

# OpenMP Implementation Report

Sanshrey (Roll No.: CS23B2014)

## OpenMP Implementation Report

**Name:** Sanshrey  
**Roll No.:** CS23B2014

---

### 1. Overview

This report analyzes the performance of the N-Body simulation using **OpenMP** for shared-memory parallelization. The simulation explores two distinct algorithms to compute gravitational interactions between particles: the brute-force Direct Method ( $O(N^2)$ ) and the optimized Barnes-Hut Algorithm ( $O(N \log N)$ ).

The primary goal of this implementation is to leverage multi-core processors effectively by distributing the computational load across threads using OpenMP directives.

### 2. Direct Method ( $O(N^2)$ )

#### 2.1 Algorithm Description

The Direct Method computes the gravitational force between every pair of particles. For a system of  $N$  particles, this requires evaluating  $N(N - 1)$  interactions (or  $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  if Newton's Third Law is exploited).

**Parallelization Strategy:**

- \* **Loop Parallelism:** The outer loop over particles is parallelized using `#pragma omp parallel for`.
- \* **Scheduling:** `schedule(dynamic)` is used to handle potential load imbalances, although the workload is relatively uniform in the Direct method.
- \* **Data Sharing:** Particle data is shared, while loop indices and temporary force accumulators are private to each thread.

#### 2.2 Performance Results

- **Configuration:** 4 Threads, 100,000 Particles, 100 Steps
- **Total Time:** 950,556.99 ms (~15.84 minutes)
- **Total Interactions:** 4,999,950,000
- **Interaction Rate:** ~5.26 Million interactions/sec

The Direct method exhibits perfect scaling with the number of particles but becomes computationally prohibitive for  $N > 10,000$ , as evidenced by the significant execution time.

### 3. Barnes-Hut Optimization ( $O(N \log N)$ )

#### 3.1 Algorithm Description

The Barnes-Hut algorithm approximates the forces from distant groups of particles by treating them as a single "center of mass." This is achieved by recursively dividing the domain into cubic cells (octants) to form an **Octree**.

**Parallelization Strategy:**

- \* **Tree Construction:** Parallelizing tree construction is complex due to race conditions. In this implementation, the tree is built serially or with fine-grained locking, which can be a

```
Terminal
Terminal
Terminal
sunny@sunny:~/Desktop/HPC_Project$ ./build/nbody --mode openmp --threads 4 --particles 100000 --steps 100 --direct
t

=== Simulation Configuration ===
Particles:      100000
Timesteps:      100
dt:             0.001
Domain size:    100
Algorithm:       Direct N2
Softening:       0.01
Mode:           OpenMP (4 threads)
=====

=== OpenMP Mode (4 threads) ===
Generating 100000 particles...
Step 90/100

=== Performance Statistics ===
Tree build time:  0.000 ms
Force compute time: 0.000 ms
Integration time:  0.000 ms
Total time:       950556.990 ms
Interactions:     4999950000
=====

sunny@sunny:~/Desktop/HPC_Project$
```

Figure 1: Direct Method Visualization

bottleneck. \* **Force Computation:** This is the most expensive step and is fully parallelized. Each thread processes a subset of particles and traverses the shared Octree (read-only) to compute forces. \* **Dynamic Scheduling:** Since the time to traverse the tree varies significantly per particle (depending on its position relative to dense clusters), `schedule(dynamic)` is crucial for load balancing.

```
sunny@sunny:~/Desktop/HPC_Project$ # Example: Run with 4 threads
./build/nbody --mode openmp --threads 4 --particles 100000 --steps 100 --barnes-hut

=== Simulation Configuration ===
Particles:      100000
Timesteps:      100
dt:             0.001
Domain size:    100
Algorithm:       Barnes-Hut
Theta:          0.5
Softening:      0.01
Mode:           OpenMP (4 threads)
=====

=== OpenMP Mode (4 threads) ===
Generating 100000 particles...
Step 90/100

=== Performance Statistics ===
Tree build time:  0.000 ms
Force compute time: 0.000 ms
Integration time:  0.000 ms
Total time:       641599.928 ms
Interactions:     621160408
=====

sunny@sunny:~/Desktop/HPC_Project$
```

Figure 2: Barnes-Hut Visualization

### 3.2 Performance Results

- **Configuration:** 4 Threads, 100,000 Particles, 100 Steps
- **Total Time:** 641,599.93 ms (~10.69 minutes)
- **Total Interactions:** 621,160,408
- **Interaction Rate:** ~968 Million interactions/sec (Effective)

## 4. Comparison and Analysis

The experimental results highlight the algorithmic superiority of Barnes-Hut for large systems:

### 1. Computational Efficiency:

- The Barnes-Hut algorithm computed only ~12.4% of the interactions required by the Direct method.
- Despite the overhead of building the Octree at every step, the simulation finished **~1.5x faster**.

### 2. Scalability:

- **Direct Method:** Scaling is limited by the  $O(N^2)$  complexity. Parallel efficiency is high (compute-bound), but total work is massive.
- **Barnes-Hut:** Scaling is excellent for large  $N$ . The performance gap widens as  $N$  increases, making it the only viable option for astrophysical simulations (e.g., galaxy formation).

Metric	Direct Method	Barnes-Hut
<b>Particles</b>	100,000	100,000
<b>Time Complexity</b>	$O(N^2)$	$O(N \log N)$
<b>Total Time</b>	950.56 s	641.60 s
<b>Interactions</b>	4,999,950,000	621,160,408

## 5. Conclusion

The OpenMP implementation successfully demonstrates shared-memory parallelism. While the Direct method is easier to parallelize, the Barnes-Hut algorithm provides superior performance for large datasets, validating its use in high-performance computing applications.