

08DOA-S2839 Content Management System (CMS) Modernization Bid Q&A

QUESTION_NBR	CREATED_BY	QUESTION_SUBJECT	QUESTION_TEXT	ANSWER_TEXT
1	BATITTO@ADOBE.COM	Software Framework	would the state consider platforms that are not built on .NET/C#? or is this a requirement?	See SOW Req_17. Only .NET/C# platforms will be considered
2	BATITTO@ADOBE.COM	Security	Is FedRamp a requirement for the software?	See https://it.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/itnewnv.gov/content/Governance/Security/FINAL_S_5_06_01_Cloud_Services.pdf
3	JKAZI@CANTONGROUP.COM	Budget, Section 7.1	Is the budget detailed in Section 7.1 (in the SOW) for the entire project (base contract of two years)? If services are unused in 2025, can they be carried over into 2026?	See SOW 6.1.4.3 - all contractual work must be completed by June 30, 2026.
4	JKAZI@CANTONGROUP.COM	Cost Proposal Format	The cost proposal excel sheet only has two years listed, where should we put in pricing related to the two option years?	This project and pricing models is for FY25 and FY26 only.
5	JKAZI@CANTONGROUP.COM	Due Date Change	Can the State explain why the due date was moved up? And is there a chance the due date will be pushed back to its original date?	The dates were updated to align with the original schedule. The dates will remain as is for the remainder of this RFP to meet funding deadlines.
6	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	yes	No response needed
7	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	1.Can the Vendor use a mix of Onshore (US-based) and Offshore (resources from the Vendor's offices in another country like India) to work on this project?	See Cloud Services Standard S.5.06.01, Section 6.5A is specific: CSP data centers, staff and contractors collecting, processing, transmitting, storing, or interconnecting State data in a cloud environment must be located within the continental United States.
8	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	2.Is remote working acceptable?	The State expects a mixture of onsite and remote. The vendor must be available during State of Nevada business hours.
9	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	3.Is offshore allowed?	See response 6.
10	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	4.We assume there is no onsite requirement for the key staff to be available for this project. Please confirm.	See response 8.
11	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	5.Is this a new contract or are there any incumbents? If there is an incumbent, could you please let us know the incumbent name, pricing and are the incumbents eligible to bid for this opportunity?	New contract.
12	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	6.Based on the responses received to these questions, will there be a window to ask further follow-up questions? Please confirm.	There is only one question and answered period scheduled.
13	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	7.Please elaborate on current teams supporting the scope of work, roles available, no. of staff along with skill sets.	The project will be supported by 1 dedicated Sr Technical Project Manager dev 1 Web Team Manager 1 dedicated lead developer 1 lead developer - @50% 3 developers - @25%
14	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	8.What are some of the potential risks / issues which you could foresee for this project implementation? Please elaborate.	The State expects exposure to typical risks encountered in replatforming and migration projects. The vendor project manager is expected to develop a risk management plan for the project in collaboration with the State project manager.
15	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	9.As per the RFP we understand that the State wants the vendor to submit the Response via NevadaEPro portal as a single file attachment and Reference Questionnaires will be submitted via mail by our references directly. Please confirm.	All responses to the RFP are to be submitted via the Nevada EPro portal
16	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	10.Could you provide a list of technical or functional challenges within the current system so that we can make sure they are addressed in the new system? a.What are some main pain points that need to be addressed in new website? b.Is there a specific event driving the launch date for new Website?	Please see section 3.0 Goals and Objectives of the SOW
17	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	11.What was the state's last year's expenditure for similar services?	No response needed
18	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	12.Referring to section 7.8. Business References, it is stated that Vendors shall provide a minimum of three (3) business references from similar projects performed for private and/or public sector clients within the last five (5) years. We request State to consider projects within the last Ten (10) years instead of Five (5) . Please consider.	Please provide references as requested in the RFP.
19	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	13.As per the RFP section 7.8. Business References as stated, Vendors shall provide a minimum of three (3) business references from similar projects performed for private and/or public sector clients within the last five (5) years. Can a Prime Proposer bring Subcontractor/Partners and use their experience and references to qualify? Please confirm.	Please see section 7.6 regarding the requirements for Subcontractors.
20	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	14.We assume that the vendor is expected to provide a minimum of three (3) references for the proposed staff from the last 10 years. Please confirm.	Please provide references as requested in the RFP.
21	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	15.As per the RFP Website maintenance expectations are not mentioned clearly. Please share what all activities, you are looking for in new website maintenance. a.What is the budget for the ongoing maintenance of these websites? b.What are the user activities performed under the current maintenance plan? c.How many ticket requests are handled monthly?	The vendor is expected to provide full support for hosting/licensing as part of the quoted fees. We expect to negotiate SLA terms as part of the contract.

22	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	16.Does the State expect vendors to provide L2 & L3 support only? We assume that L1 support would be handled by the State itself. Can you please confirm?	The State will manage all support tickets. We intend that the Web Team become proficient in managing the platform to be able to resolve priority 1 (all users affected), 2, and 3 tickets.
23	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	17.Will state be fine with email support, or are you looking at a full-fledged managed support model (with a ticketing system) in their own time zone? Kindly confirm.	We do want vendor support on issues we cannot resolve internally with regards to the CMS.
24	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	18.Will the state's internal web team handle the maintenance of the state existing website until decommissioning, or does the state expect the vendor to handle all the maintenance aspects of the old website until the new website is live?	The State Web Team will continue to manage and support the existing websites hosted on Ektron.
25	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	19.We assume that current ongoing support is being taken care of by the State Itself. Please confirm?	See Response 24
26	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	20.For how long the Post implementation support is required after Go Live. Please confirm.	As this is project is foreseen as a phased rollout/launch of websites, the Web Team is envisioned to be completely self-sufficient in managing/supporting the platform by end of project. No services/support are expected after June 30, 2026.
27	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	21.We assume that the State is looking for technical support only during Business hours (8:30AM to 5:00 PM EST) and not 24/7. Please Confirm?	The State expects technical support during its Pacific time business hours 8:00 AM - 5:00 pm (11:00 AM - 8:00 PM Eastern)
28	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	22.As per the RFP, we understand that the State does not require assistance in writing new content for the website. If this is not the case, please specify the extent of help you expect from your chosen partner. d.Do you need a content strategy, copywriting, or copy-editing services? e.Will the State provide the content or does new content needs to be written? please confirm? f.The new content will be translated using Google translate or do you need dedicated multilingual content in different languages? Please specify. g.What are the specific languages that the State wants apart from English?	Content revision is out of scope. d. See SOW 6.1.3.1 Phase 1: Pilot Launch. e. See SOW 6.1.3.1.11 The content hosted on Ektron, designated to be migrated. No new content. f. Not in scope g. None
29	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	23.While redefining the branding strategy & redesigning the new websites for the State. Does the State want vendors to examine any specific list of competitors as a benchmark? h.Can the State provide some references of the websites for better understanding of the State new website expectations?	The State looks to the vendor to recommend the new look and feel
30	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	24.Could you provide details on the current state of the website's archive, including its total size, how it is stored and managed, the percentage of static/non-changing content, the age range of documents, the accessibility of the content, and the estimated percentage of web pages and files that will be migrated to the new site?	The current CMS does not archive. The publishing environment has been modified to enable content creators the ability to create ADA compliant content. The Documents retention policy varies by agency and is managed by the entity that owns the content. The percentage of the web pages and files that will be migrated to the new site depends on the Agency themselves; for estimation purposes, assume 100%.
31	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	25.Are there any third-party vendors systems, applications, or services that need to be integrated or coordinated with this project?	See SOW 2.4.
32	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	26.Is there any live streaming, real-time broadcasting, webinar software currently utilized that would be preferable for integration?	No
33	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	27.Are there any functionalities or sections on the current site that you would like to get rid of? Are there any you would like to see expanded in new website?	This question is part of the project scope.
34	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	28.We understand the Proposal Due date has been moved from June 27th to June 13th now. Can we please understand the reason for changing due date to June 13th?	The dates were updated to align with the original schedule. The dates will remain as is for the remainder of this RFP to meet funding deadlines.
35	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	29.We would request at least 1-week (one) extension for the proposal submission as the question response is expected to be received by June 05th , 2024, so the vendor gets appropriate time to draft a quality proposal in detail based upon the clarifications received after QnA responses. Please consider.	No extension.
36	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	30.Is there any estimation done to come up with the budget for this project?	This project budget was developed using responses from an RFI published in November 2023.
37	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	31.We understand that the budget for PaaS Hosting, Licensing, Support & Maintenance is \$990,000 and Services Fees is \$ 882,006. If the PaaS Hosting , Licensing, Support and maintenance is done in less than \$990,000 will the remainder budget be allocated for Services Fees. Please confirm.	That is to be determined during the process, if needed.
38	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	32.Referring to the RFP Section 7.7. Vendor Financial Information Proposing vendor shall provide the financial information and documentation for The last two (2) full years and current year interim: a.Profit and Loss Statements b.Balance Statements Being proprietorship organization, we will provide revenue numbers but will not be able to give other details at the time of submission. We understand that importance of such financial information and assure you that if selected, we will be able to share before award. Is this acceptable?	This RFP requests the company's financial information. All financial information will remain confidential if you check the "Confidential" box in EPro.

39	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	33.Vendor Financial Information :- a.The information requested is confidential. Can we please share the information related to Vendor Financial Information separately over email instead of uploading on the NevadaEPro. If yes, Can you please share the Email ID where we can share the financial information.	See answer to question 38
40	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	34.Vendor Financial Information :- a.We understand the financial information will be kept confidential and would not be open for Public Disclosure. Please confirm.	See answer to question 38
41	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	35.Vendor Information Response :- a.We understand the information will be kept confidential and would not be open for Public Disclosure. Please confirm.	Vendor information is kept confidential until the notice of award is issued. At that time, all proposals are posted in NevadaEPro.
42	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	36.We are assuming that the Certificate of Insurance is needed post-award of the Contract and not during the Proposal Submission. Please confirm.	Correct, however it must be effective at the start of the contract.
43	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	37.As per Section 7.5 Vendor Staff Resumes, Vendor needs to provide the resume of only the key personnel and not the entire project team. Please confirm.	We expect resumes for the entire project team.
44	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	38.Submission Checklist :- It states, "Vendors are encouraged to submit a single file attachment per proposal section if possible." Can you please clarify what states mean by "per proposal Section"? We plan to share the below documents as separate attachments. Is this acceptable? a.Main Response Document which will include the sections like Technical Proposal, , Signed Attachments etc. b.Cost Proposal. c.Redlined Contract Form (If Applicable) d.Insurance Requirements (If Applicable) e.Redlined Terms and Conditions for Services (If Applicable)	Yes, that is acceptable.
45	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	39.Project Overview states "The resulting contract is expected to be for a contract term of four years, with an option for two (2), two (2) year renewals, subject to Board of Examiners approval." However, the "Cost Schedule" has provision to add costs only for Year 1 and Year 2. In this case, where to add costs for Year 3 and Year 4.	Costs for years 3 and 4 will be determined once the agency and vendor come to an agreement on the successful completion of the project being requested.
46	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	40.Project Deadlines in the file "08DOA-S2839 CMS Modernization SOW" states that "The vendor must complete contractual work by June 30, 2026." However, in "08DOA-S2839 RFP Content Management System Modernization" Project Overview states "The resulting contract is expected to be for a contract term of four years, with an option for two (2), two (2) year renewals, subject to Board of Examiners approval." Can you please confirm the base contract term? Is it 2 years or 4 Years?	Implementation of the project is to be completed by June 30, 2026, however it will need to be supported post go-live which rolls into the four year contract.
47	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	41.As per the RFP, we understand that the state is looking to migrate from Ektron CMS due to its consolidation with Episerver and the complexities of its monolithic architecture, which makes managing the websites and content challenging for the State. a.While the RFP specifies a .NET/C# based solution, we'd like to explore your openness to alternatives like "Drupal CMS on Acquia Cloud platform that meet all your requirements while offering additional benefits. b.Could you please elaborate why a .NET/C# based solution is a minimum requirement exclusively? Is there a specific reason for this preference? c.Can you elaborate on the pain points you're experiencing with Ektron's content authoring, approval workflows, and publishing processes? d.Beyond a .NET/C# platform, what specific security features and compliance requirements (e.g., HIPAA, PCI) are most critical for your websites? e.How do you envision your website traffic and content needs evolving in the coming years on these 114 websites?	See SOW Req_17. Only .NET/C# platforms will be considered
48	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	42.Are there any specific CMS vendors or platforms you would like the proposals to focus on "opensource or proprietary, or are you open to receiving recommendations from bidders?	See SOW Req_17. Only .NET/C# platforms will be considered
49	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	43.As per the RFP, we understand that you want the vendor to provide hosting solutions. a.Do you have a list of hosting preferences, or do you want the vendor to make suggestions? Please confirm.	Vendor recommendations
50	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	44.Kindly share the detailed content inventory. Understanding the types and volume of content (text, images, videos, downloadable documents) will help us in estimating the resources required for migration and ensuring successful content migration.	See 2.5.2.
51	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	45.Are there any specific features or functionalities that are critical for the new CMS beyond those listed in the RFP?	No
52	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	46.Has keyword research been conducted? Are there any SEO reports available that provide insights into current keyword rankings and organic traffic sources?	No
53	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	47.How does the State currently manage data backups and disaster recovery?	As part of the State of Nevada our server team creates nightly backups for both the Server and Database. For Disaster Recovery we have three off site locations that backups are stored if we need to initiate disaster recover. Our servers are also set up on fail over if a cluster shuts down or has issues.
54	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	48.What are the expectations for regular security audits and penetration testing?	See https://it.nv.gov/Governance/Security/State_Security_Policies_Standards_Procedures/

55	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	49.How does the State Bar envision managing user access and permissions across different integrated systems (e.g., single sign-on)?	See SOW 2.4.
56	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	50.Could you provide more details on your multi-factor authentication (MFA) requirements for different user groups (internal vs external)?	No external user groups
57	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	51.Does the State have any specific performance benchmarks beyond those listed in the RFP (e.g., page load times, concurrency)?	No
58	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	52.What are the scalability requirements for the new website? How many users does the State anticipate at peak times?	See SOW 2.5.4.
59	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	53.What type of reporting or analytics data do you currently utilize from your Ektron platform?	See SOW 5.0 Req_02
60	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	54.How many internal developers & resources do you have to support this project, and what is their anticipated role in the initial development of the solution, ongoing maintenance, and feature enhancements to the website?	See Response 2
61	AGREEYA		55.The RFP mentions advanced technical training for the CSU Web Team. Can you elaborate on the specific skills and knowledge the team needs to acquire for successful platform management and future website migrations (Phase 2)?	The State Web Team is proficient in supporting .NET/C# platforms. The vendor is expected to identify any gaps in their knowledge base and the skills needed to be proficient in becoming self-sufficient in supporting the platform.
62	AGREEYA		56.How will the vendor collaborate with the CSU Web Team to ensure their proficiency in content migration, quality assurance, and user acceptance testing (UAT)? What training materials or resources can be provided to facilitate their knowledge transfer?	The vendor is expected to provide a plan for this.
63	AGREEYA		57.The RFP mentions developing training collateral for agency content managers. Can you elaborate on the desired level of involvement from the CSU Web Team in delivering this training alongside the vendor?	See 6.1.3.2.4.
64	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	58.Do you prefer onsite training or virtual training? Should vendor include anticipated travel costs in our budgets?	We defer to the vendors recommendation.
65	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	59.The RFP excludes new content creation and copywriting from the project scope. How will the CSU Web Team handle these tasks moving forward, and will the vendor be expected to provide these services with the new CMS platform?	No services are required from the vendor.
66	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	60.The RFP excludes ongoing managed services for development and content management. Does the CSU Web Team have the resources and expertise to handle ongoing maintenance and support tasks after project completion? If not, are there specific areas where ongoing vendor support might be considered in the future?	The hosting vendor is expected to maintain the hosting environment according to the State Information Security Requirements. The CSU Web Team is proficient in supporting .NET/C# platforms.
67	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	61.The RFP emphasizes collaboration with the State project manager. Can you detail the preferred communication channels for ongoing project updates, feedback exchange, and issue resolution throughout the project duration?	The vendor is expected to collaborate with the State project manager in developing a project communication plan.
68	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	62.We understand the extensive number of websites (114) involved in this project. To optimize development efficiency, could you clarify your preference between custom design prototypes for each website or a single, adaptable theme?	We expect the content strategy to provide several themes that will be used throughout all hosted websites to ensure a consistent look and feel.
69	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	63.Although detailed customer journey mapping is excluded, would you like us to consider high-level user experience (UX) improvements during the migration process?	Yes.
70	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	64.Do you have a central repository or inventory of your website content for the 114 websites?	Yes.
71	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	65.Does the State have a documented content strategy for the website redesign? Are there plans for ongoing content creation and updates?	No.
72	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	66.Should photography (and videography, if applicable) be provided by the State, or is it expected to be included in our proposal?	Provided by the State Agency content owners.
73	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	67.Will the State provide the stock images or videos (if/where applicable) or should this be considered as part of our proposal?	Stock images are provided by the State Web Team and/or Agency content owners.
74	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	68.The RFP calls for wireframes for all primary and secondary pages. Would you like these wireframes to be high-fidelity with detailed UI elements, or is a low-fidelity approach with basic layouts and functionality sufficient for your review?	We expect both. First draft low-fidelity versions, high-fidelity for approval.
75	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	69.Do you have a specific collaborative interface design tool in mind for creating the POC design? If not, are there any tools you'd prefer not to use, or are you open to vendor recommendations?	No.
76	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	70.The RFP mentions a "detailed assessment" of 14 pilot websites. Can you elaborate on the range of content types (e.g., text-heavy, image-heavy, forms) present across these websites?	All sites are text heavy.
77	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	71.Does the State possess an existing style-guide for reference, or will the application necessitate the development of a completely new Style-Guide?	The State expects a completely new style guide.
78	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	72.The RFP mentions migration to "agency content-editor friendly templates/forms." Can you elaborate on the level of complexity or specific requirements for these templates? Are there any existing style guides or content formatting preferences that need to be considered?	No.
79	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	73.Are different themes required for all websites, subsites/sections, or will they adhere to the main theme exclusively?	See response 68.
80	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	74.What are the conversions that should be supported by the new user paths and design work? (e.g., form fill, click to another website, content engagement, etc.)	Not at this time.
81	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	75.How do you currently measure the success of your website in attracting and informing your audience? What types of success metrics are important to you?	Current focus is on ADA accessibility. We expect the vendors guidance in developing suitable metrics for public sector websites post launch.

82	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	76.Could you provide examples of websites that you aim to emulate in terms of design or functionality?	See response 29.
83	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	77.How do you envision your content strategy changing (if at all) with the new website? Are there any target audiences you feel you are currently not reaching or would like to expand?	One of the deliverables is the Content Strategy. This question would be explored as part of it.
84	AGREEYA	AgreeYa Question	78.Are there any learning platforms integrated with present web applications?	No
85	THEC2GROUP	Accessibility	Do you use a tool (ie: SiteImprove) for checking accessibility compliance?	The State uses SiteImprove
86	THEC2GROUP	Accessibility	Are the State's PDFs accessible? If not, what is the remediation plan?	A remediation plan is not part of the project scope.
87	THEC2GROUP	Multi-Lingual	Do the sites need to be multilingual? If yes, what languages need consideration?	The platform should support multilingual capabilities, but functionality will not be enabled as part of the project.
88	THEC2GROUP	Payment Processing	Which payment provider would the State like to use and what are examples of use cases?	The State expects the platform to have payment processing functionality for future use cases, but will not be enabled/configured as part of the project.
89	THEC2GROUP	URL Inventory	What are the 14 websites that will be migrating initially? A list of URLâ??s would be helpful.	The State will not release the URLs as part of the RFP Q&A.
90	THEC2GROUP	RFP	Will the proposal response from potential vendors be considered the SOW for the project?	The State expects the contract SOW will be based on the RFP SOW. Negotiations are expected.
91	THEC2GROUP	Site Traffic	1.1.1 - when the State mentions doubling the count of websites and traffic post project, does that mean double the initial 14 sites?	The project comprises 114 websites: 14 in Phase 1 (Pilot), and 100 post-pilot. Once the 114 websites are hosted on Ektron, the platform could eventually host all State of Nevada government websites not currently hosted on Ektron, currently about 85. Hosting fees for those websites are not part of the hosting services for this contract, but the platform and hosting environment must be scalable to eventually bring those sites onboard.
92	THEC2GROUP	Development	What is the expected involvement of the developers on the State of Nevada Staff?	The State of Nevada staff dedicated to the project is as follows: 1 - dedicated Senior Technical Project Manager 1 - dedicated .NET/C# lead developer 1 - 50% .NET/C# lead developer 3 - 25% .NET/C# developers
93	THEC2GROUP	Integrations	Outside of SSO, Analytics/GTM, and Calendar, are there any other applications that need to be integrated with the new CMS platform?	No.
94	THEC2GROUP	Integrations	REQ_05 - API Integration â?? What will consume this data, and does it need to access any specific data other than the CMS Content?	Internal tools created by the Web Team. We may also create reports from CMS data via MS Power BI.
95	THEC2GROUP	Functionality	3.5.6. references 80% of the functionality/user paths identified in the assessment, is that current functionality or determined functionality resulting from a Discovery type activity? Any more clarity on what the 80% means in this requirement will be helpful.	While the State would prefer 100% of the functionality/ paths, we do not expect all to be uncovered as the vendor is not expected to conduct detailed user journey research in Discovery. We intend that the vendor and State project managers develop a Agency Content Owner sign off of functionality/paths which will serve as the basis for UAT acceptance.
96	THEC2GROUP	UAT	3.5.14. Can the State clarify if the content managers from the State will participate in the UAT phase or if it is expected that State employees will not participate in UAT? If they participate, what is the State's expectation of the content managers in the UAT phase vs. the vendors responsibilities?	The content managers will conduct UAT. The State expects that the vendor will hand off validation that all designated functionality works and that 100% of the content was migrated; the vendor and State project manager will develop test cases for content manager UAT as part of the Quality plan.
97	THEC2GROUP	Calendar	Req_05 - Depending on budget reconciliation following a Discovery, we may need to explore third party options for calendar functionality. Is that acceptable by the State?	Yes.
98	THEC2GROUP	Delivery Dates	With the BOE approval happening on 10/8/24, hitting an initial launch date of March 31 for three sites may be difficult. Is there a specific State objective driving that date or is it flexible?	The intent is that the contract will be presented to BOE September 10, 2024, with the documentation submitted by August 6, 2024. There is some flexibility in launching the initial sites, but prior projects conducted at the State have proven this is a reasonable timeline.
99	THEC2GROUP	Initial Sites	What three sites would the State prefer to launch first out of the initial 14 sites that will migrate?	The first sites to launch will at a minimum be nv.gov and gov.nv.gov
100	THEC2GROUP	Ektron Configuration	Please provide the number of discreet content types in aggregate or across all sites included in this effort: Ektron Smartform Configurations, Templates, Pagebuilder Layouts	Discreet content types: 41 Ektron Templates: 4 Templates Ektron Smartforms: 32 Smartforms that are used on more than 10 pages. 21 Smartforms used less than 10 pages and can be phased out.
101	THEC2GROUP	Content Standardization	Are there any organization or technical opposition to leveraging opportunities to standardize similar content elements across sites, or are there requirements to segregate content types by site or responsible teams/parties?	The State supports this approach as it will enable a consistent look and feel
102	THEC2GROUP	Access	Can access be provided to the Ektron solution to help determine the content migration effort?	Access to Ektron will be provided to the awarded vendor.