf{P}^X(\tau = n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau = k) p_{n-k}^y(X_n - X_k) q]$, we get:

$$q = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [\mathbf{P}^0(\tau = n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_y^0(\tau = k) p_{n-k}^y(0) q]$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^X &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau \leq n) \\ S_n^0 &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_y^0(\tau \leq n) \\ S_n^X - S_{n-1}^X &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau = n) \end{aligned}$$

We define $S_{-1}^X = S_{-1}^0 = 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left[\mathbf{P}^X(\tau = n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_y^X(\tau = k) p_{n-k}^y(X_n - X_k) q \right] \\ \implies (S_n^X - S_n^0) &\geq (1 - qp_i^Y(0))(S_{n-1}^X - S_{n-1}^0) + q \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (S_k^X - S_k^0)(p_{n-k-1}^Y(0) - p_{n-k}^Y(0)) \end{aligned}$$

Now using induction, we get $S_n^X \geq S_n^0$.

□

Remark. The continuous case has a similar proof and can be found here [?].