# STATS133 Final Project

Nick Rodriguez, Navya Putta, Anna Yea Jung and Bret Hart

December 12, 2015

# A Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Text

### Purpose of the Project

The question we wanted to answer is simple. How do people feel about certain fast food establishments? We attempted to answer this question by taking a look at the tweets that people messaged to certain resturants' Twitter accounts. The restaurants we looked at were In-n-Out Burger, McDonald's, Ben & Jerry's and Wendy's.

Text has always been a rich source of data, and we feel that all analysts should become familiar with it if they are not already. So, this project was fantastic practice to tackle text analysis and basics mining methods.

In order to answer our question, we had to discover how to measure people's sentiments. The team ended up utilizing useful packages in order to perform the desired analyses.

#### **Data Extraction**

The data came from many sources that were essentially pipelined through the **twitteR** package. We collected the tweets that were messaged to a certain restaurant's Twitter account.

Here is an example query that we would send in a Twitter session in R:

> McDsample <- searchTwitter(searchString = "to:McDonalds" , n = 200, lang = "en")

However, to interact with Twitter, you'll need to go through the authentication process. There are directions to do this in the DataAcquisition.R file which can be found in the subdirectory, **DataAcquisition**, of the **RawData** directory. When it comes to the actual queries that someone may wish to submit, we found the Twitter Search API page very helpful in creating our own queries.

#### Cleaning the Raw Data

Our data came in the form of character vectors. With that being said, we worked closely with the regular expressions in order to clean the tweets. We had to remove retweet instances, punctuation and other unneeded strings like emotions. The process of trimming emotions was interesting becaused we used the iconv() function to convert a character vector's encoding from "latin1" to "ASCII". This conversion as a result trimmed away the syntax.

Furthermore, we took advantage of R's tendency to vectorize data, which makes our cleaning script very powerful for Twitter text data. With the help of a regular expression cheat sheet found online, we created a function that deleted both leading and trailing white spaces that were of excess.

However, there is still a challenge that taunts our script. We have yet to address words that possess repeating characters such as *sweeeeeeeet*. The difficult part is because some words are correctly spelled with repeating characters like *free*. There is also a problem of misspelled words and the annoying tendency for people to put many words together.

## Transforming the Data Further


After the cleanup, we possessed vectors of character type data. We needed to conduct sentiment analysis to actually answer our question. The **sentiment** package provided us with the methods we needed to get this data. However, the **sentiment** package is no longer supported on CRAN, so you must download the source code. Here are the commands in order to achieve this.

```
> install_url("http://cran.r-project.org/src/contrib/Archive/Rstem/Rstem_0.4-1.tar.gz")
> install_url("http://cran.r-project.org/src/contrib/Archive/sentiment/sentiment_0.2.tar.gz")
```

#### A Quick Analysis Before We Go On

If you avert your attention to the **WendysExploratory.Rmd** in the **Scripts** directory, at the top of the script, we took a look at the mobile devices that tweets were sent from. A cool fact we found out, that actually shouldn't be of too much surprise, is that most devices used are iPhones. Follow up on the **Wendy's** related scripts for further details. Here is a nice plot that shows this. Again, this is only for **Wendy's** tweets.

# Frequencies of Different Tweet Sources



#### Classifying Tweets By Emotion

In order to classify tweets under a certain sentiment, we used the <code>classify\_emotion()</code> function. The function essentially uses a naive Bayes classifier that is trained on an emotion lexicon from Carlo Strapparava and Alessandro Valitutti. The emotions are the following: <code>anger</code>, <code>disgust</code>, <code>joy</code>, <code>sadness</code>, <code>surprise</code> and <code>fear</code>. If a string cannot be classified, we classified it as <code>Unknown</code>. So, first we would load a text file that carried some clean data. Next we would implement the method of on all the tweets in that file.

- > McDtext <- readLines("./CleanData/CleanMcDTweets.txt")
- > McDemotions <- classify\_emotion(McDtext, algorithm = "bayes", prior = 1)
- > head(McDemotions)


ANGER DISGUST FEAR JOY

```
[1,] "1.46871776464786" "3.09234031207392" "2.06783599555953" "1.02547755260094" [2,] "1.46871776464786" "3.09234031207392" "2.06783599555953" "1.02547755260094" [3,] "1.46871776464786" "3.09234031207392" "2.06783599555953" "1.02547755260094" [4,] "1.46871776464786" "3.09234031207392" "2.06783599555953" "1.02547755260094" [5,] "1.46871776464786" "3.09234031207392" "2.06783599555953" "1.02547755260094" [6,] "1.46871776464786" "3.09234031207392" "2.06783599555953" "1.02547755260094" SADNESS SURPRISE BEST_FIT [1,] "1.7277074477352" "2.78695866252273" NA [2,] "1.7277074477352" "2.78695866252273" NA [4,] "1.7277074477352" "2.78695866252273" NA [4,] "1.7277074477352" "2.78695866252273" NA [5,] "1.7277074477352" "2.78695866252273" NA [6,] "1.7277074477352" "2.78695866252273" NA
```

If you take a look at the output of  $classify\_emotion()$ , you can see that it creates a data frame. The values represent absolute log likelihoods, which signifies that the higher the value then the greater likelihood that tweet is classified in that sentiment.

```
> McDEmobestfit <- McDemotions[ , 7]
> McDEmobestfit[is.na(McDEmobestfit)] <- "Unknown"
> McDemofreq <- table(McDEmobestfit)
> barplot(McDemofreq)
```

# Frequencies of McDonald's Tweet Sentiments



#### Classifying Tweets by Polarity

The **sentiments** package also provided us with a *classify\_polarity()* function that will categorize a string as **positive**, **negative** or **neutral**. The process is the same as we did previously to get the emotions.

```
> McDPolar <- classify_polarity(McDtext, algorithm = "bayes")</pre>
> McDPolbestfit <- McDPolar[ , 4]</pre>
> McDSentiments <- data.frame(text = McDtext,
                            Emotion = McDEmobestfit,
                             Polarity = McDPolbestfit,
                             stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
> tail(McDSentiments)
                                                         text Emotion Polarity
194
                             youre breakfasts are heart eyes
                                                                   joy positive
195 single fuc it by prod by cutitfreestyle listen retweet Unknown positive
196
                                                 i got school Unknown positive
197
                                                   yes please
                                                                   joy positive
                                   strahan cardigan shadyside Unknown positive
198
199
      youre so welcome your chicken biscuits are to die for Unknown positive
```

After creating a data frame, that holds both Emotion and Polarity classifications and the corresponding text, we could ask some basic questions.

```
#How many tweets are classified with a joyful emotion?
> length(McDSentiments$Emotion[McDSentiments$Emotion == "joy"])
[1] 41
#How many entries are classified with a positive polarity?
> length(McDSentiments$Polarity[McDSentiments$Polarity == "positive"])
[1] 119
```

#### More Exploratory Data Analysis

Next, we wanted to be able to label words of a tweet with its corresponding parts of speech (POS). So we installed and loaded the **openNLP** package and cite the tagPOS function. We had to search for this function because it might not have been a built-in function of the natural language processing packages.

This part of the analysis was especially annoying because applying the tagPOS() function to many character vectors was hard on the memory. I recommend to the following if you want to try out this type of analysis: (1) try relieving the R environment of unneeded values and data (2) segment the character vector in to smaller chunks like in this example below.


**WARNING**: We had another issue with the tagPOS() function, where we it would fall prey to a cannot coerce into data frame error. There are some actions that may have brought about this error. I suggest you only load the following packages and only once in one R session: **sentiment**, **openNLP**, and **stringr**. The packages were also loaded in that order. Keep in mind that **sentiment** also loads the **NLP** packages which will be needed. You may want to try loading the **ggplot2** package before you load the three previously mentioned packages. If **ggplot2** is loaded after, the "annotate" object is masked from **NLP** which may be the source of the problem.

However, if successfully implemented, tagPOS() will create a data frame with two rows and the number of columns depends on the length of your character vector. The row that was of interested is labeled as "POStags" which attaches the POS to a word in the string. We created a function, posFreqPlot(), that would (1) parse out the POS which were separated by a backslash character, (2) accumulate all the POS and (3) plot the frequency of POS. The input for this function is actually the data frame that we would receive from tagPOS().

```
> joyfulTweets <- McDSentiments$text[McDSentiments$Emotion == "joy"]</pre>
```

- > joyfulTags1to20 <- sapply(joyfulTweets[1:20], tagPOS)</pre>
- > joyfulTags21to41 <- sapply(joyfulTweets[21:41], tagPOS)</pre>
- > joyfulTagsDF <- cbind(joyfulTags1to20, joyfulTags21to41)</pre>
- > posFreqPlot(joyfulTagsDF)





If you're interested, you can look at what the POS codes signify by going to this link.

#### Taking a Closer Look at the Words

14

17

Other analyses we dove into were to plot frequencies of actual words. The process was inspired by Basics of Text Mining from RStudio. First, we tried to create the actual frequency table.

#In order to do this, I want to create a list of the files I have in a certain #directory. Of course, w

Note: the sentiment package already loads the tm package for you.

14

> McDCorpus <- Corpus(DirSource(dirname(path = "./CleanData/CleanMcDTweets.txt")))
#I attempt to get rid of frequent words that are not of interest (ie. "and", "a")
> McDCorpus <- tm\_map(McDCorpus, removeWords, stopwords("english"))
#Now I create a Document Term Matrix of only part of the list I created earlier.
#I use the first index only because that corresponds to the CleanMcDTweets.txt #file.
> McDDtm <- DocumentTermMatrix(McDCorpus[1])
#Next, I create a table of word frequencies
> freqDF <- colSums(as.matrix(McDDtm))
> head(freqDF)
mcdonalds just one amp fries can

13


12

13

```
> tail(freqDF)
                                              youll
                                                                        young
                 yorktown
                youngest yourcommericalsfoolnoone
                                                                       youve
                                                                            1
#Here are the least frequent words
> freqDF[head(order(freqDF))]
                                                   addy
accents accident
                       ache
                                 act address
       1
                1
                         1
                                   1
                                            1
#The most frequent words
> freqDF[tail(order(freqDF))]
      can
                amp
                        fries
                                    just
                                               one mcdonalds
       12
                 13
                            13
                                      14
                                                 14
                                                           17
```


Now, we attempt to plot the frequencies. We will plot words that have a frequency of at least 5.

```
#First prepare the data frame for ggplot
> freqDF <- sort(colSums(as.matrix(McDDtm)), decreasing = TRUE)</pre>
> plotFreqDF <- data.frame(word = names(freqDF), frequency = freqDF)</pre>
> head(plotFreqDF)
               word frequency
mcdonalds mcdonalds
                            14
just
                just
one
                 one
                            14
                            13
amp
                 amp
                            13
fries
              fries
                            12
can
                 can
> McDWordplot <- ggplot(subset(plotFreqDF, frequency > 5), aes(word, frequency))
> McDWordplot <- McDWordplot + geom_bar(stat = "identity")</pre>
> McDWordplot <- McDWordplot + theme(axis.text =</pre>
                                       element_text(angle = 40, hjust = 1))
> McDWordplot
```



### Visualizations


Another exciting part of the report was to attempt creating word clouds. The aim was to separate words by sentiments and plot them in groups. As a result, we have a nice overview of the words and are able to compare. Below you will find word clouds of **In-n-Out Burger** and **Ben & Jerry's**, respectively.




In case you are unfamiliar with word clouds, the idea is that the larger a text is then the more frequent it was in some larger body of text. If we take a look at the word cloud for **In-n-Out Burger**, we notice some interesting words in some of the sentiment groupings. For example, in the *joy* sentiment we can see that the word *mcdonalds* was mentioned. This can perhaps signify some tweeters' preferences for McDonald's over In-n-Out. Perhaps tweeters are actually comparing the two in favor of In-n-Out. These visualizations only scratch the surface for answering our question. However, we are gaining a good sense of what people are talking about when tweeting about these restaurants. There is also a word cloud for **Ben & Jerry's** available that showed some interesting groupings.

#### Conclusions

To answer our question of what are people's sentiments of these restaurants (as reflected in tweets) seems to show the same trend as we evaluate our data and plots. There seems to be a huge amount of tweets that are classified as *Unknown*, but *joy* tweets are the second largest population. As for polarity, the amount of *positive* tweets triumph over *negative* and *neutral*. These two trends were reflected in our data. Here are some other plots that show this behavior.





An explanation for this conclusion could be that people do not generally tweet sentimental postings about restaurants. Another possibility is to blame the Bayes classifier. It will take much more research to study the latter possibility. Next major steps would be to work more with the quantitative data, so perhaps plot change of log likelihoods across restaurants. Overall, we can perhaps argue that people generally tweet positive things about fast food establishments because perhaps people look forward to eating. Furthermore, they may be either thinking of its food, at the restaurant or on the way to visiting a restaurant.

We would like cite the following sources:

Twitter Search API Regular Expressions Cheat Sheet Sentiment for Consumer Analysis Basics of Text Mining