Week 8

Large Deviations for Random Walks

Lecturer: Siva Athreya Scribe: Venkat Trivikram, Srivatsa B

Nash Inequality (continued)

Theorem 8.0.1. Let (Γ, μ) be a weighted graph, and let $\alpha \geq 1$. TFAE.

- (a) (Nash Inequality) (Γ, μ) satisfies (N_{α})
- (b) (On Diagonal Bounds) There exists $C_H > 0$ such that for every $x \in V$ and $n \geq 0$

$$p_n(x,x) \le \frac{C_H}{(n \lor 1)^{\alpha/2}}$$

(c) (Off Diagonal Bounds) There exists $C'_H > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in V$ and $n \ge 0$

$$p_n(x,y) \le \frac{C_H'}{(n \lor 1)^{\alpha/2}}$$

Proof. We provide only a sketch of the proof. From Worksheet 2, $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$ holds, and $(c) \Longrightarrow (b)$ is trivial. First, we show $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$. So assume (b). Let $m \ge 0$. If n is even, with n = 2m, then for any $x, y \in V$, we have

$$p_{2m}(x,x) \le \frac{C_H}{(2m \vee 1)^{\alpha/2}}$$
 and $p_{2m}(y,y) \le \frac{C_H}{(2m \vee 1)^{\alpha/2}}$

As an exercise, show that $p_{2m}(x,y) \leq \sqrt{p_{2m}(x,x)p_{2m}(y,y)}$, and using this, we get $(b) \implies (c)$ with $C'_H = C_H$. If n = 2m + 1, then, since $p_{2m+1}(x,y) \leq \sqrt{p_{2m}(x,x)p_{2m+2}(y,y)}$ (by a similar exercise), we get

$$p_{2m+1}(x,y) \le \sqrt{\frac{C_H^2}{(2m\vee 1)^{\alpha/2}(2m+2\vee 1)^{\alpha/2}}} \le \frac{C'}{(2m+1\vee 1)^{\alpha/2}}$$

for some C'>0. To show the last inequality above, use the fact that there exists $C_{\alpha}>0$ such that $(2m)^{\alpha/2}(2m+2)^{\alpha/2} \leq C_{\alpha}(2m+1)^{\alpha/2}$ (details left as exercises). Thus $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$. Now, we show $(c) \Longrightarrow (a)$. Assuming (c), observe that (by taking supremum over $x \in V$)

$$|P_n f(x)| \le \sum_{y \in V} p_n(x, y) |f(y)| \mu_y \implies ||P_n f||_{\infty} \le \frac{C_H}{(n \vee 1)^{\alpha/2}} ||f||_1$$

and
$$||P_n f||_2^2 = \langle P_n f, P_n f \rangle = \langle P_{2n} f, f \rangle \le ||P_{2n} f||_{\infty} ||f||_1 \le \frac{C_H}{(2n \vee 1)^{\alpha/2}} ||f||_1^2$$
 (8.1)

Now, we make use of the following inequality - (verify!)

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) \ge \frac{1}{2n} [\|f\|_2^2 - \|P_n f\|_2^2]$$

Using this, and (8.1), we get

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) \ge \frac{1}{2n} \left[\|f\|_2^2 - \frac{C_H}{(2n \vee 1^{\alpha/2})} \|f\|_1^2 \right]$$

WLOG, assume $||f||_1 = 1$, and choose smallest possible k such that

$$\frac{C_H}{(2n \vee 1)^{\alpha/2}} \le \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{2}$$
 so that $\mathcal{E}(f, f) \ge \frac{1}{4k} \|f\|_2^2$

Since $k \geq 1$, we have $k^{-\alpha/2} \leq C^2 \|f\|_2^2$ for some C > 0, and hence $k^{-\alpha/2} \leq C \|f\|_2$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}(f,f) \ge \frac{C_2 \|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_2^{\frac{4}{\alpha}}} = C_2 \|f\|_2^{2-4/\alpha} \implies (N_\alpha)$$

Carne-Varopoulos Bound

We begin with a few lemmas and some results involving Chebyshev polynomials.

Lemma 8.0.1. Let $\{S_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ denote the simple symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z} with $S_0=0$. Then

(a)

$$\mathbf{P}(S_n \ge D) \le \exp\left(-\frac{D^2}{2n}\right)$$

(b)

$$\mathbf{E}[\lambda^{S_n}] = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda^r \mathbf{P}(S_n = r) = 2^{-n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2n-r}$$

Proof. (a) was given in Worksheet 2, and (b) is trivial using results from Week 1.

Definition 8.0.1. (Chebyshev Polynomials) For $-1 \le t \le 1$, define

$$H_k(t) := \frac{1}{2}(t + i\sqrt{1 - t^2})^k + \frac{1}{2}(t - i\sqrt{1 - t^2})^k$$

Lemma 8.0.2. For each $k \geq 0$, we have

- (a) H_k is a real polynomial of degree k.
- (b) $t^n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(S_n = k) H_{|k|}(t)$

Proof. To show (a), fix $t \in [-1, 1]$ and set $s = \sqrt{1 - t^2}$. Observe that

$$H_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{k} {k \choose r} t^{k-r} [(is)^r + (-is)^r] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{k/2} {k \choose 2r} t^{k-2r} \psi(s)$$

where ψ is some real function of s.

To show (b) set $z_1 = t + is$ and $z_2 = t - is$ so that $|z_1| = |z_2| = 1$ and $z_1z_2 = 1$. Then,

$$H_k(t) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^k + z_2^k) = H_{-k}(t) \implies |H_k(t) \le 1|$$

Now, observe that $t = (z_1 + z_2)/2$, so that

$$t^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \binom{n}{k} z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \binom{n}{k} z_{1}^{2k-n} = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(S_{n} = r) z_{1}^{r}$$

Repeating the same arguments above, we get

$$t^n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(S_n = r) z_1^r = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(S_n = r) z_2^r$$

$$\implies t^n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(S_n = r) \left(\frac{z_1^r + z_2^r}{2} \right) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(S_n = r) H_{|r|}(t)$$

Theorem 8.0.2. (Carne-Varopoulos bound) Let (Γ, μ) be a weighted graph. Then, for every $x, y \in V$ and $n \geq 1$

$$p_n(x,y) \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu_x \mu_y}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{2n}\right)$$

Proof. Proved in Worksheet 2.

Large Deviations for Random Walks

Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be IID \mathbb{Z} valued random variables such that $\mathbf{E}[\xi_1] = \mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}[\xi_1] < \infty$. Define $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$. Then, the strong law of large numbers (SLLN) and the central limit theorem (CLT) respectively state that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{n}=\mu\right)=1 \text{ and } \frac{S_n-n\mu}{\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Thus, the CLT loosely states that $S_n \approx n\mu + \sqrt{n}Z$, where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

As an exercise, show that for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathbf{P}(A_n^{\epsilon}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where $A_n^{\epsilon} = \{S_n \ge n(\mu + \epsilon)\}$. What is the rate of decay of $\mathbf{P}(A_n^{\epsilon})$ (as $n \to \infty$)?

(Hint: $\mathbf{P}(S_n \ge n(\mu + \epsilon)) \approx \mathbf{P}(\xi_i > \mu + \epsilon \ \forall \ 1 \le i \le n) = [\mathbf{P}(\xi_1 > \mu + \epsilon)]^n \approx e^{-Cn}$ for some C > 0)

Theorem 8.0.3. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ be IID random variables with $\mathbf{P}(\xi_1=0)=\mathbf{P}(\xi_1=1)=1/2$. Then, for every a>1/2,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log[\mathbf{P}(S_n \ge an)] = -I(a)$$

where

$$I(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2 + a \log a + (1 - a) \log a & \text{if } 0 \le z \le 1\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Observations:

- (1) Minima of I(z) is achieved at z=1/2, and the graph increases from [1/2,1]. This implies rate of exponential decay increases as $1/2 \to a \to 1$.
- (2) Symmetry of the function $I(\cdot)$ around 1/2 suggests that for a < 1/2, (Requires a proof)

$$\frac{1}{n}\log[\mathbf{P}(S_n \ge an)] \to -I(a)$$

(3) The theorem implies SLLN. The idea of the proof makes use of the following inequality

$$\mathbf{P}(S_n > (1/2 + \delta)n) \le \exp\{-I(n(1/2 + \delta))\}\$$

Proof.

If, a > 1 then, since S_n can be at most n, $\mathbf{P}(S_n > an) = 0$ so the result follows. Now, consider $\frac{1}{2} < a \le 1$, then

$$\mathbf{P}(S_n > an) = \sum_{an < k \le n} \mathbf{P}(S_n = k) = \sum_{an < k \le n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{an < k \le n} \binom{n}{k}$$

Let, $Q_n(a) = \max_{an < k \le n} \binom{n}{k}$. So, we have,

$$2^{-n} Q_n(a) \le \mathbf{P}(S_n > an) \le 2^{-n} Q_n(a) (n+1)$$
(8.2)

First equality follows from the fact that one summand in the $\sum_{an < k \le n} \binom{n}{k}$ attains maximum and the second equality follows since, each summand of $\sum_{0 \le k \le n} \binom{n}{k}$ is $\le Q_n(a)$.

Claim:

For, $\frac{1}{2} < a < 1$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\log Q_n(a) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} -a\log a - (1-a)\log(1-a)$$

Now, from (8.2),

$$-\log 2 + \frac{1}{n}\log Q_n(a) \le \frac{1}{n}\log \mathbf{P}(S_n > an) \le -\log 2 + \frac{1}{n}\log Q_n(a) + \frac{1}{n}\log(n+1)$$
 (8.3)

assuming the claim as LHS and RHS of (8.3) goes to -I(a), the result follows. We now prove the claim.

Proof of claim:

Since, $a > \frac{1}{2}$, $\max_{an < k \le n} \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{\lceil an \rceil}$. Now, from stirling's approximation

$$\binom{n}{\lceil an \rceil} = \frac{n!}{\lceil an \rceil! (n - \lceil an \rceil)!} \sim \frac{n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}}{\lceil an \rceil^{\lceil an \rceil} e^{-\lceil an \rceil} \sqrt{2\pi \lceil an \rceil}} \cdot \frac{1}{(n - \lceil an \rceil)^{n - \lceil an \rceil} e^{n - \lceil an \rceil} \sqrt{2\pi (n - \lceil an \rceil)}}$$

For, $a > \frac{1}{2}$, a < 1; $\lceil an \rceil \to \infty$ and $n - \lceil an \rceil \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ (Check!) and

$$\frac{1}{n}\log Q_n(a) \sim \frac{1}{n}\left[\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\log n - (\lceil an\rceil + \frac{1}{2})\log\lceil an\rceil - \left(n-\lceil an\rceil + \frac{1}{2}\right)\log(n-\lceil an\rceil) - \log(\sqrt{2\pi})\right]$$

$$= \log n + \frac{1}{2n}\log n - \frac{\lceil an\rceil}{n}\log\lceil an\rceil - \frac{1}{2n}\log\lceil an\rceil - \frac{1}{n}\log\sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{n-\lceil an\rceil}{n}\log(n-\lceil an\rceil) - \frac{1}{2}\log(n-\lceil an\rceil)$$

the second, fourth, fifth and seventh summand of the above equation tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ and from the exercise (?) we have that

$$\frac{\lceil an \rceil}{n} \log \frac{\lceil an \rceil}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} a \log a \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n - \lceil an \rceil}{n} \log \frac{n - \lceil an \rceil}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} (1 - a) \log (1 - a)$$

which proves the claim.

Cramer, 1930's

 $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ i.i.d random variables with $\mathbf{E}[\xi_i] = \mu < \infty, \ \mathbf{E}[e^{r\xi_i}] < \infty, \ \forall \, r \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $a > \mu$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbf{P}(S_n > an) = -I(a)$$

where, $I(a) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} [za - \mathbf{E}[e^{z\xi}]]$

Sanov, 1961 (Level 2 of LDP)

$$\mathbf{P}(S_n > an) = \mathbf{P} \circ S_n^{-1}((an, \infty)) := \mu_n((an, \infty))$$
$$-\frac{1}{n} \log \mu_n((an, \infty)) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \infty$$

Varadhan's LDP setup, 1960's

Let, $X_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. A be an event, $\mathbf{P}_n(A) := \mathbf{P}(S_n \in A)$, then $\mathbf{P}(\cdot)$ is a probability on \mathbb{R} .

A sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of probability measures on \mathbb{R} (can be any metric space (X,d)) is said to satisfy large deviation principle with rate n and rate function $I:\mathbb{R}\to[0,\infty)\cup\{\infty\}$, if

- 1. $I \not\equiv \infty$, I is lower-semi continuous and has compact level sets.
- 2. $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbf{P}_n(\mathcal{C}) \leq -I(\mathcal{C}) \,\forall \,\text{closed sets } \mathcal{C}$
- 3. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbf{P}_n(\mathcal{O}) \ge -I(\mathcal{O}) \,\forall \,\text{open sets } \mathcal{O}$

where, $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $I(A) = \inf_{y \in A} I(y)$.

Theorem 8.0.4. $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfied LDP with rate n then, $I(\cdot)$ is unique.

Theorem 8.0.5 (Varadhan's lemma). If, $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies LDP with rate n and rate function $I(\cdot)$, let $F_n(x) = \mathbf{P}_n((-\infty, n])$ for some continuous and bounded above function $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int e^{nF(x)} dF_n(x) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [F(x) - I(x)]$$

Applications

For, $\theta \in S^1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u : S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} + V(\theta)u$$

$$\iota(0, \theta) = 1$$

then,

$$\frac{1}{t}\log u(t,\theta) \xrightarrow[t\to\infty]{} \lambda_1 = \sup_{f\in\cdots} \left\{ \int V(\theta)f(\theta)d\theta - \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{(f'(\theta)^2)}{f(\theta)}d\theta \right\}$$

we can represent this as follows,

$$u(t,\theta) = \mathbf{E} e^{\int_0^t V(\theta_s) ds}, \ \{\theta_s\}$$
 – brownian motion on S^1

Exercises

1. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, show that,

$$\frac{\lceil an \rceil}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} a \text{ and } \frac{n - \lceil an \rceil}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1 - a$$