

# CSL253 - Theory of Computation

## Tutorial 7

### Team Members

1. Arpit Bhomia - 12340340
2. Ashish Ranjan - 12340370
3. Kethu Shanmukha Reddy - 12341160

### Question 13

Prove that  $EQ_{DFA_L}$  is decidable by testing the two DFAs on all strings up to a certain size (called limit DFA).

# Solution

## 1. Problem Understanding

We are given the language:

$$\text{EQ}_{\text{DFA}_L} = \{\langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs and } L(A) = L(B)\}$$

Our goal is to prove that this language is decidable by testing  $A$  and  $B$  on all strings up to a certain length — this method is known as the **\*\*Limit DFA Method\*\***.

## 2. Key Idea: The Limit Theorem

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be DFAs with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  states respectively. Then: - If  $L(A) \neq L(B)$ , there exists a string  $w$  such that  $w \in L(A) \triangle L(B)$  (i.e.,  $w$  is accepted by one but not the other). - Such a distinguishing string  $w$  exists with  $|w| < n_1 \cdot n_2$ .

**Therefore**, to check whether  $L(A) = L(B)$ , it is enough to test all strings  $w$  with length less than  $n_1 \cdot n_2$ .

## 3. Step-by-Step Decidability Algorithm (Limit DFA Method)

### Step 1: Get Number of States

Let:

$$|Q_A| = n_1, \quad |Q_B| = n_2$$

These are the number of states in DFA  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

### Step 2: Generate All Strings Up to Length $(n_1 \cdot n_2 - 1)$

Enumerate all strings  $w \in \Sigma^*$  such that:

$$|w| < n_1 \cdot n_2$$

### Step 3: Compare Outputs of $A$ and $B$

For each string  $w$ , simulate both  $A$  and  $B$  on input  $w$ :

- If  $A$  and  $B$  both accept or both reject  $w$ , continue.
- If one accepts and the other rejects, then  $L(A) \neq L(B)$ . **Reject**.

## Step 4: Accept if No Differences Found

If for all strings  $w$  with  $|w| < n_1 \cdot n_2$ , the outputs of  $A$  and  $B$  are identical, then:

$$L(A) = L(B)$$

Accept.

## 4. Final Algorithm

Given DFAs  $A$  and  $B$ :

1. Let  $n_1 = |Q_A|$ ,  $n_2 = |Q_B|$ .
2. Let  $k = n_1 \cdot n_2$ .
3. For all strings  $w \in \Sigma^*$  where  $|w| < k$ :
  - Simulate  $A$  and  $B$  on  $w$ .
  - If outputs differ, reject.
4. If all outputs match, accept.

## 5. Conclusion

The language  $\text{EQ}_{\text{DFA}_L}$  is decidable because:

1. We only need to compare  $A$  and  $B$  on finitely many strings — those of length less than  $n_1 \cdot n_2$ .
2. Simulation of DFAs is efficient and decidable.
3. If no distinguishing string is found, the DFAs must accept the same language.

Hence, there exists a Turing machine that decides whether two DFAs accept the same language using the Limit DFA Method.

### Question 23

Let  $\text{PAL}_{\text{DFA}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a DFA that accepts some palindrome}\}$ . Show that  $\text{PAL}_{\text{DFA}}$  is decidable.

## Solution

### 1. Problem Understanding

We are given the language:

$$\text{PAL}_{\text{DFA}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a DFA and } L(M) \cap \text{PAL} \neq \emptyset\}$$

Where **PAL** is the set of all palindromes over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Our goal is to show that  $\text{PAL}_{\text{DFA}}$  is decidable, i.e., there exists a Turing machine that decides whether a given DFA  $M$  accepts at least one palindrome.

### 2. Key Idea

The key idea is to use the fact that: - The set of palindromes, **PAL**, is a context-free language (CFL). - The intersection of a regular language (DFA) and a context-free language is a context-free language. - Emptiness for CFLs (given by a CFG or PDA) is decidable.

We can construct a context-free language  $L = L(M) \cap \text{PAL}$  and test whether  $L$  is empty. If it is not empty, then  $M$  accepts some palindrome.

### 3. Step-by-Step Decidability Algorithm

#### Step 1: Represent the Set of Palindromes

Let **PAL** be the language:

$$\text{PAL} = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w = w^R\}$$

This language is context-free. There exists a CFG  $G_{\text{PAL}}$  that generates all palindromes.

#### Step 2: Construct CFG for the Intersection

Let  $M$  be a DFA. We know that for any DFA  $M$  and any CFG  $G$ , there is a construction to obtain a new CFG  $G'$  such that:

$$L(G') = L(M) \cap L(G)$$

So, construct a CFG  $G'$  such that:

$$L(G') = L(M) \cap \text{PAL}$$

### Step 3: Check Emptiness of CFG

Use the decidable procedure for the emptiness problem of context-free grammars:

$$\text{Is } L(G') = \emptyset?$$

This problem is decidable. So: - If  $L(G') = \emptyset$ , reject. - If  $L(G') \neq \emptyset$ , accept.

## 4. Final Algorithm

Given a DFA  $M$ , do the following:

1. Construct a CFG  $G_{PAL}$  that generates all palindromes.
2. Construct a CFG  $G'$  for the intersection  $L(M) \cap L(G_{PAL})$ .
3. Test if  $L(G') = \emptyset$ :
  - If yes, reject (i.e.,  $M$  accepts no palindrome).
  - If no, accept (i.e.,  $M$  accepts at least one palindrome).

## 5. Conclusion

The language  $PAL_{DFA}$  is decidable because:

1. We can construct a CFG for palindromes.
2. We can compute the intersection of a DFA and a CFG.
3. We can decide if the resulting CFG is empty.

Hence, there exists a Turing machine that decides whether a DFA accepts some palindrome.