# Phys 442

## **ELECTRICITY & MAGNETISM 3**

#### University of Waterloo

Course notes by: TC Fraser Instructor: Chris O'Donovan

## **Table Of Contents**

		Page
1	Coordinates and Symmetry	4
2	First Assignment?	4
3	Conservation Laws	5
4	Poynting's Theorem	6

TC Fraser Page 2 of 6

#### Disclaimer

These notes are intended to be a reference for my future self (TC Fraser). If you the reader find these notes useful in any capacity, please feel free to use these notes as you wish, free of charge. However, I do not guarantee their complete accuracy and mistakes are likely present. If you notice any errors please email me at tcfraser@tcfraser.com, or contribute directly at https://github.com/tcfraser/course-notes. If you are the professor of this course (Chris O'Donovan) and you've managed to stumble upon these notes and would like to make large changes or additions, email me please.

Latest versions of all my course notes are available at www.tcfraser.com/coursenotes.

TC Fraser Page 3 of 6

#### 1 Coordinates and Symmetry

A clever choice of coordinates systems typically makes solving a problem considerably easier. Mathematically, this is due to *Noether's Theorem*. A typical three dimensional Lagrangian will have three dependent generalized coordinates  $L = L(x,y,z) = L(s,\theta,\zeta) = \cdots$ . However, if one can identify generalized coordinates q that make the Lagrangian invariant  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$ , then the *Euler-Lagrange* equations are considerably similar,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} = \mathrm{const.} \implies L \propto \dot{q}$$

As such, the number of equations that remain to solved has been reduced.

#### 2 First Assignment?

**A1.1**: Use cylindrical coordinates with  $\zeta$  along the axis of the cable,

$$V(\zeta) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{r}$$

Where  $\vec{\imath} = \vec{r} - \vec{r}'$ ,  $\vec{r}'$  is the source point and  $\vec{r}$  is the field point. The entire cylinder is the set of all source points  $\vec{r}'$  that are contained inside  $|\vec{r}'| \leq R$ .

$$\vec{r} = \zeta \hat{\zeta}$$

$$\vec{r}' = s'\hat{s}' + \zeta'\hat{\zeta}$$

$$V(\zeta) = \frac{\rho}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

Where  $dV = s ds d\theta d\zeta$ . One can then find the electric *field* by doing  $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V = E_{\zeta}\hat{\zeta} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta}\hat{\zeta}$ **A1.2**:

Between the two conductors, there will be a radial electric field  $\vec{E} = E(s)\hat{s}$  and parallel magnetic field  $\vec{B} = B(s)\hat{\zeta}$ . Outside the two conductors, there will be no electric or magnetic field.

$$E_{\text{vac}}^{\parallel} = 0$$

$$E_{\text{vac}}^{\perp} = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

For part g), use Laplace's equation  $\nabla^2 V = 0$ . In cylindrical coordinates, Laplace's equation is,

$$\nabla^2 V = \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( s \frac{\partial V}{\partial s} \right) = 0$$

Cylindrical coordinates gives us the following symmetries  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} = 0$ . Solving this system gives the potential in terms of s:  $V(s) = \cdots$ . Then the electric field can then be obtained via  $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V$ .

**A1.3**: Using cylindrical coordinates once again, the electric field is going to be radial outwards to the uniform charge density. For the uniform density cylinder, construct a Gaussian surface cylindrically around the cylinder. For the current density cylinder, the current density is the current per cross sectional area. Construct an Amperian loop,

$$\oint_{\Delta} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{B} = \mu I_{\text{enc}}$$

Part e), finding the vector potential,

$$A(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\tau' \frac{\vec{J}(\vec{r})}{2}$$

TC Fraser Page 4 of 6

Evidently,  $\hat{s}$  and  $\hat{s}'$  are in different directions. Solving such an equation yields,

$$A(s) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^a s' ds' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta' \frac{J(s)}{\left| s\hat{s} - s'\hat{s}' - \zeta'\hat{\zeta} \right|}$$

Recognize the structure of the potential integral,

$$V(s) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\tau' \frac{\rho(r')}{\nu} = \frac{\rho_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d\tau'}{\nu}$$

Comparing to the vector potential, we have an equivalent integral (up to a constant).

$$A(s) = \frac{\mu_0 J_0}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau'}{\hbar}$$

For question f), use the definition of  $\vec{B}$  in terms of  $\vec{A}$ ,

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$

Further, recall that if  $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V$ , then by Stoke's theorem for some loop  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$V = -\int_{\mathcal{L}} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{E}$$

Todo (TC Fraser): Figure out O'Donovan

#### 3 Conservation Laws

Beginning with one of Maxwell's equations,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

Taking the divergence of the above equation,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})^{\bullet 0} = \mu_0 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E})$$

Luckily, the divergence of a curl is always 0. Dividing by relevant constants we obtain the following conservation law,

$$0 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \tag{3.1}$$

This is a conservation of charge. It is a **local** conservation law because it holds for all points in space  $\vec{r}$ . Intuitively, is claims that the rate of charge of charge at a point is equal to the amount of current following in or out of the take point.

**A2.1**: Again using cylindrical coordinates  $\vec{r} = s\hat{s} + \zeta\hat{\zeta}$ . Let the current flow in such a way that the magnetic field points along the  $\zeta$ -axis. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an Amperian loop with one side at distance  $|\vec{r}| \to \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{B} = \mu_0 I_{\text{enc}}$$

The same equation can be reused to calculate the vector potential for a Gaussian surface S,

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{A} = \int_{\mathcal{L}} d\vec{a} \cdot \vec{B} = \Phi$$

Where  $\Phi$  is the magnetic flux through  $\mathcal{S}$ . Furthermore, the energy required to set up a magnetic field is,

$$W = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\tau B^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\tau \vec{J} \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{1}{2} L I^2$$

Where L is the self-inductance of the solenoid.

TC Fraser Page 5 of 6

### 4 Poynting's Theorem

First we begin with two of Maxwell's equations,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \tag{4.2}$$

Computing the inner product between eq. (4.1) and  $\vec{B}$ , and the inner product between eq. (4.2) and  $\vec{E}$  and taking a difference,

$$\vec{B} \cdot \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} \right) - \vec{E} \cdot \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} E^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} B^2 \right) = \mu_0 \vec{E} \cdot \vec{J}$$

Letting  $\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}E^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0}B^2$  be the **electromagnetic energy density** U, we have the following identity,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \vec{E} \times \vec{B} \right) = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \mu_0 \vec{E} \cdot \vec{J} \tag{4.3}$$

Physically eq. (4.3) corresponds to a conservation of energy. We refer to the term  $\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( \vec{E} \times \vec{B} \right)$  as the Poynting vector  $\vec{S}$  as it determines the direction of electromagnetic radiation,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{S} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \vec{E} \cdot \vec{J} = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

Much like eq. (3.1), eq. (4.4) is a local conservation of *energy*. The only algebraic difference is the term  $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{J}$ . If there is a flowing charge  $\vec{J}$  through an electric field  $\vec{E}$ , then there is work done on the charge.

TC Fraser Page 6 of 6