RSA crypto-system

Saturday, 30 November 2019 11:54 AM

ASSYMETRIC ACHORITHM:

Somefacts about RSA: - invented in 1977 by Rivest, Shamii, Adle man > based on the paper by DIFFIE-HELLMAN

> most popular PK uyptocystem.

→ patented in the VSA while 2000,

RSA ALMORITHM

KEY NENERATION:

unlike symm algoritum (AES, 3DES), PK algoritum against the computation of the pair (kpub, Kpz)

(1) choose longe bring pig → pig ≥ 2512 >> h ≥ 2 1024 h= p-g

 $\emptyset(h) = (b-1)(g-1)$

choose $k_{pub} = e \in \{1, \dots, p(h)-1\}$ s.t. g(d(e, p(h)) = 1Cthis guarantee that the inverse exist (3))

compute $k_{pn} = d$ s.t.

d. e = \ mod f(n)Ly Entended Enclideur Algarithm Kpub = (hie), Kph = (d)

2 Remarks:

Groof of waretness:

What is interesting is that the message x is first raised to the eth power during encryption and the result y is raised to the dth power in the decryption, and the result of this is again equal to the message x. Expressed as an equation, this process

$$d_{k_{pr}}(y) = d_{k_{pr}}(e_{k_{pub}}(x)) \equiv (x^{\ell})^d \equiv x^{d\ell} \equiv x \bmod n. \tag{7.3}$$

This is the essence of RSA. We will now prove why the RSA scheme works.

Proof. We need to show that decryption is the inverse function of encryption, $d_{kpr}(e_{kpo}(x)) = x$. We start with the construction rule for the public and private key: $d \cdot e \equiv 1 \mod \Phi(n)$. By definition of the modulo operator, this is equivalent to:

$$d \cdot e = 1 + t \cdot \Phi(n)$$
,

where t is some integer. Inserting this expression in Eq. (7.3):

$$d_{k_{pr}}(y) \equiv x^{de} \equiv x^{1+i\cdot\Phi(n)} \equiv x^{i\cdot\Phi(n)}\cdot x^1 \equiv (x^{\Phi(n)})^i\cdot x \mod n.$$
 (7.4)

This means we have to prove that $x \equiv (x^{\Phi(n)})^l \cdot x \mod n$. We use now Euler's Theorem from Sect. 6.3.3, which states that if $\gcd(x,n) = 1$ then $1 \equiv x^{\Phi(n)} \mod n$. A minor generalization immediately follows:

$$1 \equiv 1^t \equiv (x^{\Phi(n)})^t \bmod n, \tag{7.5}$$

where t is any integer. For the proof we distinguish two cases:

First case: gcd(x,n) = 1Euler's Theorem holds here and we can insert Eq. (7.5) into (7.4):

$$d_{k_{H}}(y) \equiv (x^{\Phi(n)})^l \cdot x \equiv 1 \cdot x \equiv x \mod n.$$
 q.e.d.

This part of the proof establishes that decryption is actually the inverse function of encryption for plaintext values x which are relatively prime to the RSA modulus n. We provide now the proof for the other case.

Second case: $gcd(x, n) = gcd(x, p \cdot q) \neq 1$ Since p and q are primes, x must have one of them as a factor:

$$x = r \cdot p$$
 or $x = s \cdot q$,

where r, s are integers such that r < q and s < p. Without loss of generality we assume $x = r \cdot p$, from which follows that $\gcd(x,q) = 1$. Euler's Theorem holds

$$1 \equiv 1^l \equiv (x^{\Phi(q)})^l \bmod q,$$

where t is any positive integer. We now look at the term $(x^{\Phi(n)})^{I}$ again:

$$(x^{\Phi(q)})^l \equiv (x^{(q-1)(p-1)})^l \equiv ((x^{\Phi(q)})^l)^{p-1} \equiv 1^{(p-1)} = 1 \mod q.$$

Using the definition of the modulo operator, this is equivalent to:

$$(x^{\Phi(n)})^{l}=1+u\cdot q,$$

where u is some integer. We multiply this equation by x:

$$x \cdot (x^{\Phi(n)})^t = x + x \cdot u \cdot q$$

$$= x + (r \cdot p) \cdot u \cdot q$$

$$= x + r \cdot u \cdot (p \cdot q)$$

$$= x + r \cdot u \cdot n$$

$$x \cdot (x^{\Phi(n)})^t \equiv x \mod n.$$

Inserting Eq. (7.6) into Eq. (7.4) yields the desired result:

$$d_{k_{loc}} = (x^{\Phi(n)})^l \cdot x \equiv x \mod n.$$

RSA ENCRYPTION & DECRYPTION:

given Koub = (n.e), x = 20,1,....h-13

y = e (x) = ne mod n

given Kpa = d, y & Zh

 $n = d_{\kappa_{0}}(y) \equiv y^{d} \mod n$

crample:

Bob m h = 2 a = 11

FAST EXPONENTIATION:

problem:

g=ne modn x = y or med n

with very large numbers.

haire way $\chi \cdot \chi = \chi^2$

better way 71.21 = 72 n2. x2= n9 $\lambda^2 N = \chi^3$

737=74

