


# **Expectimax Search**


CSE 415: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence University of Washington Winter, 2020

Credit goes to Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel, Univ. of California, for the slides of this lecture.

### **Uncertain Outcomes**




### Worst-Case vs. Average Case



Idea: Uncertain outcomes controlled by chance, not an adversary!

### **Expectimax Search**

- Why wouldn't we know what the result of an action will be?
  - Explicit randomness: rolling dice
  - Unpredictable opponents: the ghosts respond randomly
  - Actions can fail: when moving a robot, wheels might slip
- Values should now reflect average-case (expectimax) outcomes, not worst-case (minimax) outcomes
- Expectimax search: compute the average score under optimal play
  - Max nodes as in minimax search
  - Chance nodes are like min nodes but the outcome is uncertain
  - Calculate their expected utilities
  - I.e. take weighted average (expectation) of children
- Later, we'll learn how to formalize the underlying uncertainresult problems as Markov Decision Processes




### **Expectimax Pseudocode**

```
def value(state):
                 if the state is a terminal state: return the state's utility
                 if the next agent is MAX: return max-value(state)
                 if the next agent is EXP: return exp-value(state)
                                                    def exp-value(state):
def max-value(state):
                                                        initialize v = 0
   initialize v = -\infty
                                                        for each successor of state:
   for each successor of state:
                                                              p = probability(successor)
      v = max(v, value(successor))
                                                              v += p * value(successor)
   return v
                                                        return v
```


### **Expectimax Pseudocode**

```
def exp-value(state):
   initialize v = 0
   for each successor of state:
      p = probability(successor)
      v += p * value(successor)
   return v
```




$$v = (1/2)(8) + (1/3)(24) + (1/6)(-12) = 10$$


## **Expectimax Example**




## **Expectimax Pruning?**



## Depth-Limited Expectimax



## **Probabilities**



#### Reminder: Probabilities

- A random variable represents an event whose outcome is unknown
- A probability distribution is an assignment of weights to outcomes
- Example: Traffic on freeway
  - Random variable: T = whether there's traffic
  - Outcomes: T in {none, light, heavy}
  - Distribution: P(T=none) = 0.25, P(T=light) = 0.50, P(T=heavy) = 0.25



Probabilities over all possible outcomes sum to one





0.50

0.25



0.25

- As we get more evidence, probabilities may change:
  - P(T=heavy) = 0.25, P(T=heavy | Hour=8am) = 0.60
  - We'll talk about methods for reasoning and updating probabilities later

#### Reminder: Expectations

 The expected value of a function of a random variable is the average, weighted by the probability distribution over outcomes



• Example: How long to get to the airport?









#### What Probabilities to Use?

 In expectimax search, we have a probabilistic model of how the opponent (or environm will behave in any state


Model could be a simple uniform distribution (roll a die)

Model could be sophisticated and require a great of computation

 We have a chance node for any outcome out of our control: opponent or environment

The model might say that adversarial actions are likely!


 For now, assume each chance node magically comes along with probabilities that specify the distribution over its outcomes



Having a probabilistic belief about another agent's action does not mean that the agent is flipping any coins!

#### **Quiz: Informed Probabilities**


- Let's say you know that your opponent is actually running a depth
   2 minimax, using the result 80% of the time, and moving randomly otherwise
- Question: What tree search should you use?



#### Answer: Expectimax!

- To figure out EACH chance node's probabilities, you have to run a simulation of your opponent
- This kind of thing gets very slow very quickly
- Even worse if you have to simulate your opponent simulating you...
- ... except for minimax, which has the nice property that it all collapses into one game tree

# **Modeling Assumptions**



### The Dangers of Optimism and Pessimism


#### **Dangerous Optimism**

Assuming chance when the world is adversarial




#### Dangerous Pessimism

Assuming the worst case when it's not likely




# Other Game Types



#### Mixed Layer Types

- E.g. Backgammon
- Expectiminimax
  - Environment is an extra "random agent" player that moves after each min/max agent
  - Each node computes the appropriate combination of its children




### Example: Backgammon

- Dice rolls increase b: 21 possible rolls with 2 dice
  - Backgammon ≈ 20 legal moves
  - Depth 2 =  $20 \times (21 \times 20)^3 = 1.2 \times 10^9$
- As depth increases, probability of reaching a given search node shrinks
  - So usefulness of search is diminished
  - So limiting depth is less damaging
  - But pruning is trickier...
- Historic AI: TDGammon uses depth-2 search + very good evaluation function + reinforcement learning: world-champion level play
- 1st AI world champion in any game!




### Multi-Agent Utilities


What if the game is not zero-sum, or has multiple players?



- Generalization of minimax:
  - Terminals have utility tuples
  - Node values are also utility tuples
  - Each player maximizes its own component

 Can give rise to cooperation and competition dynamically...





## Utilities

