

हमें हर भारतवासी का सहयोग चाहिये

**अन्याय-शोषण व दमन के खिलाफ
उत्तराखण्ड राज्यके निर्माणके लिए ।**



जागाएँ प्रजेक और बातोंके अस्तित्वात् राज्यका निर्माण के लिए ॥ उत्तराखण्ड राज्यके निर्माण के लिए ।

आम्हाला सर्व मारातीय जनतेया सहयोगपाहिजे प्राहे !
उत्तराखण्ड राज्याच्या निर्माणा सारी !



एव्हेह बद्दोसानां लावतारक्षराज की फिरवीकै
पुरापुरा नुगान जाबै

2कृतीरात्मा अंटमात्रिवांचिर्याणीकृत्यांवाऽ
विन्ध्यात्मकात्म उत्तराखण्डमें



**उत्तराखण्ड राज्याच्या निर्माण सर्वी मठी
हर उत्तराखण्डी दा मरिवेग चर्मीचा रै ।**

WE SEEK SUPPORT OF EVERY INDIAN AGAINST
INJUSTICE, EXPLOITATION AND OPPRESSION
FOR THE CREATION OF UTTRAKHAND STATE

उत्तराखण्ड राज्यके निर्माण के लिए ग्रामीण एकत्र के पात्र में उत्तराखण्ड संस्कृतिक सोसायटी द्वारा संबुद्ध समिति (देहरादून) के नेतृत्वात् आयोजित एक प्रस्तावित.

Regional aspirations are usually expressed in the language of the region and addressed to the local population or the rulers. This unusual poster from Uttarakhand movement appeals to all the Indian citizens in seven different languages and thus underscores the compatibility of the regional aspirations with nationalist sentiments.

In this chapter...

In the first chapter of this book we studied the process of 'nation-building' in the first decade after Independence. But nation-building is not something that can be accomplished once and for all times to come. In the course of time new challenges came up. Some of the old problems had never been fully resolved. As democratic experiment unfolded, people from different regions began to express their aspirations for autonomy. Sometimes these aspirations were expressed outside the framework of the Indian union. These involved long struggles and often aggressive and armed assertions by the people.

This new challenge came to the fore in the 1980s, as the Janata experiment came to an end and there was some political stability at the centre. This decade will be remembered for some major conflicts and accords in the various regions of the country, especially in Assam, the Punjab, Mizoram and the developments in Jammu and Kashmir. In this chapter we study these cases so as to ask some general questions.

- Which factors contribute to the tensions arising out of regional aspirations?
- How has the Indian state responded to these tensions and challenges?
- What kind of difficulties are faced in balancing democratic rights and national unity?
- What are the lessons here for achieving unity with diversity in a democracy?

CHAPTER 8

REGIONAL ASPIRATIONS



12119CH08

Region and the Nation

1980s may be seen as a period of rising regional aspirations for autonomy, often outside the framework of the Indian Union. These movements frequently involved armed assertions by the people, their repression by the government, and a collapse of the political and electoral processes. It is also not surprising that most of these struggles were long drawn and concluded in negotiated settlements or accords between the central government and the groups leading the movement for autonomy. The accords were reached after a process of dialogue that aimed to settle contentious issues within the constitutional framework. Yet the journey to the accord was always tumultuous and often violent.

Indian approach

In studying the Indian Constitution and the process of nation-building we have repeatedly come across one basic principle of the Indian approach to diversity – the Indian nation shall not deny the rights of different regions and linguistic groups to retain their own culture. We decided to live a united social life without losing the distinctiveness of the numerous cultures that constituted it. Indian nationalism sought to balance the principles of unity and diversity. The nation would not mean the negation of the region. In this sense the Indian approach was very different from the one adopted in many European countries where they saw cultural diversity as a threat to the nation.

India adopted a democratic approach to the question of diversity. Democracy allows the political expressions of regional aspirations and does not look upon them as anti-national. Besides, democratic politics allows parties and groups to address the people on the basis of their regional identity, aspiration and specific regional problems. Thus, in the course of democratic politics, regional aspirations get strengthened. At the same time, democratic politics also means that regional issues and problems will receive adequate attention and accommodation in the policy making process.

Such an arrangement may sometimes lead to tensions and problems. Sometimes, the concern for national unity may overshadow the regional needs

Does it mean
that regionalism is
not as dangerous as
communalism? Or may
be, not dangerous at
all?



and aspirations. At other times a concern for region alone may blind us to the larger needs of the nation. Therefore, political conflicts over issues of power of the regions, their rights and their separate existence are common to nations that want to respect diversity while trying to forge and retain unity.

Areas of tension

In the first chapter you have seen how immediately after Independence our nation had to cope with many difficult issues like Partition, displacement, integration of Princely States, reorganisation of states and so on. Many observers, both within the country and from outside, had predicted that India as one unified country cannot last long. Soon after Independence, the issue of Jammu and Kashmir came up. It was not only a conflict between India and Pakistan. More than that, it was a question of the political aspirations of the people of Kashmir valley. Similarly, in some parts of the north-east, there was no consensus about being a part of India. First Nagaland and then Mizoram witnessed strong movements demanding separation from India. In the south, some groups from the Dravid movement briefly toyed with the idea of a separate country.

These events were followed by mass agitations in many parts for the formation of linguistic States. Today's Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Gujarat were among the regions affected by these agitations. In some parts of southern India, particularly Tamil Nadu, there were protests against making Hindi the official national language of the country. In the north, there were strong pro-Hindi agitations demanding that Hindi be made the official language immediately. From the late 1950s, people speaking the Punjabi language started agitating for a separate State for themselves. This demand was finally accepted and the States of Punjab and Haryana were created in 1966. Later, the States of Chhattisgarh, Uttarakhand and Jharkhand were created. Thus the challenge of diversity was met by redrawing the internal boundaries of the country.

Yet this did not lead to resolution of all problems and for all times. In some regions, like Kashmir and Nagaland, the challenge was so complex that it could not be resolved in the first phase of nation-building. Besides, new challenges came up in States like Punjab, Assam and Mizoram. Let us study these cases in some detail. In this process let us also go back to some of the earlier instances of difficulties of nation building. The successes and failures in these cases are instructive not merely for a study of our past, but also for an understanding of India's future.

Why does the
challenge always
come from the border
States?



Jammu and Kashmir

You may have heard about the violence in Jammu and Kashmir (J&K). This has resulted in the loss of many lives and the displacement of many families. The 'Kashmir issue' is always seen as a major issue between India and Pakistan. But the political situation in the State has many dimensions.

Jammu and Kashmir comprises three social and political regions: Jammu, Kashmir and Ladakh. The heart of the Kashmir region is the Kashmir valley; the people are Kashmiri speaking and mostly Muslim with a small Kashmiri speaking Hindu minority. Jammu region is a mix of foothills and plains, of Hindus, Muslims and Sikhs and speakers of various languages. The Ladakh region is mountainous, has very little population which is equally divided between Buddhists and Muslims.

The 'Kashmir issue' is not just a dispute between India and Pakistan. This issue has external and internal dimensions. It involves the issue of Kashmiri identity known as Kashmiriyat and the aspirations of the people of J&K for political autonomy.

Roots of the problem

Before 1947, Jammu and Kashmir (J&K) was a Princely State. Its Hindu ruler, Hari Singh, did not want to merge with India and tried to negotiate with India and Pakistan to have an independent status for his state. The Pakistani leaders thought the Kashmir region 'belonged' to Pakistan, since majority population of the State was Muslim. But this is not how the people themselves saw it – they thought of themselves as Kashmiris above all. The popular movement in the State, led by Sheikh Abdullah of the National Conference, wanted to get rid of the Maharaja, but was against joining Pakistan. The National Conference was a secular organisation and had a long association with the Congress. Sheikh Abdullah was a personal friend of some of the leading nationalist leaders including Nehru.


In October 1947, Pakistan sent tribal infiltrators from its side to capture Kashmir. This forced the Maharaja to ask for Indian military help. India extended the military support and drove back



Note: This illustration is not a map drawn to scale and should not be taken to be an authentic depiction of India's external boundaries.

In that case, why don't they rename the State as "Jammu, Kashmir and Ladakh"? Besides, JKL makes for an easy abbreviation!





**E.V.
Ramasami
Naicker
(1879-1973):**

Known as Periyar (the respected); strong supporter of atheism; famous for his anti-caste struggle and rediscovery of Dravidian identity; initially a worker of the Congress party; started the self-respect movement (1925); led the anti-Brahmin movement; worked for the Justice party and later founded Dravidar Kazhagam; opposed to Hindi and domination of north India; propounded the thesis that north Indians and Brahmins are Aryans.

Dravidian movement

'Vadakku Vaazhkirathu; Therkku Thaeikirathu' [The north thrives even as the south decays]. This popular slogan sums up the dominant sentiments of one of India's most effective regional movements, the Dravidian movement, at one point of time. This was one of the first regional movements in Indian politics. Though some sections of this movement had ambitions of creating a Dravida nation, the movement did not take to arms. It used democratic means like public debates and the electoral platform to achieve its ends. This strategy paid off as the movement acquired political power in the State and also became influential at the national level.

The Dravidian movement led to the formation of Dravidar Kazhagam [DK] under the leadership of Tamil social reformer E.V. Ramasami 'Periyar'. The organisation strongly opposed the Brahmins' dominance and affirmed regional pride against the political, economic and cultural domination of the North. Initially, the Dravidian movement spoke in terms of

the whole of south India; however lack of support from other States limited the movement to Tamil Nadu.

The DK split and the political legacy of the movement was transferred to Dravida

Munnetra Kazhagam (DMK). The DMK made its entry into politics with a three pronged agitation in 1953-54. First, it demanded the restoration of the original name of Kallakudi railway station which had been renamed Dalmiapuram, after an industrial house from the North. This demand brought out its opposition to the North Indian economic and cultural symbols. The second agitation was for



Anti-Hindi agitation in Tamil Nadu, 1965

HINDI PROTAGONISTS ALLEGED BID TO REVERSE POLICY

"The Times of India" News Service
NEW DELHI, December 2

A STORM broke out in the Lok Sabha today during question hour when protagonists of Hindi contested the Government's right to refer the question of medium of instruction to the Education Commission after Parliament had set its seal of approval on the Government's language policy.

Despite the Education Minister, Mr. M. C. Chagla's assurance that there had been no change in the language policy and that the findings of the commission were not binding on the Government, excitement ran high and a spate of points of order

the Government's policy which was quite correct. His remark that the findings of the Commission were not binding on the Government or his Ministry was greeted with loud cries. "Dish why appoint a commission?"

The furore started when Mr. Prakash Vir Shastri asked whether the reference to the Commission meant that the Minister did not agree with the Government's policy? Would it not also mean that Parliament, which had endorsed the policy, was being bypassed?

GOVT. POLICY

Other questions were also similar lines. Mr. Bhagwat Jha Azad said that he had appointed a Commission

before Parliament and it would be open to the House to take whatever attitude it liked on them.

Earlier, answering questions on the report of the Sampurnanand Committee, Mr. Chagla said that he had been consistently taking the position that regional languages should become the media of instruction in universities. But they should go slow in the matter. That was also the recommendation of the National Integration Committee.

He said that Gujarat was the only State which had introduced English from Standard VIII. Most other States had introduced it from Standard V. One or two States were starting English from Standard III.

giving Tamil cultural history greater importance in school curricula. The third agitation was against the craft education scheme of the State government, which it alleged was linked to the Brahmanical social outlook. It also agitated against making Hindi the country's official language. The success of the anti-Hindi agitation of 1965 added to the DMK's popularity.

Sustained political agitations brought the DMK to power in the Assembly elections of 1967. Since then, the Dravidian parties have dominated the politics of Tamil Nadu. Though the DMK split after the death of its leader, C. Annadurai, the influence of Dravidian parties in Tamil politics actually increased. After the split there were two parties – the DMK and the All India Anna DMK (AIADMK) – that claimed Dravidian legacy. Both these parties have dominated politics in Tamil Nadu for the last four decades. Since 1996, one of these parties has been a part of the ruling coalition at the Centre. In the 1990s, many other parties have emerged. These include Marumalarchchi Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (MDMK), Pattali Makkal Katchi (PMK) and Desiya Murpokku Dravida Kazhagam (DMDK). All these parties have kept alive the issue of regional pride in the politics of Tamil Nadu. Initially seen as a threat to Indian nationalism, regional politics in Tamil Nadu is a good example of the compatibility of regionalism and nationalism.

Jeeps, Command Cars
Station Wagons, Chevrolet
Trucks, Used Cars
EXCELLENT CONDITION
and
New B.S.A. Motor Cycles
Pearcy Lal, & Sons Ltd.
New Delhi, Panipat & Rawalpindi

DELHI EDITION
The Hindustan Times
LARGEST CIRCULATION IN NORTHERN, NORTH-WESTERN AND CENTRAL INDIA
Regd. No. L. 1732.

VOL. XXIV. NO. 295 NEW DELHI: TUESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1947. PRICE TWO ANNAS


FRESH ARRIVALS
Light Cavalry Regiment for
Sikhs State in Peshawar, Lahore
Punjab, etc., and other areas
attraction vehicles
OFFERS MADE TO CHIEFS
Visit for The Season
B.RAMCHAND & CO
B.RAMCHAND & CO
11, LAKSHMI NAGAR, NEW DELHI
TELEGRAMS: CHIEFS, NEW DELHI

KASHMIR ACCEDES TO INDIA

PLEBISCITE SOON ON
TROOPS AND ARMS
Flown To Srinagar
CONTACT WITH RAIDERS
NEAR BARA MULA
MORE REINFORCEMENTS
BEING DISPATCHED
Indian Army troops came in contact yesterday afternoon with the invading raiders at a point near Bara Mula, according to information received in New Delhi, where the first reinforcements by Maharaja of Kashmir detachments of Indian troops left Delhi by plane early this morning. They reached Srinagar shortly after 9 a.m. Besides R.I.A.F. transports, a number of transport aircraft from the R.A.F. flew men and ammunition for the protection of the State. The movement of troops was undertaken at short notice and the first squadron

RULER'S DECISION
SHEIKH ABDULLA TO FORM INTERIM GOVT.
UNION TROOPS RUSHED FOR PROTECTION OF STATE
(By Our Special Representative)

NEW DELHI, Monday.—In view of grave emergency the Maharaja of Kashmir has acceded to the Indian Dominion. In a letter to Lord Mountbatten he declares that "the other alternative is to let my people and my people to freebooters." He adds: "This alternative I will never allow to happen so long as I am the Ruler of the State and I have life to defend my country." The Maharaja has also stated that he has decided to invite



**Sheikh
Mohammad
Abdullah
(1905-1982):**
Leader of Jammu and Kashmir;
proponent of autonomy and
secularism for

Jammu and Kashmir; led the popular struggle against princely rule; opposed to Pakistan due to its non-secular character; leader of the National Conference; Prime Minister of J&K immediately after its accession with India in 1947; dismissed and jailed by Government of India from 1953 to 1964 and again from 1965 to 1968; became Chief Minister of the State after an agreement with Indira Gandhi in 1974.

the infiltrators from Kashmir valley, but only after the Maharaja had signed an 'Instrument of Accession' with the Government of India. It was also agreed that once the situation normalised, the views of the people of J&K will be ascertained about their future. Sheikh Abdullah took over as the Prime Minister of the State of J&K (the head of the government in the State was then called Prime Minister) in March 1948. India agreed to maintain the autonomy of Jammu and Kashmir.

External and internal disputes

Since then, the politics of Jammu and Kashmir always remained controversial and conflict ridden both for external and internal reasons. Externally, Pakistan has always claimed that Kashmir valley should be part of Pakistan. As we noted above, Pakistan sponsored a tribal invasion of the State in 1947, as a consequence of which one part of the State came under Pakistani control. India claims that this area is under illegal occupation. Pakistan describes this area as 'Azad Kashmir'. Ever since 1947,

Kashmir has remained a major issue of conflict between India and Pakistan.


Internally, there is a dispute about the status of Kashmir within the Indian union. You know that Kashmir was given a special status by Article 370 in our Constitution. You have studied about the special provisions under Articles 370 and 371 last year in Indian Constitution at Work. Article 370 gives greater autonomy to Jammu and Kashmir compared to other States of India. The State has its own Constitution. All provisions of the Indian Constitution are not applicable to the State. Laws passed by the Parliament apply to J&K only if the State agrees.

This special status has provoked two opposite reactions. There is a section of people outside of J&K that believes that the special status of the State conferred by Article 370 does not allow full integration of the State with India. This section feels that Article 370 should therefore be revoked and J&K should be like any other State in India.

Another section, mostly Kashmiris, believe that the autonomy conferred by Article 370 is not enough. A section of Kashmiris have expressed at least three major grievances. First, the promise that Accession would be referred to the people of the State after the situation created by tribal invasion was normalised, has not been fulfilled. This has generated the demand for a 'Plebiscite'. Secondly, there is a feeling that the special federal status guaranteed by Article 370, has been eroded in practice. This has led to the demand for restoration of autonomy or 'Greater State Autonomy'. Thirdly, it is felt that democracy which is practiced in the rest of India has not been similarly institutionalised in the State of Jammu and Kashmir.

Let's watch a Film

Roja



Tamil film depicting the travails of Roja, a newly wed and doting wife when her husband, Rishi, is abducted by militants. Rishi is a cryptologist who is assigned duty in Kashmir to decode the enemy messages. As love blossoms between the husband and the wife, the husband is kidnapped. The kidnappers demand that their jailed leader, be set free in exchange of Rishi.

Roja's world is shattered and she is seen knocking at the doors of officials and politicians. Since the film has the background of Indo-Pakistan dispute, it made instant appeal. The film was dubbed in Hindi and many other Indian languages.

Year: 1992
 Director: Maniratnam
 Screenplay: Maniratnam
 Cast (Hindi version): Madhu, Arvind Swamy, Pankaj Kapoor, Janagaraj

Politics since 1948

After taking over as the Prime Minister, Sheikh Abdullah initiated major land reforms and other policies which benefited ordinary people. But there was a growing difference between him and the central government about his position on Kashmir's status. He was dismissed in 1953 and kept in detention for a number of years. The leadership that succeeded him did not enjoy as much popular support and was able to rule the State mainly due to the support of the Centre. There were serious allegations of malpractices and rigging in various elections.

During most of the period between 1953 and 1974, the Congress party exercised a lot of influence on the politics of the State. A truncated National Conference (minus Sheikh Abdullah) remained in power with the active support of Congress for some time but later it merged with the Congress. Thus the Congress gained direct control over the government in the State. In the meanwhile, there were several attempts to reach an agreement between Sheikh Abdullah and the Government of India. Finally, in 1974 Indira Gandhi reached an agreement with Sheikh Abdullah and he became the Chief Minister of the State. He revived the National Conference which was elected with

So, Nehru
kept his personal
friend in jail for such
a long period! How did
they feel about it?



The Times of India

Published simultaneously in Bombay, Delhi and Calcutta.

NO. 221, VOL. CXV.

BOMBAY: MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1953

2½ ANNAS



Editor: C. M.
PRESSTIME OF INDIA
M. J. Jacob
Tel: 242-1200
242-1201
242-1202
242-1203
242-1204
242-1205
242-1206
242-1207
242-1208
242-1209
242-1210
242-1211
242-1212
242-1213
242-1214
242-1215
242-1216
242-1217
242-1218
242-1219
242-1220
242-1221
242-1222
242-1223
242-1224
242-1225
242-1226
242-1227
242-1228
242-1229
242-1230
242-1231
242-1232
242-1233
242-1234
242-1235
242-1236
242-1237
242-1238
242-1239
242-1240
242-1241
242-1242
242-1243
242-1244
242-1245
242-1246
242-1247
242-1248
242-1249
242-1250
242-1251
242-1252
242-1253
242-1254
242-1255
242-1256
242-1257
242-1258
242-1259
242-1260
242-1261
242-1262
242-1263
242-1264
242-1265
242-1266
242-1267
242-1268
242-1269
242-1270
242-1271
242-1272
242-1273
242-1274
242-1275
242-1276
242-1277
242-1278
242-1279
242-1280
242-1281
242-1282
242-1283
242-1284
242-1285
242-1286
242-1287
242-1288
242-1289
242-1290
242-1291
242-1292
242-1293
242-1294
242-1295
242-1296
242-1297
242-1298
242-1299
242-1300
242-1301
242-1302
242-1303
242-1304
242-1305
242-1306
242-1307
242-1308
242-1309
242-1310
242-1311
242-1312
242-1313
242-1314
242-1315
242-1316
242-1317
242-1318
242-1319
242-1320
242-1321
242-1322
242-1323
242-1324
242-1325
242-1326
242-1327
242-1328
242-1329
242-1330
242-1331
242-1332
242-1333
242-1334
242-1335
242-1336
242-1337
242-1338
242-1339
242-1340
242-1341
242-1342
242-1343
242-1344
242-1345
242-1346
242-1347
242-1348
242-1349
242-1350
242-1351
242-1352
242-1353
242-1354
242-1355
242-1356
242-1357
242-1358
242-1359
242-1360
242-1361
242-1362
242-1363
242-1364
242-1365
242-1366
242-1367
242-1368
242-1369
242-1370
242-1371
242-1372
242-1373
242-1374
242-1375
242-1376
242-1377
242-1378
242-1379
242-1380
242-1381
242-1382
242-1383
242-1384
242-1385
242-1386
242-1387
242-1388
242-1389
242-1390
242-1391
242-1392
242-1393
242-1394
242-1395
242-1396
242-1397
242-1398
242-1399
242-1400
242-1401
242-1402
242-1403
242-1404
242-1405
242-1406
242-1407
242-1408
242-1409
242-1410
242-1411
242-1412
242-1413
242-1414
242-1415
242-1416
242-1417
242-1418
242-1419
242-1420
242-1421
242-1422
242-1423
242-1424
242-1425
242-1426
242-1427
242-1428
242-1429
242-1430
242-1431
242-1432
242-1433
242-1434
242-1435
242-1436
242-1437
242-1438
242-1439
242-1440
242-1441
242-1442
242-1443
242-1444
242-1445
242-1446
242-1447
242-1448
242-1449
242-1450
242-1451
242-1452
242-1453
242-1454
242-1455
242-1456
242-1457
242-1458
242-1459
242-1460
242-1461
242-1462
242-1463
242-1464
242-1465
242-1466
242-1467
242-1468
242-1469
242-1470
242-1471
242-1472
242-1473
242-1474
242-1475
242-1476
242-1477
242-1478
242-1479
242-1480
242-1481
242-1482
242-1483
242-1484
242-1485
242-1486
242-1487
242-1488
242-1489
242-1490
242-1491
242-1492
242-1493
242-1494
242-1495
242-1496
242-1497
242-1498
242-1499
242-1500
242-1501
242-1502
242-1503
242-1504
242-1505
242-1506
242-1507
242-1508
242-1509
242-1510
242-1511
242-1512
242-1513
242-1514
242-1515
242-1516
242-1517
242-1518
242-1519
242-1520
242-1521
242-1522
242-1523
242-1524
242-1525
242-1526
242-1527
242-1528
242-1529
242-1530
242-1531
242-1532
242-1533
242-1534
242-1535
242-1536
242-1537
242-1538
242-1539
242-1540
242-1541
242-1542
242-1543
242-1544
242-1545
242-1546
242-1547
242-1548
242-1549
242-1550
242-1551
242-1552
242-1553
242-1554
242-1555
242-1556
242-1557
242-1558
242-1559
242-1560
242-1561
242-1562
242-1563
242-1564
242-1565
242-1566
242-1567
242-1568
242-1569
242-1570
242-1571
242-1572
242-1573
242-1574
242-1575
242-1576
242-1577
242-1578
242-1579
242-1580
242-1581
242-1582
242-1583
242-1584
242-1585
242-1586
242-1587
242-1588
242-1589
242-1590
242-1591
242-1592
242-1593
242-1594
242-1595
242-1596
242-1597
242-1598
242-1599
242-1600
242-1601
242-1602
242-1603
242-1604
242-1605
242-1606
242-1607
242-1608
242-1609
242-1610
242-1611
242-1612
242-1613
242-1614
242-1615
242-1616
242-1617
242-1618
242-1619
242-1620
242-1621
242-1622
242-1623
242-1624
242-1625
242-1626
242-1627
242-1628
242-1629
242-1630
242-1631
242-1632
242-1633
242-1634
242-1635
242-1636
242-1637
242-1638
242-1639
242-1640
242-1641
242-1642
242-1643
242-1644
242-1645
242-1646
242-1647
242-1648
242-1649
242-1650
242-1651
242-1652
242-1653
242-1654
242-1655
242-1656
242-1657
242-1658
242-1659
242-1660
242-1661
242-1662
242-1663
242-1664
242-1665
242-1666
242-1667
242-1668
242-1669
242-1670
242-1671
242-1672
242-1673
242-1674
242-1675
242-1676
242-1677
242-1678
242-1679
242-1680
242-1681
242-1682
242-1683
242-1684
242-1685
242-1686
242-1687
242-1688
242-1689
242-1690
242-1691
242-1692
242-1693
242-1694
242-1695
242-1696
242-1697
242-1698
242-1699
242-1700
242-1701
242-1702
242-1703
242-1704
242-1705
242-1706
242-1707
242-1708
242-1709
242-1710
242-1711
242-1712
242-1713
242-1714
242-1715
242-1716
242-1717
242-1718
242-1719
242-1720
242-1721
242-1722
242-1723
242-1724
242-1725
242-1726
242-1727
242-1728
242-1729
242-1730
242-1731
242-1732
242-1733
242-1734
242-1735
242-1736
242-1737
242-1738
242-1739
242-1740
242-1741
242-1742
242-1743
242-1744
242-1745
242-1746
242-1747
242-1748
242-1749
242-1750
242-1751
242-1752
242-1753
242-1754
242-1755
242-1756
242-1757
242-1758
242-1759
242-1760
242-1761
242-1762
242-1763
242-1764
242-1765
242-1766
242-1767
242-1768
242-1769
242-1770
242-1771
242-1772
242-1773
242-1774
242-1775
242-1776
242-1777
242-1778
242-1779
242-1780
242-1781
242-1782
242-1783
242-1784
242-1785
242-1786
242-1787
242-1788
242-1789
242-1790
242-1791
242-1792
242-1793
242-1794
242-1795
242-1796
242-1797
242-1798
242-1799
242-1800
242-1801
242-1802
242-1803
242-1804
242-1805
242-1806
242-1807
242-1808
242-1809
242-1810
242-1811
242-1812
242-1813
242-1814
242-1815
242-1816
242-1817
242-1818
242-1819
242-1820
242-1821
242-1822
242-1823
242-1824
242-1825
242-1826
242-1827
242-1828
242-1829
242-1830
242-1831
242-1832
242-1833
242-1834
242-1835
242-1836
242-1837
242-1838
242-1839
242-1840
242-1841
242-1842
242-1843
242-1844
242-1845
242-1846
242-1847
242-1848
242-1849
242-1850
242-1851
242-1852
242-1853
242-1854
242-1855
242-1856
242-1857
242-1858
242-1859
242-1860
242-1861
242-1862
242-1863
242-1864
242-1865
242-1866
242-1867
242-1868
242-1869
242-1870
242-1871
242-1872
242-1873
242-1874
242-1875
242-1876
242-1877
242-1878
242-1879
242-1880
242-1881
242-1882
242-1883
242-1884
242-1885
242-1886
242-1887
242-1888
242-1889
242-1890
242-1891
242-1892
242-1893
242-1894
242-1895
242-1896
242-1897
242-1898
242-1899
242-1900
242-1901
242-1902
242-1903
242-1904
242-1905
242-1906
242-1907
242-1908
242-1909
242-1910
242-1911
242-1912
242-1913
242-1914
242-1915
242-1916
242-1917
242-1918
242-1919
242-1920
242-1921
242-1922
242-1923
242-1924
242-1925
242-1926
242-1927
242-1928
242-1929
242-1930
242-1931
242-1932
242-1933
242-1934
242-1935
242-1936
242-1937
242-1938
242-1939
242-1940
242-1941
242-1942
242-1943
242-1944
242-1945
242-1946
242-1947
242-1948
242-1949
242-1950
242-1951
242-1952
242-1953
242-1954
242-1955
242-1956
242-1957
242-1958
242-1959
242-1960
242-1961
242-1962
242-1963
242-1964
242-1965
242-1966
242-1967
242-1968
242-1969
242-1970
242-1971
242-1972
242-1973
242-1974
242-1975
242-1976
242-1977
242-1978
242-1979
242-1980
242-1981
242-1982
242-1983
242-1984
242-1985
242-1986
242-1987
242-1988
242-1989
242-1990
242-1991
242-1992
242-1993
242-1994
242-1995
242-1996
242-1997
242-1998
242-1999
242-2000
242-2001
242-2002
242-2003
242-2004
242-2005
242-2006
242-2007
242-2008
242-2009
242-2010
242-2011
242-2012
242-2013
242-2014
242-2015
242-2016
242-2017
242-2018
242-2019
242-2020
242-2021
242-2022
242-2023
242-2024
242-2025
242-2026
242-2027
242-2028
242-2029
242-2030
242-2031
242-2032
242-2033
242-2034
242-2035
242-2036
242-2037
242-2038
242-2039
242-2040
242-2041
242-2042
242-2043
242-2044
242-2045
242-2046
242-2047
242-2048
242-2049
242-2050
242-2051
242-2052
242-2053
242-2054
242-2055
242-2056
242-2057
242-2058
242-2059
242-2060
242-2061
242-2062
242-2063
242-2064
242-2065
242-2066
242-2067
242-2068
242-2069
242-2070
242-2071
242-2072
242-2073
242-2074
242-2075
242-2076
242-2077
242-2078
242-2079
242-2080
242-2081
242-2082
242-2083
242-2084
242-2085
242-2086
242-2087
242-2088
242-2089
242-2090
242-2091
242-2092
242-2093
242-2094
242-2095
242-2096
242-2097
242-2098
242-2099
242-2100
242-2101
242-2102
242-2103
242-2104
242-2105
242-2106
242-2107
242-2108
242-2109
242-2110
242-2111
242-2112
242-2113
242-2114
242-2115
242-2116
242-2117
242-2118
242-2119
242-2120
242-2121
242-2122
242-2123
242-2124
242-2125
242-2126
242-2127
242-2128
242-2129
242-2130
242-2131
242-2132
242-2133
242-2134
242-2135
242-2136
242-2137
242-2138
242-2139
242-2140
242-2141
242-2142
242-2143
242-2144
242-2145
242-2146
242-2147
242-2148
242-2149
242-2150
242-2151
242-2152
242-2153
242-2154
242-2155
242-2156
242-2157
242-2158
242-2159
242-2160
242-2161
242-2162
242-2163
242-2164
242-2165
242-2166
242-2167
242-2168
242-2169
242-2170
242-2171
242-2172
242-2173
242-2174
242-2175
242-2176
242-2177
242-2178
242-2179
242-2180
242-2181
242-2182
242-2183
242-2184
242-2185
242-2186
242-2187
242-2188
242-2189
242-2190
242-2191
242-2192
242-2193
242-2194
242-2195
242-2196
242-2197
242-2198
242-2199
242-2200
242-2201
242-2202
242-2203
242-2204
242-2205
242-2206
242-2207
242-2208
242-2209
242-2210
242-2211
242-2212
242-2213
242-2214
242-2215
242-2216
242-2217
242-2218
242-2219
242-2220
242-2221
242-2222
242-2223
242-2224
242-2225
242-2226
242-2227
242-2228
242-2229

a majority in the assembly elections held in 1977. Sheikh Abdullah died in 1982 and the leadership of the National Conference went to his son, Farooq Abdullah, who became the Chief Minister. But he was soon dismissed by the Governor and a breakaway faction of the National Conference came to power for a brief period.

The dismissal of Farooq Abdullah's government due to the intervention of the Centre generated a feeling of resentment in Kashmir. The confidence that Kashmiris had developed in the democratic processes after the accord between Indira Gandhi and Sheikh Abdullah, received a set-back. The feeling that the Centre was intervening in politics of the State was further strengthened when the National Conference in 1986 agreed to have an electoral alliance with the Congress, the ruling party in the Centre.

Insurgency and after

It was in this environment that the 1987 Assembly election took place. The official results showed a massive victory for the National Conference – Congress alliance and Farooq Abdullah returned as Chief Minister. But it was widely believed that the results did not reflect popular choice, and that the entire election process was rigged. A popular resentment had already been brewing in the State against the inefficient administration since early 1980s. This was now augmented by the commonly prevailing feeling that democratic processes were being undermined at the behest of the Centre. This generated a political crisis in Kashmir which became severe with the rise of insurgency.

By 1989, the State had come in the grip of a militant movement mobilised around the cause of a separate Kashmiri nation. The insurgents got moral, material and military support from Pakistan. For a number of years the State was under President's rule and effectively under the control of the armed forces. Throughout the period from 1990, Jammu and Kashmir experienced violence at the hands of the insurgents and through army action. Assembly elections in the State were held only in 1996 in which the National Conference led by Farooq Abdullah came to power with a demand for regional autonomy for Jammu and Kashmir. J&K experienced a very fair election in 2002. The National Conference failed to win a majority and was replaced by People's Democratic Party (PDP) and Congress coalition government.

Separatism and beyond

Separatist politics which surfaced in Kashmir from 1989 has taken different forms and is made up of various strands. There is one strand



Peace in Kashmir

“ The Kashmiris were convinced now at the second dethronement of their elected leader [in 1984] that India would never permit them to rule themselves.

B. K. Nehru

Governor of J&K before the dismissal of Farooq Abdullah's government.

This is all about governments, officials, leaders, terrorists... but what about the people in Kashmir? In a democracy we must go by what they want, shouldn't we?



Master Tara Singh (1885-1967): Prominent Sikh religious and political leader; one of the early leaders of the Shiromani Gurudwara Prabandhak Committee (SGPC); leader of the Akali movement; supporter of the freedom movement but opposed to Congress' policy of negotiating only with the Muslims; after Independence, he was the senior most advocate of formation of separate Punjab State.

of separatists who want a separate Kashmiri nation, independent of India and Pakistan. Then there are groups that want Kashmir to merge with Pakistan. Besides these, there is a third strand which wants greater autonomy for the people of the State within the Indian union. The idea of autonomy attracts the people of Jammu and Ladakh regions in a different way. They often complain of neglect and backwardness. Therefore, the demand for intra-State autonomy is as strong as the demand for the State autonomy.

The initial period of popular support to militancy has now given way to the urge for peace. The Centre has started negotiations with various separatist groups. Instead of demanding a separate nation, most of the separatists in dialogue are trying to re-negotiate a relationship of the State with India.

Jammu and Kashmir is one of the living examples of plural society and politics. Not only are there diversities of all kind (religious, cultural, linguistic, ethnic, tribal) but there are also divergent political aspirations. However, despite all these diversities and divergence on the one hand, and the continued situation of conflict on the other, the plural and secular culture of the State has remained largely intact.

Punjab

The decade of 1980s also witnessed major developments in the State of Punjab. The social composition of the State changed first with Partition and later on after the carving out of Haryana and Himachal Pradesh. While the rest of the country was reorganised on linguistic lines in 1950s, Punjab had to wait till 1966 for the creation of a Punjabi speaking State. The Akali Dal, which was formed in 1920 as the political wing of the Sikhs, had led the movement for the formation of a 'Punjabi suba'. The Sikhs were now a majority in the truncated State of Punjab.

Political context

After the reorganisation, the Akalis came to power in 1967 and then in 1977. On both the occasions it was a coalition government. The Akalis discovered that despite the redrawing of the boundaries, their political position remained precarious. Firstly, their government was dismissed by the Centre mid-way through its term. Secondly, they did not enjoy strong support among the Hindus. Thirdly, the Sikh community, like all other religious communities, was internally differentiated on caste and class lines. The Congress got more support among the Dalits, whether Hindu or Sikh, than the Akalis.


It was in this context that during the 1970s a section of Akalis began to demand political autonomy for the region. This was

reflected in a resolution passed at their conference at Anandpur Sahib in 1973. The Anandpur Sahib Resolution asserted regional autonomy and wanted to redefine centre-state relationship in the country. The resolution also spoke of the aspirations of the Sikhs *qaum* (community or nation) and declared its goal as attaining the *bolbala* (dominance or hegemony) of the Sikhs. The Resolution was a plea for strengthening federalism, but it could also be interpreted as a plea for a separate Sikh nation.

The Resolution had a limited appeal among the Sikh masses. A few years later, after the Akali government had been dismissed in 1980, the Akali Dal launched a movement on the question of the distribution of water between Punjab and its neighbouring States. A section of the religious leaders raised the question of autonomous Sikh identity. The more extreme elements started advocating secession from India and the creation of 'Khalistan'.

Cycle of violence

Soon, the leadership of the movement passed from the moderate Akalis to the extremist elements and took the form of armed insurgency. These militants made their headquarters inside the Sikh holy shrine, the Golden Temple in Amritsar, and turned it into an armed fortress. In June 1984, the Government of India carried out 'Operation Blue Star', code name for army action in the Golden Temple. In this operation, the Government could successfully flush out the militants, but it also damaged the historic temple and deeply hurt the sentiments of the Sikhs. A large proportion of Sikhs in India and abroad saw the military operation as an attack on their faith and this gave further impetus to militant and extremist groups.



Sant Harchand Singh Longowal (1932-1985):

Sikh political and religious leader; began his political career in mid-sixties as an Akali leader; became president of Akali Dal in 1980; reached an agreement with Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi on key demands of Akalis; assassinated by unidentified Sikh youth.



Road to peace

After coming to power following the election in 1984, the new Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi initiated a dialogue with moderate Akali leaders. In July 1985, he reached an agreement with Harchand Singh Longowal, then the President of the Akali Dal. This agreement, known as the Rajiv Gandhi - Longowal Accord or the Punjab Accord, was a step towards bringing normalcy to Punjab. It was agreed that Chandigarh would be transferred to Punjab, a separate commission would be appointed to resolve the border dispute between Punjab and Haryana, and a tribunal would be set up to decide the sharing of Ravi-Beas river water among Punjab, Haryana and Rajasthan. The agreement also provided for compensation to and better treatment of those affected by the militancy in Punjab and the withdrawal of the application of Armed Forces Special Powers Act in Punjab.

However, peace did not come easily or immediately. The cycle of violence continued nearly for a decade. Militancy and counter insurgency violence led to excesses by the police and violations of human rights. Politically, it led to fragmentation of the Akali Dal. The central government had to impose President's rule in the State and the normal electoral and political process was suspended. It was not easy to restore the political process in the atmosphere of suspicion and violence. When elections were held in Punjab in 1992, only 24 per cent of the electors turned out to vote.

Militancy was eventually eradicated by the security forces. But the losses incurred by the people of Punjab – Sikhs and Hindus alike – were enormous. Peace returned to Punjab by the middle of 1990s. The alliance of Akali Dal (Badal) and the BJP scored a major victory in 1997, in the first normal elections in the State in the post-militancy era. The State is once again preoccupied with questions of economic development and social change. Though religious identities continue to be important for the people, politics has gradually moved back along secular lines.

The Times of India brought out a special mid-day edition on the day Indira Gandhi was assassinated.

I have no hesitation in apologising not only to the Sikh community but the whole Indian nation because what took place in 1984 is the negation of the concept of nationhood and what is enshrined in our Constitution. So, I am not standing on any false prestige. On behalf of our Government, on behalf of the entire people of this country, I bow my head in shame that such thing took place. But, sir, there are ebbs, there are tides in the affairs of nations. The past is with us. We cannot rewrite the past. But as human beings, we have the willpower and we have the ability to write better future for all of us.

Prime Minister Dr. Manmohan Singh intervening in Rajya Sabha debate on 11 August 2005

The North-East

In the North-East, regional aspirations reached a turning point in 1980s. This region now consists of seven States, also referred to as the 'seven sisters'. The region has only 4 per cent of the country's population but about twice as much share of its area. A small corridor of about 22 kilometers connects the region to the rest of the country. Otherwise the region shares boundaries with China, Myanmar and Bangladesh and serves as India's gateway to South East Asia.

The region has witnessed a lot of change since 1947. Tripura, Manipur and Khasi Hills of Meghalaya were erstwhile Princely States which merged with India after Independence. The entire region of North-East has undergone considerable political reorganisation. Nagaland State was created in 1963; Manipur, Tripura and Meghalaya in 1972 while Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh became separate States only in 1987. The Partition of India in 1947 had reduced the North-East to a land locked region and affected its economy. Cut off from the rest of India, the region suffered neglect in developmental terms. Its politics too remained insulated. At the same time, most States in this region underwent major demographic changes due to influx of migrants from neighbouring States and countries.

The isolation of the region, its complex social character and its backwardness compared to other parts of the country have all resulted in the complicated set of demands from different states of the

North-East. The vast international border and weak communication between the North-East and the rest of India have further added to the delicate nature of politics there. Three issues dominate the politics of North-East: demands for autonomy, movements for secession, and opposition to 'outsiders'. Major initiatives on the first issue in the 1970s set the stage for some dramatic developments on the second and the third in the 1980s.

Demands for autonomy

At independence the entire region except Manipur and Tripura comprised the State of Assam. Demands for political autonomy arose when the non-Assamese felt that the Assam government was imposing Assamese language



on them. There were opposition and protest riots throughout the State. Leaders of the major tribal communities wanted to separate from Assam. They formed the Eastern India Tribal Union which later transformed into a more comprehensive All Party Hill Leaders Conference in 1960. They demanded a tribal State to be carved out of Assam. Finally instead of one tribal State, several States got carved out of Assam. At different points of time the Central Government had to create Meghalaya, Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh out of Assam. Tripura and Manipur were upgraded into States too.

The reorganisation of the North-East was completed by 1972. But this was not the end of autonomy demands in this region. In Assam, for example, communities like the Bodos, Karbis and Dimasas wanted separate States. They worked for this demand by mobilising public opinion and popular movement as well as through insurgency. Often the same area was claimed by more than one community. It was not possible to go on making smaller and yet smaller States. Therefore, some other provisions of our federal set up were used to satisfy their autonomy demands while remaining in Assam. Karbis and Dimasas have been granted autonomy under District Councils while Bodos were recently granted Autonomous Council.

Secessionist movements

Demands for autonomy were easier to respond to, for these involved using the various provisions in the Constitution for accommodation of diversities. It was much more difficult when some groups demanded a separate country, not in momentary anger but consistently as a principled position. The country's leadership faced this problem for a very long time in at least two States in the North-East. A comparison of these two cases offers us a lesson in democratic politics.

After Independence, the Mizo Hills area was made an autonomous district within Assam. Some Mizos believed that they were never a part of British India and therefore did not belong to the Indian union. But the movement for secession gained popular support after the Assam government failed to respond adequately to the great famine of 1959 in Mizo hills. The Mizos' anger led to the formation of the Mizo National Front (MNF) under the leadership of Laldenga.

In 1966 the MNF started an armed campaign for independence. Thus, started a two decade long battle between Mizo insurgents and the Indian army. The MNF fought a guerilla war, got support from Pakistani government and secured shelter in the then East Pakistan. The Indian security forces countered it with a series of repressive measures of which the common people were the victims. At one point even Air Force was used. These measures caused more anger and alienation among the people.

At the end of two decades of insurgency everyone was a loser. This is where maturity of the political leadership at both ends made

My friend Chon said that people in Delhi know more about the map of Europe than about the North-East in our country. I think she is right at least about my schoolmates.



Laldenga (1937-1990):
Founder and leader of the Mizo National Front; turned into a rebel after the experience of the famine in 1959; led an armed struggle against India for two decades; reached a settlement and signed an agreement with Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi in 1986; became the Chief Minister of the newly created State of Mizoram.

Credit : Times of India

GRAVITY chronoquartz

LATE CITY

THE TIMES OF INDIA

LUCKNOW, THURSDAY, JUNE 26, 1986

RUPEE 1

Cong-MNF accord signed

Laldenga to head coalition govt

The Times of India News Service
NEW DELHI, June 25:
THE process for a political settlement between the Indian National Congress and the Mizoram National Front, which declared objective is to end insurgency in the northeastern Union territory, was concluded today with the Congress agreeing to form a coalition with the MNF headed by its chief, Mr Laldenga.

It will be followed by a state-level agreement to be signed by Prime Minister Mr Rajiv Gandhi and Mr Mizoram chief minister Mr Laldenga. The Congress-MNF alliance will be in power in Mizoram during the interim period until elections to the state legislature are held.

The draft agreement for a political settlement was approved today by the MNF leadership, which includes political leaders and is expected to be signed in the next few days. It is to be followed by a state-level agreement to be signed by Prime Minister Mr Rajiv Gandhi and Mr Mizoram chief minister Mr Laldenga.

This will be the culmination of negotiations with Mr Laldenga concerning all secessionist aims and declaring his willingness to find a settlement within the framework of the Indian Constitution.

The Times of India News Service
NEW DELHI, June 25:
THE process for a political settlement between the Indian National Congress and the Mizoram National Front, which declared objective is to end insurgency in the northeastern Union territory, was concluded today with the Congress agreeing to form a coalition with the MNF headed by its chief, Mr Laldenga.

It will be followed by a state-level agreement to be signed by Prime Minister Mr Rajiv Gandhi and Mr Mizoram chief minister Mr Laldenga.

The draft agreement for a political settlement was approved today by the MNF leadership, which includes political leaders and is expected to be signed in the next few days. It is to be followed by a state-level agreement to be signed by Prime Minister Mr Rajiv Gandhi and Mr Mizoram chief minister Mr Laldenga.

This will be the culmination of negotiations with Mr Laldenga concerning all secessionist aims and declaring his willingness to find a settlement within the framework of the Indian Constitution.

Congress will have a slightly larger representation in the interim cabinet. It will cease to exist as a separate entity and will be merged with the MNF.

Although it was earlier speculated that the present Congress chief minister, Mr Mukul Roychowdhury, will be the deputy chief minister of the interim government, it was finally decided that he would join the cabinet as a member of the PCP. The PCP will work for strengthening the Congress in the state and prepare for the elections.

APPENDIX TO THE MIZORAM CONSTITUTIONAL AGREEMENT

In the meantime, the MNF announced that the Constitutional amendment bill raising the status of the state to a union territory and providing for a 40-member legislative assembly. The Election Commission has been asked to launch the bill district of Assam.

That chapter of history came to a close today with the agreement signed between Prime Minister Mr Laldenga, The Congress-MNF alliance and the MNF leadership.

Mizoram during the interim period until elections to the state legislature are held.

The draft agreement for a political settlement was approved today by the MNF leadership, which includes political leaders and is expected to be signed in the next few days. It is to be followed by a state-level agreement to be signed by Prime Minister Mr Rajiv Gandhi and Mr Mizoram chief minister Mr Laldenga.

This will be the culmination of negotiations with Mr Laldenga concerning all secessionist aims and declaring his willingness to find a settlement within the framework of the Indian Constitution.

Heavy rains in Lucknow

By A Staff Reporter
LUCKNOW, June 25:
THE heavy downpour in the Mizo region has since interest to make the accord a success by insuring arrangements to come overground as soon as the agreement with the MNF is signed.

This decision was taken when the MNF chief minister met Mr Rajiv Gandhi in the afternoon.

APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE

THE chief minister of the Mizo region has since interest to make the accord a success by insuring arrangements to come overground as soon as the agreement with the MNF is signed.

This decision was taken when the MNF chief minister met Mr Rajiv Gandhi in the afternoon.

RESIDENTS IN LUCKNOW

residents were also known to have been stranded by the heavy downpour. However, unfortunately no casualties were reported late last night.

At the Charbagh railway station many passengers were stranded overnight due to the disruption of the system. The train which had arrived from the first monsoon showers in the city was prevented by the senior engineer from taking any photographs. What was worse, the train was delayed due to the disruption of the system.

Rs 2 crores worth of gold seized

The Times of India News Service
NEW DELHI, June 25:
DIALKISHORE KUMAR, a foreigner who was arrested at the airport here, was found to be carrying more than \$7.5 kg with foreign markings.

Mr Kumar, who was staying at a Coimbatore hotel in Udaipur, was arrested on May 21. He was found to be carrying more than \$7.5 kg with foreign markings.


The DRI director, Mr B.V. Kumar, said here this evening that eight persons, most of them related to him, were arrested.

India condemns Lanka violence

The Times of India News Service
NEW DELHI, June 25:
INDIA today condemned the latest violence in Sri Lanka against innocent civilians and expressed hope that it would not be a setback to the quest for a peaceful solution of the ethnic issue.

Foreign Secretary S. Jaipal Singh said in a statement that "the recent acts of violence and exercise maximum restraint. A spokesman of the Foreign Ministry said that he hoped that the latest incidents of violence and terrorism in Sri Lanka were caused by some groups."

In reply to a question the spokesman categorically said that there were no camps in India for training the Sri Lankan militants. India did not believe in supplying arms or training mi-



but this was not acceptable to other rebels. The problem in Nagaland still awaits a final resolution.

Movements against outsiders

The large scale migration into the North-East gave rise to a special kind of problem that pitted the 'local' communities against people who were seen as 'outsiders' or migrants. These latecomers, either from India or abroad are seen as encroachers on scarce resources like land and potential competitors to employment opportunities and political power. This issue has taken political and sometimes violent form in many States of the North-East.

The Assam Movement from 1979 to 1985 is the best example of such movements against 'outsiders'. The Assamese suspected that there were huge numbers of illegal Bengali Muslim settlers from Bangladesh. They felt that unless these foreign nationals are detected and deported they would reduce the indigenous Assamese into a minority. There were other economic issues too. There was widespread poverty and unemployment in Assam despite the existence of natural resources like oil, tea and coal. It was felt that these were drained out of the State without any commensurate benefit to the people.

In 1979 the All Assam Students' Union (AASU), a students' group not affiliated to any party, led an anti-foreigner movement. The movement was against illegal migrations, against domination of Bengalis and other outsiders, and against faulty voters' register that included the names of lakhs of immigrants. The movement demanded that all outsiders who had entered the State after 1951 should be sent back. The agitation followed many novel methods and mobilised all sections of Assamese people, drawing support across the State. It also involved many tragic and violent incidents leading to loss of property and human lives. The movement also tried to blockade the movement of trains and the supply of oil from Assam to refineries in Bihar.


Eventually after six years of turmoil, the Rajiv Gandhi-led government entered into negotiations with the AASU leaders, leading to the signing of an accord in 1985. According to this agreement those foreigners who migrated into Assam during and after Bangladesh war and since, were to be identified and deported. With the successful completion of the movement, the AASU and the Asom Gana Sangram Parishad organised themselves as a regional political party called Asom Gana Parishad (AGP). It came to power in 1985 with the promise of resolving the foreign national problem as well as to build a 'Golden Assam'.

Assam accord brought peace and changed the face of politics in Assam, but it did not solve the problem of immigration. The issue of the 'outsiders' continues to be a live issue in the politics of Assam



Angami Zapu Phizo (1904-1990): Leader of the movement for independent Nagaland; president of Naga National Council; began an armed struggle against the Indian state; went 'underground', stayed in Pakistan and spent the last three decades of his life in exile in UK.

I've never understood this insider-outsider business. It's like the train compartment. Someone who got in before others treats others as outsiders.



नईदृष्टिया

✓ असम समझौता: उल्लेखनीय उपलब्धि

असम के बारे में केंद्र सरकार तथा असम के छात्र संगठनों के बीच पंद्रह तारीख को तड़के हुए समझौते से असम का छ: वर्ष पुराना आदोलन समाप्त हो गया है। असम के विभिन्न छात्र संगठनों द्वारा संचालित सरकार विरोधी आदोलन के दौरान साढ़े तीन हजार से अधिक जाने गई और अरबों रुपयों की आर्थिक हानि हुई। बारह अप्रैल १९८० को जब तत्कालीन प्रधानमंत्री श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी गुआहाटी गई थीं तो छात्र नेता १९६७ को आधार वर्ष मानकर विदेशी नागरिकों की समस्या के समाधान के तैयार हो गए थे। पर प्रधानमंत्री तब १९७१ को आधार वर्ष पाने जाने पर अड़ी रहीं। फलस्वरूप सरकार तथा छात्र संगठनों के बीच बातचीत टूट गई। श्रीमती गांधी ने असम समस्या को सुलझाने के लिए चार गहमंत्रियों—(जैलसिह, श्री आर. वेकटरमन, श्री प्रकाशचंद्र सेठी तथा श्री नरसिंहराव) की सेवाओं का उपयोग किया। किंतु, अविश्वास और कठोर पैतरों का जो बातावरण बना था, वह ऐसा नहीं था कि कोई समझौता हो पाता।

श्री राजीव गांधी के काम करने की शैली इस अर्थ में नई है कि वह सहज ही विपक्षी दल का विश्वास जीत लेती है। श्री गांधी रियायते देने को तैयार रहते हैं, जिसके फलस्वरूप सामने वाला पक्ष भी रियायत देकर समझौता करने को तैयार हो जाता है। केंद्र सरकार के गृह सचिव श्री आर. डो. प्रधान ने असम के छात्र नेताओं के साथ बुनियादी बातचीत कर सहमति का आधार तैयार किया। गृहमंत्री श्री एस. बी. चव्हाण ने अंतिम दौर में बातचीत में भाग लिया। कुछ रुकावटों के बाद प्रधानमंत्री राजीव गांधी के हस्तक्षेप से छात्रों को समझौते के लिए राजी किया जा सका और दस सूनी समझौते पर हस्ताक्षर हो गए।

समझौते को देखने से यह स्पष्ट हो जाता है कि बुनियादी मामलों में केंद्र सरकार तथा छात्र संगठनों, 'आस' तथा 'अखिल असम गण संग्राम परिषद' के नेताओं, दोनों ने एक-दूसरे को उल्लेखनीय रियायतें दी हैं। इसलिए यह मानने का कोई आधार नहीं है कि पंद्रह अगस्त का असम समझौता किसी पक्ष विशेष की जीत या किसी पक्ष विशेष की हार है। असम समझौता एक महत्वपूर्ण राष्ट्रीय उपलब्धि है, जिसका श्रेय भारत के युवा भवित्वान्वयी श्री राजीव गांधी को जाता है। असम के दोनों छात्र संगठनों के नेता भी बधाई के पात्र हैं किंगडम विवेक और सौहार्द का परिचय देकर वे जपना छ: वर्ष पुराना आदोलन समाप्त करने को जाजी हो गए हैं। प्रधानमंत्री की कीर्ति में असम समझौते ने एक और चौद जोड़ दिया है। अभी २४ जुलाई को ही उन्होंने पंजाब की खतरनाक रूप से

दिन बाद ही अनंत त्रासदी के नाम से पुकारी जाने वाली असम की समस्या का समाधान खोजकर श्री राजीव गांधी ने अपूर्व समाधानकर्ता का विशेषण अंजित कर लिया है।

समझौते के अनुसार विदेशी नागरिकों की पहचान करने के लिए १ जनवरी १९६६ को आधार वर्ष माना गया है। इस तिथि के पहले आए विदेशियों को नियमसम्मत मान लिया जाएगा। एक अनुमान के अनुसार १९६१ और १९६५ के बीच ही लगभग पाँच लाख विदेशी पूर्वों पाकिस्तान से असम राज्य में आए थे। १ जनवरी १९६६ तक २४ मार्च १९७१ के बीच असम में अनन्य जिन के लिए आरंभिक अवधि अनुमानित की जाएगी।

and many other places in the North-East. This problem is particularly acute, for example, in Tripura as the original inhabitants have been reduced to being a minority in their own land. The same feeling informs the hostility of the local population to Chakma refugees in Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh.



To end the news, here is a look at the activities of terrorists in the four regions... Punjab, Darjeeling, Delhi, Mizoram

Credit: HT book of Cartoon Rambabu Mathur

Sikkim's merger

At the time of Independence, Sikkim was a 'protectorate' of India. It meant that while it was not a part of India, it was also not a fully sovereign country. Sikkim's defence and foreign relations were looked after by India, while the power of internal administration was with the Chogyal, Sikkim's monarch. This arrangement ran into difficulty as the Chogyal was unable to deal with the democratic aspirations of the people. An overwhelming majority of Sikkim's population was Nepali. But the Chogyal was seen as perpetuating the rule of a small elite from the minority Lepcha-Bhutia community. The anti-Chogyal leaders of both the communities sought and got support from the Government of India.

The first democratic elections to Sikkim assembly in 1974 were swept by Sikkim Congress which stood for greater integration with India. The assembly first sought the status of 'associate state' and then in April 1975 passed a resolution asking for full integration with India. This was followed by a hurriedly organised referendum that put a stamp of popular approval on the assembly's request. The Indian Parliament accepted this request immediately and Sikkim became the 22nd State of the Indian union. Chogyal did not accept this merger and his supporters accused the Government of India of foul play and use of force. Yet the merger enjoyed popular support and did not become a divisive issue in Sikkim's politics.




Kazi Lhendup Dorji Khangarpa (1904):
Leader of democracy movement in Sikkim; founder of Sikkim Praja Mandal and later leader of the Sikkim State Congress; in 1962 founded the Sikkim National Congress; after an electoral victory, he led the movement for integration of Sikkim with India; after the integration, Sikkim Congress merged with the Indian National Congress.

Accommodation and National Integration

These cases have shown us that even after six decades of Independence, some of the issues of national integration are not fully resolved. We have seen that regional aspirations ranging from demands of statehood and economic development to autonomy and separation keep coming up. The period since 1980 accentuated these tensions and tested the capacity of democratic politics to accommodate the demands of diverse sections of the society. What lessons can we draw from these examples?

First and the most elementary lesson is that regional aspirations are very much a part of democratic politics. Expression of regional issues is not an aberration or an abnormal phenomenon. Even in smaller countries like the United Kingdom there are regional aspirations in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Spain faces secessionist movement from the Basques and so does Sri Lanka from the Tamils. A large and diverse democracy like India must deal with regional aspirations on a regular basis. Nation building is an ongoing process.



Rajiv Gandhi (1944-1991): Prime Minister of India between 1984 and 1989; son of Indira Gandhi; joined active politics after 1980; reached agreements with militants in Punjab, Mizoram and the students' union in Assam; pressed for a more open economy and computer technology; sent Indian Army contingent on the request of Sri Lankan government, to sort out the Sinhala-Tamil conflict; assassinated by suspected LTTE suicide bomber.

The second lesson is that the best way to respond to regional aspirations is through democratic negotiations rather than through suppression. Look at the situation in the eighties – militancy had erupted in Punjab; problems were persisting in the North-East; students in Assam were agitating; Kashmir valley was on the boil. Instead of treating these as simple law and order problems, the Government of India reached negotiated settlement with regional movements. This produced a reconciliation which reduced the tensions existing in many regions. The example of Mizoram shows how political settlement can resolve the problem of separatism effectively.

The third lesson is about the significance of power sharing. It is not sufficient to have a formal democratic structure. Besides that, groups and parties from the region need to be given share in power at the State level. Similarly, it is not sufficient to say that the states or the regions have autonomy in their matters. The regions together form the nation. So, the regions must have a share in deciding the destiny of the nation. If regions are not given a share in the national level decision making, the feeling of injustice and alienation can spread.

The fourth lesson is that regional imbalance in economic development contributes to the feeling of regional discrimination. Regional imbalance is a fact of India's development experience. Naturally, the backward states or backward regions in some states feel that their backwardness should be addressed on priority basis and that the policies of the Indian government have caused this imbalance. If some states remain poor and others develop rapidly, it leads to regional imbalances and inter-regional migrations.

Finally, these cases make us appreciate the farsightedness of the makers of our Constitution in dealing with questions of diversity. The federal system adopted by India is a flexible arrangement. While most of the states have equal powers, there are special provisions for some states like J&K and the states in the North-East. The Sixth Schedule of the Constitution allows different tribes complete autonomy of preserving their practices and customary laws. These provisions proved crucial in resolving some very complex political problems in the North-East.

What distinguishes India from many other countries that face similar challenges is that the constitutional framework in India is much more flexible and accommodative. Therefore, regional aspirations are not encouraged to espouse separatism. Thus, politics in India has succeeded in accepting regionalism as part and parcel of democratic politics.

Goa's liberation

Although the British empire in India came to an end in 1947, Portugal refused to withdraw from the territories of Goa, Diu and Daman which were under its colonial rule since the sixteenth century. During their long rule, the Portuguese suppressed the people of Goa, denied them civil rights, and carried out forced religious conversions. After India's Independence, the Indian government tried very patiently to persuade the Portuguese government to withdraw. There was also a strong popular movement within Goa for freedom. They were strengthened by socialist satyagrahis from Maharashtra. Finally, in December 1961, the Government of India sent the army which liberated these territories after barely two days of action. Goa, Diu and Daman became Union Territory.

Another complication arose soon. Led by the Maharashtra Gomanatak Party (MGP) one section desired that Goa, as a Marathi speaking area should merge with Maharashtra. However, many Goans were keen to retain a separate Goan identity and culture, particularly the Konkani language. They were led by the United Goan Party (UGP). In January 1967, the Central Government held a special 'opinion poll' in Goa asking people to decide if they wanted to be part of Maharashtra or remain separate. A referendum-like procedure was used to ascertain people's wishes on this issue. The majority voted in favour of remaining outside of Maharashtra. Thus, Goa continued as a Union Territory. Finally, in 1987, Goa became a State of the Indian Union.

Fly
DE-JET EXPRESS

Printed and Published from Bombay and Delhi
ESTABLISHED 1831
REGD. NO. 411

The Times of India
Largest net sales among all Daily Newspapers in India.
NO. 352 VOL CXXIII. BOMBAY: WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 20, 1961 16 NAYE PAISE

UNICHEM
A REGISTERED TRADE MARK
PHARMACEUTICALS
UNICHEM LABORATORIES
BOMBAY - 400 001

GOA BACK WITH THE MOTHERLAND

INDIAN FLAG OVER PANJIM PROCLAIMS LIBERATION FROM COLONIAL TERROR

Choudhuri Accepts Surrender Of Portuguese: G.-G. Has Fled

FLEEING FUGITIVES FAIL TO SET OFF DYNAMITE CHARGES

"The Times of India" News Service

BELGAUM, December 19. INDIA'S ARMED FORCES ACCOMPLISHED THEIR MISSION OF LIBERATING THE PORTUGUESE POCKETS IN THE COUNTRY EARLY TODAY.

Lieut. General Choudhuri, GOC-in-C, Southern Command, and the overall commander of "Operation Vijaya" flew into Panjim from Belgaum by a helicopter early this morning to accept the surrender of the Portuguese forces in Goa.

The ending of all resistance by the Portuguese at Diu and Daman was also officially announced today.

Gen. Choudhuri's helicopter landed in a football ground at Panjim. The General drove through the city in a jeep, cheered by the crowd. The authorising authorities gave the signal when they saw the Indian tricolor and shouted "Fire fire on Mo-27 night and were ready to lay down their arms."

The General accepted the peace at the main square of the town. He asked them to remain where they were. He assured the people that the Indian forces would protect them and "whatever we do will be for your welfare."

The Portuguese troops have been withdrawn from the city.

General Choudhuri also met the local army chief, which was the first to enter Panjim. He complimented them for their valour. He saw the body of St. Francis Xavier, the General addressed the

I ADMIT YEARS BACK YOU WERE LIVING HAPPILY RIGHT HERE WHEN THIS WAS ALL A COLONIAL JUNGLE... BUT WE'VE CLEARED IT NOW AND BUILT A DECENT HOUSE! SO YOU MUST QUIT!

Marmagoa

NEW DELHI, December 19. INDIA's case on Goa will be presented before the United Nations on Monday. Mr. V. P. Menon will be the Indian representative. He will be accompanied by Mr. V. H. Trewhell, Joint Secretary in the Ministry of External Affairs.

NEW YORK, December 19. INDIA's case on Goa will be presented before the United Nations on Monday. Mr. V. P. Menon will be the Indian representative. He will be accompanied by Mr. V. H. Trewhell, Joint Secretary in the Ministry of External Affairs.

By H. R. VOKRA
"The Times of India" News Service

UNITED NATIONS, December 19.

The Minister said that after the Security Council's vote on the Goa resolution, the Indian government was the strength of the West and of the world. It was determined to represent it and to defend its policy. In this, India had to stand alone.

Credit: R.K. Laxman in The Times of India, 21 April 1954

EXERCISES

1. Match the following.

A

Nature of regional aspirations

- (a) Socio-religious identity leading to statehood
- (b) Linguistic identity and tensions with Centre
- (c) Regional imbalance leading to demand for Statehood
- (d) Secessionist demands on account of tribal identity

B

States

- i. Nagaland /Mizoram
- ii. Jharkhand /Chattisgarh
- iii. Punjab
- iv. Tamil Nadu

2. Regional aspirations of the people of North-East get expressed in different ways. These include movements against outsiders, movement for greater autonomy and movement for separate national existence. On the map of the North-East, using different shades for these three, show the States where these expressions are prominently found.
3. What were the main provisions of the Punjab accord? In what way can they be the basis for further tensions between the Punjab and its neighbouring States?
4. Why did the Anandpur Sahib Resolution become controversial?
5. Explain the internal divisions of the State of Jammu and Kashmir and describe how these lead to multiple regional aspirations in that State.
6. What are the various positions on the issue of regional autonomy for Kashmir? Which of these do you think are justifiable? Give reasons for your answer.
7. The Assam movement was a combination of cultural pride and economic backwardness. Explain.
8. All regional movements need not lead to separatist demands. Explain by giving examples from this chapter.
9. Regional demands from different parts of India exemplify the principle of unity with diversity. Do you agree? Give reasons.
10. Read the passage and answer the questions below:

One of Hazarika's songs... ... dwells on the unity theme; the seven states of north-eastern India become seven sisters born of the same mother. 'Meghalaya went own way...., Arunachal too separated

and Mizoram appeared in Assam's gateway as a groom to marry another daughter.' The song ends with a determination to keep the unity of the Assamese with other smaller nationalities that are left in the present-day Assam – 'the Karbis and the Mising brothers and sisters are our dear ones.' — SANJIB BARUAH

- (a) Which unity is the poet talking about?
- (b) Why were some States of North-East created separately out of the erstwhile State of Assam?
- (c) Do you think that the same theme of unity could apply to all the regions of India? Why?