

# Statistical Connectomics HW #3

Rohit Gummi

February 25, 2015

## The Model

*Sample Space:*  $\Xi_n = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$

Here,  $\mathcal{X} = (0, 1)^{n \times n}$  and is all the graphs with  $n$  nodes/neurons.  $\mathcal{Y} = \{I, E\}^n$  is the categorization of each neuron (excitatory or inhibitory).  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the distribution of the tuning properties. We can look at multiple possibilities for defining  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

The model we chose to use was a stochastic block model,  $SBM(\rho(\mathcal{Z}), \beta(\mathcal{Z}))$ .

## Defining for $\mathcal{Z}$

$\mathcal{Z}$  could be  $(0, 2\pi)^n$  or  $[8]^n$  as in the block paper. Another possibility could be to have it based on other factors where the model is:

$\mathcal{Z} = (0, 1)^{18 \times n}$ . Each node has 18 weights ranging from 0 to 1. The 18 numbers reflect the response to 18 range of angles, so a weight for the average response to angles  $(0, 10]$ , a weight for  $(10, 20]$ ...  $(170, 180]$ .

This is still coarse but it also captures some of the continuous changes over the range of angles.

## The Parameters, $\rho$ and $\beta$

For  $\rho \in \Delta_{18}$ , we can define  $\rho : z \rightarrow \Delta$  where each neuron is mapped to a group based on where which 10 degrees has the largest average response.

In the case of  $\beta \in (0, 1)^{n \times n}$ , it would still be independent of  $Z$ . The responses of the neurons would have to be independent of the connections. It could just be defined by a Bernoulli distribution.