

# An Extension of the Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits

Sandesh Thakuri<sup>1</sup>, Bishnu Hari Subedi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Artificial Intelligence, SoE, Kathmandu University, Nepal

<sup>2</sup>Central Department of Mathematics, IoST, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Nepal

Correspondence to: Sandesh Thakuri, Email: sandesh.775509@cdmath.tu.edu.np

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A03

**Abstract:** The criterion for the classical Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits is the existence of two-sided limit for the bounding functions. We show that this criterion can be relaxed. We prove that it is sufficient for the existence of the left-hand limit for the lower bound function and the existence of right-hand limit for the upper bound function; and of-course they must be equal. This paper relaxes the criterion of the classical Sandwich theorem by replacing the two-sided limits with one-sided limits in the criterion and gives an extension of the Sandwich theorem. While Rudin [3] has given a proof of the Sandwich theorem for two sided limits and many has formulated the Sandwich theorem for the one-sided limits, these still don't relax the criterion of the Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits [5]. They have incorporated the one-sided limits for the Sandwich theorem for one-sided limits but has not relax the condition for the Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits as we have done.

## 1 Introduction

The Sandwich theorem is simple yet powerful tool in analysis to determine and to analyze the limit of a function at a given point. We can leverage the known limits to calculate the unknown limits. Suppose, we know the limits of  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  at  $x = c$  to be the same limit  $L$  and here  $f(x)$  happens to be sandwich between  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  in some neighborhood of  $c$ . Then we can conclude the limit of  $f(x)$  at  $x = c$  as  $L$  by the Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits. The Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits is simply called the Sandwich theorem which is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (The Sandwich Theorem). [5] Suppose  $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x)$  in some open interval containing  $c$ , except possibly at  $x = c$  itself. Suppose also that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} h(x) = L.$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ .

As an illustration, we know the limit of  $1/x$  is 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the limit of  $-1/x$  is also 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . These are the known limits.

The value of sine function lies between  $-1$  and  $1$  so that

$$-\frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x} \leq \frac{1}{x}$$

Now,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{x} = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} = 0$  so we can use the Sandwich theorem to conclude that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} = 0$ .

## 1.1 Limitation of the Sandwich Theorem

The Sandwich theorem requires the existence of the two sided limits for the lower bound function  $g(x)$  and the upper bound function  $h(x)$ . This is the limitation of the sandwich theorem.

We know  $-|x| \leq \sin x \leq |x|$  which implies

$$-\frac{|x|}{x} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x} \leq \frac{|x|}{x}$$

But we cannot conclude the limit of  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$  using the Sandwich Theorem with the bounds above. It is so, because the  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|}{x}$  does not exist.

The  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|}{x}$  does not exist because left hand limit and right hand limit of  $\frac{|x|}{x}$  at  $x=0$  are different. They are different but they are finite.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{|x|}{x} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|x|}{x} = 1.$$

We are not claiming that the limitation of the Sandwich theorem is not being able to determine the  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$ . We are stating that the limitation of Sandwich theorem is its requirement of the existence of the two sided limits for the bounding functions thus not being able to determine the above limit with the above bounds.

## 2 Thakuri's Extension of the Sandwich Theorem for two sided limits

**Theorem 2.1** (Thakuri's Extension). *Suppose  $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x)$  in some open interval containing  $c$ , except possibly at  $x = c$  itself. Suppose also that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} h(x) = L.$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ .

Now using the Thakuri's extension of the Sandwich theorem we can use the above bounds to conclude that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} = 1$  as follows.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} -\frac{|x|}{x} = 1 \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|x|}{x} = 1$$

Hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} = 1$ .

## 2.1 Remarks on the our extension of Sandwich theorem

- While the  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$  can be determined by the sandwich theorem using the tighter-bound  $\cos x \leq \sin x/x \leq 1$  [1], the purpose of our extension of the Sandwich theorem is not to assert that this limit cannot be determined by the Sandwich theorem. This limit can be determined without the Sandwich theorem as well.
- The purpose of our extension of the Sandwich theorem is to loosen the criterion of the sandwich theorem so that even for the bounds as illustrated above where two sided limits do not exists, it is applicable to use the sandwich theorem.
- The question of whether there exists any limit which can be determined by our extension but not by the classical sandwich theorem is another research problem that our research brings to the mathematics community.

## 2.2 Proof of Thakuri's Extension of the Sandwich Theorem

**Definition 2.2** (Precise definition of limit). [5] Let  $f(x)$  be defined on an open interval about  $c$ , except possibly  $c$  itself. We say that the limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $c$  is the number  $L$ , and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$$

, if, for every number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a corresponding number  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x$ ,

$$0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon.$$

**Definition 2.3** (One-sided limits). [5] We say that  $f(x)$  has right-hand limit  $L$  at  $c$ , and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = L$$

if for every number  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a corresponding number  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x$

$$c < x < c + \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon.$$

and we say that  $f$  has left-hand limit  $L$  at  $c$ , and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x) = L$$

if for every number  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a corresponding number  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x$

$$c - \delta < x < c \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon.$$

68 *Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} g(x) = L \implies \exists \delta_1 > 0 : 0 < c - x < \delta_1 \implies |g(x) - L| < \epsilon \quad (1)$$

$$\implies \exists \delta_1 > 0 : -\delta_1 < x - c < 0 \implies -\epsilon < g(x) - L < \epsilon \quad (2)$$

$$\implies \exists \delta_1 > 0 : -\delta_1 < x - c < 0 \implies L - \epsilon < g(x) < L + \epsilon \quad (3)$$

69 Again,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} h(x) = L \implies \exists \delta_2 > 0 : 0 < x - c < \delta_2 \implies |h(x) - L| < \epsilon \quad (4)$$

$$\implies \exists \delta_2 > 0 : 0 < x - c < \delta_2 \implies L - \epsilon < h(x) < L + \epsilon \quad (5)$$

70 Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Then for  $g(x) < f(x) < h(x)$  and  $\delta > 0$  we have, from 3 and 5,

71  $L - \epsilon < g(x) < h(x) < L + \epsilon$  [ $\because g(x) < h(x)$ ] so we have,

$$-\delta < x - c < \delta \implies L - \epsilon < g(x) < f(x) < h(x) < L + \epsilon \quad (6)$$

$$|x - c| < \delta \implies L - \epsilon < f(x) < L + \epsilon \quad (7)$$

$$\implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon \quad (8)$$

72 Hence,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ . This proves the extended theorem.  $\square$

### 73 3 Previous Works on One-sided limits and Inequalities

#### 75 3.1 Hardy's discussion on one-sided limits

76 The proper use of one-sided limits in comparing the limits of two functions was done by  
 77 Hardy in his book [2]. There Hardy shows that inequalities between functions are preserved  
 78 in the limit but the strictness of the inequalities is not preserved. That is, if  $g(x) < f(x)$   
 79 for  $x \in (c - \delta, c)$ , for some  $\delta > 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} g(x) = L$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = M$ , then  $L \leq M$   
 80 [2]. But the converse is not true as Hardy shows,  $L \leq M$  does not necessarily imply  
 81  $g(x) < f(x)$ .

82 This result is crucial in handling the inequalities in limits. Hardy highlights that limits  
 83 "smooth out" strict inequalities, converting  $<$  or  $>$  into  $\leq$  or  $\geq$  [2]. This is crucial for  
 84 setting inequalities in calculus (e.g., **sandwich theorem**) and understanding continuity  
 85 and differentiability.

Combining the Hardy's results for the both one-sided limits we can easily obtain:  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  some open interval containing  $c$ , except possibly at  $x = c$  itself, and if both the limits of  $g(x)$  and  $f(x)$  exists, as  $x \rightarrow c$ , then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x).$$

86 And this paved a way to the Sandwich theorem. So, in some way this discussion of Hardy  
 87 is the basis for the Sandwich theorem and its variants. Next we discuss one-sided version  
 88 of the Sandwich theorem which are as follows:

**Theorem 3** (The Sandwich Theorem for one-sided limits)

[5]

**1. For Left-hand Limit**

Suppose  $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x)$  in some open interval  $(c - \delta, c)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose also that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} h(x) = L.$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} f(x) = L$ .

**2. For Right-hand Limit**

Suppose  $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x)$  in some open interval  $(c, c + \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose also that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} h(x) = L.$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = L$ .

The proof of these one-sided theorems directly follows from the proof, given by Rudin, of the Sandwich theorem 1.1 for two-sided limits [3].

### 3.2 Distinction of our extension from the other's:

- While the variant, Theorem 3 of Sandwich theorem incorporates one-one sided limits; this variant is for one-sided limits only. Our Theorem 2.1 not only incorporates the one-sided limits on the criterion and but is for two-sided limits.
- The variant, Theorem 3 and other variant of the Sandwich theorem for the one-sided limits is analogous to the classical Sandwich theorem for two-side limits. These variants are for the one-side limits. Our variant is for the two-sided limits. The left-hand limit and right-hand limit in our variant is only to loosen the criterion of the Sandwich theorem.

## 4 Significance of the Thakuri's Extension

1. The Thakuri's extension of Sandwich theorem for two-sided limits has made significance in relaxing the criterion of the classical Sandwich theorem for two sided limits. This version of Sandwich theorem allows us to use the even the bounds for which only one-sided limits exists.
2. Another significance of the Thakuri's extension is, it has brought another research problem to the mathematics community. The question of whether there exists any limit which can be determined by our extension but not by the classical sandwich theorem.

## 5 Conclusion

- Even though the Thakuri's extension of Sandwich theorem seems obvious, it is still is a new variant which the significance discussed above.
- For the common problems Thakuri's extension may not have a significant advantage but for some specific problem it can have a significant advantage. Also, having a new way to solve problem can give new perspective and new insights.
- Even though we have been able to show the distinction of the Thakuri's version version from the other version's that are out there, the Thakuri's version is inspired from the other versions, especially from the one-side limit version 3.
- Even though our work is done independently from the Hardy's discussion and Tao's approach, later we found that our work has great connection with them. We found that our work has a base with Hardy's discussion, as Hardy's discussion is the basis for a limit involving one-side limits and inequalities. We found that our approach of use of one-side limit to analyze two-sided limit matches with the Tao's approach to use of one-sided limit to analyze limit and continuity [4].

## 6 Acknowledgments

We would thank the **B.Tech AI Batch, B.E Computer Engineering, B.E Geomatics Engineering student's** of batch 2024 of, Kathmandu University, Dhulikhel, Kavre, Nepal, for inspiring us this new idea, while teaching this topic to them.

We would also like to thank the organizing committee, especially the Department of Mathematics of Kathmandu University, of *the International Conference on Non-linear Analysis and Optimization* was held on May 08 -10, 2025 at Kathmandu University, Nepal for selecting this paper for presentation. Finally I would like to thank the *B.Sc Data Science student's* of batch 2024 for enthusiastically supporting my presentation on this conference.

## References

- [1] Boules, A. N. (2021). *Fundamentals of Mathematical Analysis*.
- [2] Hardy, G. H. (1961) *A Course of Pure Mathematics, 10th edition*. Cambridge University Press, London.
- [3] Rudin, W. (1976) *Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition*. McGraw Hill, London.
- [4] Tao, T. (2023) *Analysis I, 2nd edition*. Springer Nature, Singapore.
- [5] Thomas, G. B. (2017) *Thomas' Calculus*. Pearson India Education Services Pvt. Ltd, India.