

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad l_{i1} &= -2(-149.521 - (-134.178)) = \underline{30.68} \\ l_{i2} &= -2(-139.747 - (-134.178)) = \underline{21.14} \\ l_{i1}, l_{i2} &= -2(-152.763 - (-134.178)) = \underline{\underline{37.17}} \end{aligned}$$

$\rho_{i1} \quad l_{i1} @ \alpha = 5\% \quad \chi^2(1) = 3.84$
hence H_0 rejected

$\rho_{i2} \quad l_{i2} @ \alpha = 5\% \quad \chi^2(1) = 3.84$
hence H_0 rejected

$\rho_{i1}, \rho_{i2} @ \alpha = 5\%, \chi^2(2) = 5.99$
hence H_0 rejected.

Hence l_{i1}, l_{i2} & (l_{i1}, l_{i2}) are all significant

b) Mc Padden R^2 could be used, because number of coefficient are the same,

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\log(L(b))}{\log(L(b_0))}$$

$$R_1^2 = 0.1216 \quad R_2^2 = 0.1220 \quad R_3^2 = 0.1467 \quad R_4^2 = 0.1460$$

Third model @ R_3^2 performs well.