CS4375.003

Simon Kim

Sxk190106

ML from Scratch

1. Two paragraphs comparing and contrasting generative classifiers versus discriminative classifiers. Cite any sources you use.

Generative classifier, such as naïve bayes, tries to model class in how a particular class would generate input data [1]. We call this "learning about the environment". Discriminative classifier, like logistic regression learn what features of the model is useful to distinguish each data. Mathematically, discriminative classifier directly calculates the posterior probability P(y|x) or learn a direct map from input x to label y, and generative classifier tries to learn the joint probability distribution p(x,y) of the inputs x and label y and make their prediction using Bayes rule to calculate the conditional probability, p(y|x). [1]

These two classifiers seems different, but they are similar because both methods use conditional probability to classify. However, the discriminative classifier is more accurate as it tries to directly solve the task, rather than tying to solve a general problem as an intermidiate step as generative models do[1]. This is why accuracy of Logistic regression is better than naïve Bayesian when we implemented them through R.

2. Google this phrase: reproducible research in machine learning. Using 2-3 sources, at least one of which should be academic, write a couple of paragraphs of what this means, why it is important, and how reproducibility can be implemented. Cite your sources using any format.

Reproducibility is a minimal prerequisite for the creation of new knowledge and scientific progress [2]. Through reproducibility in the history of mankind, we have verified existing new technologies and created new technologies. Even in the research of a new era in which machine learning is important or essential, the possibility of verification will be very important.

Reproducibility in machine learning means that you can repeatedly run your algorithm on certain datasets and obtain the same (or similar) results on a particular project. Reproducibility in machine learning means being able to replicate the ML orchestration carried out in a paper, article, or tutorial and getting the same or similar results as the original work. [3]

However, machine learning has a very negative shape for reproducibility. The training of any machine learning models makes use of randomness, and this is especially true for deep learning models, which are trained by a process known as static gradient design [2] It cannot be easily verified by randomness.

As a way to solve this problem, a method of utilizing a shared DevOps platform that guarantees reproducibility, the establishment of a standard neural network is being devised, and it is recommended to leave tracks that others can independently verify.

3. copy/paste runs of your code showing the output

(1) Logistic Regression

(1) Logistic Regression	
Opening file titanic_project.csv. Reading line 1 heading: "","pclass","survived","sex","age"	Median: 1 Range: 1
new length 1046 Closing file titanic_project.csv. Number of records: 1046 Stats for pclass Sum: 2309	Stats for age_train Sum: 23819 Mean: 29.7737 Median: 28 Range: 80
Mean: 2.20746 Median: 2 Range: 2	Stats for pclass_test
Stats for survived Sum: 427 Mean: 0.408222	Sum: 523 Mean: 2.14344 Median: 2 Range: 2
Median: 0 Range: 1	Stats for survived_test
Stats for sex Sum: 658 Mean: 0.629063 Median: 1	Sum: 115 Mean: 0.471311 Median: 0 Range: 1
Range: 1	Stats for sex_test
Stats for age Sum: 31231 Mean: 29.8576 Median: 28 Range: 80	Sum: 147 Mean: 0.602459 Median: 1 Range: 1
Stats for pclass_train Sum: 1780	Stats for age_test
Mean: 2.225 Median: 2 Range: 2	Sum: 7360 Mean: 30.1639 Median: 29 Range: 76
Stats for survived_train Sum: 312 Mean: 0.39 Median: 0 Range: 1	Logistic Regression Coefficients : O: 159.97 1: -23.7194 2: -149.28
Stats for sex_train Sum: 510 Mean: 0.6375 Median: 1 Range: 1	3: -8.71368 Accuracy: 1 Sensitivity: 1 Specificity: 1 Elapsed time in milliseconds: 55887 ms
Stats for age_train Sum: 23819	Program terminated. C:#Users#user#source#repos#Log_Reg#Debug#Log

(2) Naïve Bayes

Opening file titanic_project.csv. Reading line 1 heading: "","pclass","survived","sex","age" new length 1046 Stats for age_train Sum: 23819 Mean: 29.7737 Median: 28 Range: 80 Closing file titanic_project.csv. Number of records: 1046 Number of Fecords Stats for polass Sum: 2309 Mean: 2.20746 Median: 2 Range: 2 Stats for pclass_test Sum: 523 Mean: 2.14344 Median: 2 Range: 2 Stats for survived Sum: 427 Mean: 0.408222 Stats for survived_test Sum: 115 Mean: 0.471311 Median: O Median: 0 Range: 1 Range: 1 Stats for sex Sum: 658 Mean: 0.629063 Stats for sex_test Sum: 147 Mean: 0.602459 Median: 1 Range: 1 Median: 1 Range: 1 Stats for age Sum: 31231 Stats for age_test Sum: 7360 Mean: 30.1639 Median: 29 Range: 76 Mean: 29.8576 Median: 28 Range: 80 Split data into train-test Naive-Bayesian Stats for pclass_train Sum: 1780 Mean: 2.225 Median: 2 Prior probability, survived = no, survived = yes. 0.610000 0.390000 Range: 2 Stats for survived_train Sum: 312 Mean: 0.39 0.416667 0.262821 0.320513 _ikelihood for p(sex|survived): Median: 0 Range: 1 Stats for sex_train Sum: 510 Accuracy: 0.000000 Sensitivity: 0.000000 Specificity: 1.000000 Mean: 0.6375 Median: 1 Range: 1 Applied to the first 5 test observations: Stats for age train

```
Naive-Bavesian
Prior probability, survived = no, survived = yes.
0.610000 0.390000
.ikelihood for p(pclass|survived):
sex | class (1:2:3)
    0.172131 0.225410 0.602459
     0.416667 0.262821 0.320513
ikelihood for p(sex|survived):
sex∣ survived:not
    0.159836 0.840164
    0.679487 0.320513
Accuracy: 0.000000
Sensitivity: 0.000000
Specificity: 1.000000
Applied to the first 5 test observations:
0.701591 0.298409
0.540116 0.459884
-0.000000 1.000000
-0.000000 1.000000
-0.000000 1.000000
Elapsed time in milliseconds: 11 ms
Program terminated.
 #Users#user#source#repos#nav bav#Debug#nav bav.exe(
```

4. analyze the results of your algorithms on the Titanic data

Naïve Bayesian program was faster than Logical regression program. This is because the calculation for Naïve Bayesian is easier than Logical regression. Considering that I used a little bit old machine to run both program, 11 ms is remarkable result, comparing to 55sec of Logistic regression algorithm.

I think there was a mistake on cal_acc function on Naïve Bayes code. Because, when I applied raw probability on test data, I could see reasonable result, but the accuracy turned out to 0, while Logistic regression showed 1. Also, the accuracy of 1 seems nice, but since train-predict cannot actually hit every try, accuracy is hard to be 1. Therefore, my code to calculate accuracy and sensitivity had a problem to analyze full data.

But, I am confident to say that algorithm worked fine because likely hood value survived, and logreg code calculated coefficient value well.

From the learning, we can say: if the passenger is female, she might be able to survive better than male passenger. Also, for same male passenger, if he has better class ticket, he might not survive from the accident.

Works cited

- [1] https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/generative-classifiers-vs-discriminative-akanksha-malhotra
- [2] Beam, Andrew L et al. "Challenges to the Reproducibility of Machine Learning Models in Health Care." JAMA vol. 323,4 (2020): 305-306. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.20866
- [3] https://neptune.ai/blog/how-to-solve-reproducibility-in-ml