

Excess Risk Decomposition

David Rosenberg

New York University

February 3, 2016

Review: Statistical Learning Theory

Statistical Learning Theory Framework

The Spaces

- \mathcal{X} : input space
- \mathcal{Y} : output space
- \mathcal{A} : action space

Decision Function

A **decision function** produces an action $a \in \mathcal{A}$ for any input $x \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f: \mathcal{X} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ x &\mapsto f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Loss Function

A **loss function** evaluates an action in the context of the output y .

$$\begin{aligned} \ell: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Y} &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ (a, y) &\mapsto \ell(a, y) \end{aligned}$$

The Gold Standard: Bayes Decision Function

Definition

The **expected loss** or “**risk**” of a decision function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is

$$R(f) = \mathbb{E}\ell(f(x), y),$$

where the expectation taken is over $(x, y) \sim P_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}$.

Definition

A **Bayes decision function** $f^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a function that achieves the *minimal risk* among all possible functions:

$$R(f^*) = \inf_f \mathbb{E}\ell(f(x), y).$$

- But risk function cannot be computed because we don't know $P_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}$.

Empirical Risk Minimization

- Let $\mathcal{D}_n = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))$ be drawn i.i.d. from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}$.

Definition

The **empirical risk** of $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with respect to \mathcal{D}_n is

$$\hat{R}_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i).$$

- Minimizing empirical risk over all functions leads to overfitting.

Constrain to a Hypothesis Space

- Hypothesis space \mathcal{F} , a set of functions mapping $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$
 - Example hypothesis spaces?
- **Empirical risk minimizer (ERM) in \mathcal{F} is**


$$\hat{f}_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i).$$

- **Risk minimizer in \mathcal{F} is**

$$\hat{f}_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E} \ell(f(x), y).$$

Excess Risk Decomposition

Error Decomposition



$$f^* = \arg \min_f \mathbb{E} \ell(f(X), Y)$$

$$f_{\mathcal{F}} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E} \ell(f(X), Y)$$

$$\hat{f}_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i)$$

- **Approximation Error** (of \mathcal{F}) = $R(f_{\mathcal{F}}) - R(f^*)$
- **Estimation error** (of \hat{f}_n in \mathcal{F}) = $R(\hat{f}_n) - R(f_{\mathcal{F}})$

Figure from Sasha Rakhlin's MLSS Lectures (2012):
<http://yosinski.com/mlss12/MLSS-2012-Rakhlin-Statistical-Learning-Theory/>

Excess Risk

Definition

The **excess risk** compares the risk of f to the Bayes optimal f^* :

$$\text{Excess Risk}(f) = R(f) - R(f^*)$$

- Can excess risk ever be negative?

Excess Risk Decomposition for ERM

- The excess risk of the ERM \hat{f}_n can be decomposed:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Excess Risk}(\hat{f}_n) &= R(\hat{f}_n) - R(f^*) \\ &= \underbrace{R(\hat{f}_n) - R(f_{\mathcal{F}})}_{\text{estimation error}} + \underbrace{R(f_{\mathcal{F}}) - R(f^*)}_{\text{approximation error}}.\end{aligned}$$

Approximation Error

Approximation error $R(f_{\mathcal{F}}) - R(f^*)$ is

- a property of the class \mathcal{F}
- the penalty for restricting to \mathcal{F} rather than all possible functions

Bigger \mathcal{F} mean *smaller* approximation error.

Concept check: Is approximation error a random or non-random variable?

Estimation Error

Estimation error $R(\hat{f}_n) - R(f_{\mathcal{F}})$

- is the performance hit for choosing f using finite training data
- is the performance hit for using empirical risk rather than true risk

With *smaller* \mathcal{F} we expect *smaller* estimation error.

Concept check: Is estimation error a random or non-random variable?

ERM Overview

- Given a loss function $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.
- Choose hypothesis space \mathcal{F} .
- Use an optimization method to find ERM $\hat{f}_n \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\hat{f}_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i).$$

- Data scientist's job:
 - choose \mathcal{F} to balance between approximation and estimation error.
 - as we get more training data, use a bigger \mathcal{F}

ERM in Practice

- We've been cheating a bit by writing “argmin”.
- In practice, we need a method to find $\hat{f}_n \in \mathcal{F}$.
- For nice choices of loss functions and classes \mathcal{F} , the algorithmic problem can be solved to any desired accuracy
 - But takes time – is it worth it?
- For neural networks, we have no idea how to find $\hat{f}_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

Optimization Error

- In practice, we don't find the ERM $\hat{f}_n \in \mathcal{F}$.
- We find $\tilde{f}_n \in \mathcal{F}$ that we hope is good enough.
- **Optimization error:** If \tilde{f}_n is the function our optimization method returns, and \hat{f}_n is the empirical risk minimizer, then

$$\text{Optimization Error} = R(\tilde{f}_n) - R(\hat{f}_n).$$

- Can optimization error be negative?


Error Decomposition in Practice

Excess risk decomposition for function \tilde{f}_n returned by algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Excess Risk}(\tilde{f}_n) &= R(\tilde{f}_n) - R(f^*) \\
 &= \underbrace{R(\tilde{f}_n) - R(\hat{f}_n)}_{\text{optimization error}} + \underbrace{R(\hat{f}_n) - R(f_{\mathcal{F}}^*)}_{\text{estimation error}} + \underbrace{R(f_{\mathcal{F}}^*) - R(f^*)}_{\text{approximation error}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Excess Risk Decomposition: Example

A Simple Classification Problem



$$\mathcal{Y} = \{\text{blue}, \text{orange}\}$$

$$P_{\mathcal{X}} = \text{Uniform}([0, 1]^2)$$



$$\mathbb{P}(\text{orange} \mid x_1 > x_2) = .9$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{orange} \mid x_1 < x_2) = .1$$

Bayes Error Rate = 0.1

Binary Decision Trees on \mathbf{R}^2

- Consider a binary tree on $\{(X_1, X_2) \mid X_1, X_2 \in \mathbf{R}\}$





From *An Introduction to Statistical Learning, with applications in R* (Springer, 2013) with permission from the authors: G. James, D. Witten, T. Hastie and R. Tibshirani.

Hypothesis Space: Decision Tree



- $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \text{all decision tree classifiers on } [0, 1]^2 \right\}$
- $\mathcal{F}_d = \left\{ \text{all decision tree classifiers on } [0, 1]^2 \text{ with DEPTH} \leq d \right\}$
- We'll consider

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_3 \subset \mathcal{F}_4 \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{15}$$



- Bayes error rate = 0.1

Theoretical Best in \mathcal{F}_2 



- Risk Minimizer in \mathcal{F}_2 (e.g. assuming **infinite training data**)
- Risk = $P(\text{error}) = 0.2$
- Approximation Error = $0.2 - 0.1 = 0.1$

Theoretical Best in \mathcal{F}_3 

- Risk Minimizer in \mathcal{F}_3 (e.g. assuming **infinite training data**)
- Risk = $P(\text{error}) = 0.15$
- Approximation Error = $0.15 - 0.1 = 0.05$



Theoretical Best in \mathcal{F}_4 

- Risk Minimizer (e.g. assuming **infinite training data**)
- Risk = $P(\text{error}) = 0.125$
- Approximation Error = $0.125 - 0.1 = 0.025$

Decision Tree in \mathcal{F}_3 Estimated From Sample ($n = 1024$)



$$R(\tilde{f}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{error}) = 0.176 \pm .004$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimation Error} + \text{Optimization Error} &= \underbrace{0.176 \pm .004}_{R(\tilde{f})} - \underbrace{0.150}_{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_3} R(f)} \\ &= .026 \pm .004 \end{aligned}$$

Decision Tree in \mathcal{F}_4 Estimated From Sample ($n = 1024$)



$$R(\tilde{f}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{error}) = 0.144 \pm .005$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimation Error} + \text{Optimization Error} &= \underbrace{0.144 \pm .005}_{R(\tilde{f})} - \underbrace{0.125}_{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_4} R(f)} \\ &= .019 \pm .005 \end{aligned}$$

Decision Tree in \mathcal{F}_6 Estimated From Sample ($n = 1024$)

$$R(\tilde{f}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{error}) = 0.148 \pm .007$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimation Error} + \text{Optimization Error} &= \underbrace{0.148 \pm .007}_{R(\tilde{f})} - \underbrace{0.106}_{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_6} R(f)} \\ &= .042 \pm .008 \end{aligned}$$

Decision Tree in \mathcal{F}_8 Estimated From Sample ($n = 1024$)

$$R(\tilde{f}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{error}) = 0.162 \pm .009$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimation Error} + \text{Optimization Error} &= \underbrace{0.162 \pm .009}_{R(\tilde{f})} - \underbrace{0.102}_{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_8} R(f)} \\ &= .061 \pm .009 \end{aligned}$$

Decision Tree in \mathcal{F}_3 Estimated From Sample ($n = 2048$)



$$R(\tilde{f}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{error}) = 0.146 \pm .006$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimation Error} + \text{Optimization Error} &= \underbrace{0.146 \pm .006}_{R(\tilde{f})} - \underbrace{0.102}_{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_3} R(f)} \\ &= .045 \pm .006 \end{aligned}$$

Decision Tree in \mathcal{F}_8 Estimated From Sample ($n = 8192$)

$$R(\tilde{f}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{error}) = 0.121 \pm .002$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimation Error} + \text{Optimization Error} &= \underbrace{0.121 \pm .002}_{R(\tilde{f})} - \underbrace{0.102}_{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_3} R(f)} \\ &= .019 \pm .002 \end{aligned}$$

Risk Summary



Why do some curves have confidence bands and others not?

Excess Risk Decomposition



Why do some curves have confidence bands and others not?