```
Exploratory data Analysis(EDA) for Haberman cancer survival status
          Description:
          The dataset contains cases from a study that was conducted between 1958 and 1970 at the University of Chicago's Billings
          Hospital on the survival of patients who had undergone surgery for breast cancer.

    Number of DataPoints: 306

           · Number of Features: 4 (including the class)
          Feature Information:

    Age of patient at time of operation (numerical)

           • Patient's year of operation (year - 1900, numerical)

    Number of positive axillary nodes detected (numerical)

    Survival status (class)

               1(survived) = the patient survived 5 years or longer.
              2(died) = the patient died within 5 year.
          1. High level statistics:
 In [1]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          import numpy as np
          import seaborn as sns
          import pandas as pd
In [21]: haber = pd.read csv('haberman.csv')
In [22]: print('Total Datapoints:',haber.shape[0],\
              '\nTotal Features:', haber.shape[1],'\n')
         print('Features:')
          for val, col in enumerate(haber.columns):
             print(val+1,':',col)
         Total Datapoints: 306
         Total Features: 4
         Features:
         1 : age
         2 : year
         3 : nodes
         4 : status
          Data-points per class:
In [23]: print('Number of classes:', haber['status'].unique().size,'\n')
          #Mapping target attribute numeric values to string values
          haber['status'] = haber['status'].map({ 1:'survived', 2:'died' })
          print('DataPoints per class:')
          print(haber['status'].value_counts())
          Number of classes: 2
          DataPoints per class:
          survived 225
         Name: status, dtype: int64
          Observations:
           1. Based on datapoints per class it's an imbalanced dataset.
         2. Objective:
          To classify whether a patient will survive 5 and more years or died with in 5 years from the year of treatment based on the
          given features:

    Age,

           · Year of operation and
           · Axillary lymph nodes.
         3. Univariate analysis:
In [24]: import warnings
          warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
          #PDF
          sns.set_style('whitegrid')
          for col in haber.columns[:-1]:
              sns.FacetGrid(haber, hue='status', size=5)\
              .map(sns.distplot, col).add_legend()
          plt.show()
          plt.close()
          0.035
          0.030
          0.025
          0.020
                                                       survived
          0.015
                                                       died
          0.010
          0.005
          0.000
                20
                         40
                              50
                    30
                                  60
                                       70
                                            80
          0.10
          0.08
          0.06
                                                       died
          0.04
          0.02
          0.00
                 55.0 57.5 60.0
                                  65.0 67.5 70.0 72.5
                             62.5
          0.5
          0.4
          0.3
                                                       survived
                                                       died
          0.2
          0.1
          0.0
          Observations:
           1. As seen above from "age" and "year" plots it's hard to distinguish patient status as both classes overlap most
             probably.
           2. It can be seen that patients survived more than 5 years is dense from 0 to 5(approx) axillary "nodes". This feature
             which seems to be useful.
In [25]: #CDF
          plt.figure(1, figsize=(15, 6))
          for val in haber['status'].unique():
             counts, bin_edges = np.histogram(haber[ haber['status'] == val ]['nodes'], \
                                               bins=10, density = True)
             print('*'*30, val, '*'*30)
             pdf = counts/sum(counts)
              cdf = np.cumsum(pdf)
             print('PDF:',pdf)
             print('CDF:',cdf)
             print('Bin edges:',bin_edges)
              plt.plot(bin_edges[1:], pdf, label='{}:PDF'.format(val))
              plt.plot(bin_edges[1:], cdf, label='{}:CDF'.format(val))
          plt.legend()
          plt.xlabel('nodes')
          plt.show()
          plt.close()
          PDF: [0.83555556 0.08 0.02222222 0.02666667 0.01777778 0.00444444
                                            0.00444444]
          CDF: [0.83555556 0.91555556 0.93777778 0.96444444 0.98222222 0.98666667
          0.99555556 0.99555556 0.99555556 1.
         Bin edges: [ 0. 4.6 9.2 13.8 18.4 23. 27.6 32.2 36.8 41.4 46. ]
          PDF: [0.56790123 0.14814815 0.13580247 0.04938272 0.07407407 0.
                          0.
                                            0.01234568]
          0.01234568 0.
          CDF: [0.56790123 0.71604938 0.85185185 0.90123457 0.97530864 0.97530864
          0.98765432 0.98765432 0.98765432 1.
                                                     ]
          Bin edges: [ 0. 5.2 10.4 15.6 20.8 26. 31.2 36.4 41.6 46.8 52. ]
          1.0
          0.8
          0.6
          0.4
          0.2
                 survived:PDF
              survived:CDF
              ____ died:PDF
          0.0 died:CDF
          Observations:
           1. 91% of patients who survived more than 5 years have less than 10 positive axillary nodes.
           2. 90% of patients who survived less than 5 years have more than 15 positive axillary nodes.
In [26]: #Box Plots and whiskers
          figure, axes = plt.subplots(1,3, figsize=(16,5))
          for val, col in enumerate(haber.columns[:-1]):
              sns.boxplot(x='status', y=col, data=haber, ax=axes[val])
          plt.subplots adjust(wspace=.5, hspace=.5)
          plt.show()
         plt.close()
                                                66
            60
                                                62
           40
                                                60
                 survived
          Observations:
           1. Patients treated before 1959 have less chances of survival and those treated after 1965 have higher chances of
             survival
In [27]: plt.figure(1, figsize=(15, 6))
          for val in haber['status'].unique():
              counts, bin_edges = np.histogram(haber[ haber['status']==val ]['age'],\
                                               bins=5, density = True)
              print('*'*30, val, '*'*30)
              pdf = counts/sum(counts)
              cdf = np.cumsum(pdf)
             print('PDF:',pdf)
             print('CDF:',cdf)
              print('Bin edges:',bin_edges)
              plt.plot(bin_edges[1:], pdf, label='{}:PDF'.format(val))
              plt.plot(bin_edges[1:], cdf, label='{}:CDF'.format(val))
          plt.legend()
          plt.xlabel('age')
          plt.show()
          plt.close()
          0.21777778 0.32888889 0.20444444 0.08888889]
          PDF: [0.16
          CDF: [0.16
                           0.37777778 0.70666667 0.91111111 1.
          Bin edges: [30. 39.4 48.8 58.2 67.6 77.]
         PDF: [0.16049383 0.39506173 0.25925926 0.14814815 0.03703704]
          CDF: [0.16049383 0.55555556 0.81481481 0.96296296 1.
          Bin edges: [34. 43.8 53.6 63.4 73.2 83.]
          1.0 survived:PDF
              survived:CDF
              — died:PDF
             - died:CDF
          0.8
          0.6
          0.4
          0.2
                                                          60
In [30]: # Violin Plots
          figure, axes = plt.subplots(1,3, figsize=(16,5))
          for val, col in enumerate(haber.columns[:-1]):
              sns.violinplot(x='status', y=col, data=haber, size=8, ax=axes[val])
          plt.subplots_adjust(wspace=.5, hspace=.5)
          plt.show()
          plt.close()
                                               70.0
                                               67.5
                                               65.0
                                               60.0
                                               57.5
           30
                                               55.0
                 survived
          Observations:
           1. For the patients treated between the age of 30-40, the percentage of patients survived is more than patients died.
           2. And those treated between the age of 40-60 have less chances of survival.
         4. Bi-Variate analysis:
          2D Scatter plot
In [29]: #Scatter Plot
          sns.set_style('whitegrid')
          sns.FacetGrid(haber, hue='status', size=5)\
          .map(plt.scatter, 'age', 'year')\
          .add legend()
          plt.show()
         plt.close()
                                                          survived
                                                 80
                                   60
In [31]:  # Pair Plot
          sns.set_style('whitegrid')
          sns.pairplot(haber, hue='status', size=4)
          plt.show()
          plt.close()
            70
                                                                                                         status
           40
          Observations:
           1. It's hard to separate the survival status linearly from the pair plots as they(features) overlap most probably pairwise.
           2. "nodes" features is useful to some extent to distinguish the survival status.
           3. Some more data is required to better distinguish the survival status as it's an imbalanced dataset.
In [13]: from statsmodels import robust
In [32]: for col in haber.columns[:-1]:
              print('*'*30, col, '*'*30)
              print('\nMedian:')
             print( np.median(haber[ haber['status']=='survived' ][col]) )
             print( np.median(haber[ haber['status']=='died' ][col]) )
              print('\nQuantiles:')
              print( np.percentile(haber[ haber['status']=='survived' ][col], \
                                   np.arange(25,101,25)))
              print( np.percentile(haber[ haber['status']=='died' ][col], \
                                   np.arange(25,101,25))
              print('\n99th Percentile:')
              print( np.percentile(haber[ haber['status'] == 'survived' ][col], 99) )
             print( np.percentile(haber[ haber['status']=='died' ][col], 99) )
             print('\nMedian Absolute Deviation:')
              print( robust.mad(haber[ haber['status'] == 'survived' ][col]) )
              print( robust.mad(haber[ haber['status'] == 'died' ][col]) )
          ****** age ******************
         Median:
          52.0
```

53.0

Quantiles:

Median: 63.0 63.0

69.0 69.0

Median: 0.0 4.0

Quantiles:

[0. 0. 3. 46.] [1. 4. 11. 52.]

99th Percentile: 27.2799999999993 38.40000000000005

5.930408874022408

-1.93 9.93

Observations:

Median Absolute Deviation:

In [33]: haber_died = haber[haber['status'] == 'died']['nodes']

print(round(np.median(haber died) -robust.mad(haber died), 2),\

round(np.median(haber_died)+robust.mad(haber_died), 2))

The Median, Median Absolute Deviation and 90th Percentile for age & year are almost same.
 Patients survived less than 5 years can be mostly found with axillary nodes between -1.93 to 9.93.

3. 99% of patients with axillary nodes less than or equal to 27 survived more than 5 years.

Quantiles:

[60. 63. 66. 69.] [59. 63. 65. 69.]

99th Percentile:

4.447806655516806 4.447806655516806

Median Absolute Deviation:

[43. 52. 60. 77.] [46. 53. 61. 83.]

13.343419966550417 11.860817748044816

Median Absolute Deviation: