



**Thank you for taking part in the outreach day. I hope you found it interesting and informative. Your input has been a great help for my investigation.**

## **Section A: Evaluation of research findings**

My research highlighted various types of ambiguity present in the usage of taxonomic entity mentions (scientific nomenclature and vernacular variants). These include:

Broader versus narrower meaning: authorship, vernacular versus scientific, contextual specificity Contradictions between the corpus data and the curated resources

**A1. Were you aware of all these types of ambiguities in nomenclature usage?**

Yes

No

**A2. Do these ambiguities cause problems for you in your work? Why (not)?**

Yes

No

Comment

Other

Comment

Other

**A3. Do you think the way this research presents the characterisations provides a practical approach to dealing with any of these ambiguities?**

Yes

No

**A4. If so, which ones?**

Authorship



Vernacular versus scientific

Contextual specificity

Contradictions between knowledge resources and data sets

Gaps in knowledge resources

**A5. How useful could this research be for your work (1 = least useful to 5 = most useful)?**

1

2

3

4

5

**A6. Can you think of a possible application of this method in your work (such as for checking consistency of usage across data sets, checking for suitable knowledge resources, etc.)**

Yes

No

Maybe

**A7. If so, what application could you foresee?**

**Section B: Evaluation of outreach day**

**B1. What is the most interesting thing you learned today (if anything)?**



**B2. How would you rate the outreach day overall? (1 = lowest to 5 = highest)**

1	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Thank you for taking the time to fill out this evaluation questionnaire and for taking part in the outreach day.**