

Smart Contract Security Analysis Report

■ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report Generated	2025-07-05 20:35:54
Analysis Target	YieldFarmVault Smart Contract
Vulnerabilities Found	3
Raw Threat Score	328.00 points
Normalized Score	500.00/500
Overall Threat Level	■ CRITICAL

Vulnerability Type	Impact	Confidence	Threat Score
reentrancy-eth	High	Medium	288.00
solc-version	Informational	High	20.00
low-level-calls	Informational	High	20.00

■ DETAILED VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS

VULNERABILITY #1: REENTRANCY ETH

Detector ID	reentrancy-eth
Impact Level	High
Confidence Level	Medium
Threat Score	288.00 points
Scoring Breakdown	$40.0 \times 0.8 \times 9 = 288.00$
Affected Contract	YieldFarmVault
Affected Function	claimRewards()
File Location	contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol
Line Numbers	Lines 25-34

■ Severity Explanation:

This vulnerability is classified as High impact with Medium confidence because it allows recursive calls that can drain contract funds.

■ Technical Analysis:

REENTRANCY VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS:

Reentrancy in YieldFarmVault.claimRewards() (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#25-34): External calls:

- (sent,None) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}() (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#30) State variables written after the call(s):
- stakeBalance[msg.sender] = 0 (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#33)

YieldFarmVault.stakeBalance (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#10) can be used in cross function reentrancies:

- YieldFarmVault.claimRewards() (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#25-34)
- YieldFarmVault.stake() (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#19-22)
- YieldFarmVault.stakeBalance (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#10)

VULNERABILITY PATTERN IDENTIFIED:

- Function: claimRewards()
- External calls made before state updates
- State variables written after external calls
- This creates a window for recursive attacks

■ Code Analysis:

PROBLEMATIĆ CODE SEQUENCE:

- 1. External call on line 30: (sent,None) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}()
- 2. State update on line 33: stakeBalance[msg.sender] = 0

The state update happens AFTER the external call, creating reentrancy vulnerability.

■ Affected Code Locations:

Line(s)	Code	Туре
30	(sent,None) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}()	external_calls
33	stakeBalance[msg.sender] = 0	variables_written

■ Attack Scenario:

ATTACK SCENARIO:

- 1. Attacker deploys malicious contract with fallback function
- 2. Attacker calls claimRewards() with legitimate stake

- 3. Contract calculates reward and makes external call
- 4. Attacker's fallback function calls claimRewards() again
- 5. Since state hasn't been updated, reward is calculated again
- 6. Process repeats until contract balance is drained

■■ Remediation:

IMMEDIATE FIXES REQUIRED:

- 1. Move state updates BEFORE external calls (Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern)
- 2. Add reentrancy guard using OpenZeppelin's ReentrancyGuard
- 3. Use pull payment pattern instead of push payments
- 4. Consider using transfer() instead of call() for simple Ether transfers

VULNERABILITY #2: SOLC VERSION

Detector ID	Detector ID solc-version	
Impact Level	Informational	
Confidence Level	High	
Threat Score	20.00 points	
Scoring Breakdown	$5.0 \times 1.0 \times 9 = 20.00$	

■ Severity Explanation:

Classified as Informational because it affects code reliability but doesn't directly create exploitable vulnerabilities.

■ Technical Analysis:

COMPILER ISSUE ANALYSIS:

Version constraint ^0.8.20 contains known severe issues (https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/latest/bugs.html)

- VerbatimInvalidDeduplication
- FullInlinerNonExpressionSplitArgumentEvaluationOrder
- MissingSideEffectsOnSelectorAccess.

It is used by:

- ^0.8.20 (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#2)

■ Code Analysis:

The pragma directive allows problematic compiler versions that contain known bugs.

■ Attack Scenario:

Not directly exploitable, but compiler bugs may create unexpected behavior that could be exploited.

■■ Remediation:

Upgrade to Solidity 0.8.21+ and pin to specific version without caret (^).

VULNERABILITY #3: LOW LEVEL CALLS

Detector ID	low-level-calls
Impact Level	Informational
Confidence Level	High
Threat Score	20.00 points
Scoring Breakdown	$5.0 \times 1.0 \times 9 = 20.00$
Affected Contract	YieldFarmVault
Affected Function	claimRewards()
File Location	contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol
Line Numbers	Lines 25-34

■ Severity Explanation:

Classified as Informational - not directly exploitable but enables other vulnerabilities.

■ Technical Analysis:

LOW-LEVEL CALL ANALYSIS:

Low level call in YieldFarmVault.claimRewards() (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#25-34):

- (sent,None) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}() (contracts/YieldFarmVault.sol#30)

■ Code Analysis:

Low-level call identified:

This bypasses Solidity safety checks and enables reentrancy.

■ Affected Code Locations:

Line(s)	Code	Туре
30	(sent,None) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}()	general

■ Attack Scenario:

Enables reentrancy attacks by allowing unlimited gas forwarding to external contracts.

■■ Remediation:

Use transfer() for simple Ether transfers or implement proper gas limits and reentrancy protection.

■ THREAT SCORING METHODOLOGY

HexSentinel Dynamic Scoring System:

Base Severity Weights:

High Impact: 40.0 points
Medium Impact: 20.0 points
Low Impact: 10.0 points
Informational: 5.0 points

Confidence Multipliers:

• High Confidence: 1.0x (no reduction)

Medium Confidence: 0.8xLow Confidence: 0.6x

Risk Amplification:

• High/Medium severity: 3x prevalence x 3x exploitability = 9x multiplier

• Low/Informational: 2x prevalence x 2x exploitability = 4x multiplier

Final Calculation:

Score = (Base Severity × Confidence Multiplier) × Risk Amplification

Your Contract Scores:

reentrancy-eth: 288.00 points (High/Medium)
solc-version: 20.00 points (Informational/High)
low-level-calls: 20.00 points (Informational/High)

Total Raw Score: 328.00 points
Normalized Score: 500.00/500
Final Threat Level: ■ CRITICAL

■ PRIORITIZED RECOMMENDATIONS

■ CRITICAL PRIORITY (Immediate Action Required):

• Fix reentrancy-eth vulnerability in claimRewards()

■■ MEDIUM PRIORITY (Best Practices):

- Resolve solc-version for improved code quality
- Resolve low-level-calls for improved code quality

■ GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Implement comprehensive unit and integration tests
- Consider professional security audit before mainnet deployment
- Establish continuous security monitoring
- Follow secure development best practices
- Regular dependency and compiler updates