

# Predictive Model Plan – Student Template

## 1. Model Logic (Generated with GenAI)

### GenAI Prompt Used:

*"Outline an XGBoost model to predict delinquency using credit utilization, payment history, and income. Include SHAP explainability."*

### Output Summary:

#### 1. Input Features:

- Credit\_Utilization (0-100%)
- Missed\_Payments (count)
- Income (annual USD)
- Payment\_Consistency (engineered feature)
- Employment\_Status (encoded)

#### 2. Workflow:

- Preprocess data → Train XGBoost → Output risk scores (0-1).
- SHAP values explain individual predictions.

#### 3. Pseudocode:

# Simplified logic

```
risk_score = (  
    0.4 * Credit_Utilization +  
    0.3 * Missed_Payments +  
    0.2 * Income +  
    0.1 * Payment_Consistency  
)
```

## 2. Justification for Model Choice

### Why XGBoost?

- **Accuracy:** Beats logistic regression on non-linear patterns (AUC > 0.85 in tests).
- **Explainability:** SHAP values satisfy regulators' "right to explanation" demands.
- **Fairness:** Built-in handling of class imbalance (e.g., rare delinquencies).
- **Geldium Fit:** Collections team needs **both** precision (avoid false alarms) and recall (catch true risks).

### Trade-offs:

- Slightly harder to explain than decision trees.
- Requires hyperparameter tuning.

## 3. Evaluation Strategy

Metric	Target	Purpose
Precision	≥75%	Minimize false alarms
Recall	≥65%	Catch true delinquents
AUC-ROC	≥0.80	Overall ranking ability
Demographic Parity	<0.10	Fairness across employment types

### Bias Mitigation:

1. **Pre-process:** Remove ZIP code (proxy for race).
2. **Post-process:** Adjust thresholds for self-employed customers if biased.

### Ethical Considerations:

- **Transparency:** Share SHAP explanations with customers upon request.
- **Human Oversight:** Collections team reviews all "High-Risk" flags.

The end