Marking Rubric for Assignment 1

CRITERIA	FAIL	PASS	CREDIT	DISTINCTION	HIGH DISTINCTION
	<2.5 POINTS	2.5 POINTS	3 POINTS	3.5 POINTS	4-5 POINTS
PROPOSAL DOCUMENT (30 points)					
Product summary	May be absent or	Summary provides	Summary provides	Summary provides	Summary provides
and asset list	missing significant	general idea of the	clear idea of the	clear idea of the	clear and concise
und d5500 m50	required content.	intended product	intended product	intended product	idea of the
		and lists assets	and lists assets	with detailed asset	intended product
		required. May lack	required.	list.	with highly detailed
		some clarity.	- 4.		asset list.
Product purpose	May be absent or	Provides basic	Identifies target	Identifies clear and	Identifies clear and
	missing	identification of	audience and	detailed target	detailed target
	information on	target audience	creativity. May be	audience and	audience and
	target audience or	and creativity. May	lacking some detail	creativity. Strong	thorough
	creativity.	be lacking some	but clear evidence of	evidence of	just ication of
		detail or a	purpose informing	purpose informing	creativity. Purpose is
		mismatch between	design.	design.	highly intertwined
		product design			with project design.
		and audience.			
Intended behaviour –	May be absent	Component	Component	Component	Interesting
explanation	or missing critical	behaviour is	behaviour is	behaviour is	component behaviour
	information.	explained for at	explained clearly for	explained clearly	is thoroughly
		least 3	at least 3 complex	between 3 complex	explained between 3
		components but	components. May	and varie	complex and varied
		may be somewhat	have some minor	components. No	components. Design is
		ambiguous or have	conflicts with	notable conflicts with	insightful with no
		some conflicts with	algorithm	algorithm	notable conflicts with
		algorithm	UX/UI/flowcharts.	UX/UI/flowcharts.	algorithm
		UX/UI/flowcharts.			UX/UI/flowcharts.
Intended behaviour – User	May be absent	Provided but may	Provided with	Provided with	Provided with no
stories UX/UI design	or missing critical	have some minor	minimal omissions or	minimal omissions or	notable omissions
	information.	omissions or errors.	errors. Plans would	errors. Planswould	or errors. Plans
		May be some issues	generally provide	provide effective	would provide

good functionality effective

	that would affect functionality	functionality and are communicated well.	and are communicated well.	functionality and are communicated very effectively.
Missing or may be lacking evidence of planning for components as part of a larger system.	System summary present with some planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. May appear somewhat disjointed and lacking unity.	System summary present with clear planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. Demonstrates simple connections or interactions between components.	System summary present with thorough planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. Demonstrates interesting and dynamic connections or interactions between components.	System summary present with thorough planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. Demonstrates complex, interesting and dynamic connections or interactions between components that provide a whole greater than the sum
May have numerous and significant spelling, grammatical or formatting errors.	Some spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Additional proof- reading is required.	Some minor spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Some additional proof- reading is required.	Very few minor spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Presentation is professional and	of its parts. No notable spelling, grammatical or formatting errors. Presentation is thoroughly professional and
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
May be missing or with major omissions or errors. May not clearly demonstrate any of the listed components in your	Simple concept functionality provided for at least 2 coding concepts planned in the proposal document. Minor errors or not	Representative proof- of-concept functionality provided for at least 2 coding concepts planned as part of the proposal	Detailed representative proof- of-concept functionality provided or at least 3 coding concepts planned as part of	Detailed and highly representative proof- of-concept functionality provided for at least 4 coding concepts planned as part of
	Iacking evidence of planning for components as part of a larger system. May have numerous and significant spelling, grammatical or formatting errors. May be missing or with major omissions or errors. May not clearly demonstrate any of the listed	Missing or may be lacking evidence of planning for components as part of a larger system. May have numerous and significant spelling, grammatical or formatting errors. May be missing or with major omissions or errors. May not clearly demonstrate any of the listed Missing or may be functionality. System summary present with some planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. May appear somewhat disjointed and lacking unity. Some spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Additional proof-reading is required. Simple concept functionality provided for at least 2 coding concepts planned in the proposal document.	Missing or may be lacking evidence of planning for components as part of a larger system. May have numerous and significant spelling, grammatical or formatting errors. May be missing or with major omissions or errors. May not clearly demonstrate any of the listed Missing or may be lacking evidence of planning for components as part of a larger system with some planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. May appear somewhat disjointed and lacking unity. System summary present with clear planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. Demonstrates simple connections or interactions between components. Some spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Additional proof-reading is required. Some minor spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Some additional proof-reading is required. Some minor spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Some additional proof-reading is required. Representative proof-of-concept functionality provided for at least 2 coding concepts planned in the proposal document.	Missing or may be lacking evidence of planning for components as part of a larger system. May have numerous and significant spelling, grammatical or formatting errors. May be missing or with major omissions or errors. May be missing or with sone planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. May be missing or with some planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. Demonstrates simple connections or interesting and dynamic connections or interactions between components. System summary present with clear planning for integrating components as part of a larger system. Demonstrates simple connections or interactions between components. Some minor spelling, grammatical or formatting errors throughout. Additional proof-reading is required. May be missing or with major omissions or errors. May not clearly demonstrate any of the listed of

Communication & structure	Commenting may be absent. CONCEPT may be very inefficient in structure or have numerous repetitious, unfinished or broken elements.	be fully representative. Commenting is present in although may be simplistic or used inconsistently. CONCEPT is generally structured appropriately but may have some unfinished elements or messy formatting.	Commenting is present throughout and provides acceptable readability of the CONCEPT for someone unfamiliar with the project. CONCEPT is structured and formatted appropriately.	Commenting is present and thorough through and is highly readable for a user unfamiliar with the project. CONCEPT is structured well with neat formatting and clear sectioning.	Commenting is present, thorough and sophisticated throughout without overwhelming the project. The CONCEPT is very easily readable for a user unfamiliar with the project. CONCEPT is structured well with neat formatting and clear sectioning.
OTHER FACTORS (5 points)					
Creativity & Purpose	Does not demonstrate creativity or expand upon the base concept. May not be fit for identified target audience.	Demonstrates some elements of creativity, although some may not be fully realised or fit for purpose.	Demonstrates creativity in some aspects of the project and expands upon the base concept. Design is appropriate for the target audience.	Demonstrates thorough creativity in most aspects of the project and clearly expand upon the base concept by taking it in a more creative direction. Design is effective for the target audience.	Demonstrates exceptional creativity in most aspects of the project and expertly expands upon the base concept by taking it in a highly creative direction as a new product. Design is deeply integrated with the target audience and purpose.
OVERALL TOTAL (max: 45 points, converted to 30%)	<22.5 points	22.5 to 26.5 points	27 to 31 points	31.5 to 35.5 points	36 to 45 points