

# COMPARISION OF CHENEY'S AND MARK-COMPACT ALGORITHM



DONE BY,

SANTHIYA.S, 18 31 048, MSc. Software Systems, Department of Software Systems, Coimbatore Institute Of Technology.

### **CHENEY'S GARBAGE COLLECTION ALGORITHM**

- In Cheney's algorithm, Memory space is divided in two:
  - $\rightarrow$  From-Space
  - $\rightarrow$  To-Space.
- New arrays are allocated in From-Space.
- When From-Space becomes full, program execution stops, and all live data (non-garbage) is copied to To-Space.
- From-Space and To-Space are flipped and program execution continues.

#### **Notations Used**

Assuming that h is the header cell (the first cell) of an array:

- → **visited(h)** A bit recording whether the array has been previously visited
- → **size(h)** If visited bit is zero, the size of the array
- → **forwardingAddress(h)** If visited bit is one, the location in to-space that the array was copied to.
- → **pointer?(x)** True if the value x is a pointer to an array, and false otherwise
- → **roots** The set of all live variables (which point to arrays) in the program at the time the garbage collector is invoked.
- → #heap The size of the heap

## **Algorithm**

**Step** - **1** => Copy the array beginning at location from in from-space to the next free locations in to-space. Variable free points to the next free location in to-space.

```
copyArray(from)

let header = fromSpace[from]

n \leftarrow size(header)

foreach i in 0..n

toSpace[free] \leftarrow fromSpace[from+i]

free \leftarrow free + 1
```

**Step** - **2** => If the array has not been visited, copy it to to-space and set the forwarding address. Return the tospace location of array.

```
copy(from)
let\ header = fromSpace[from]
if\ visited(header)
return\ forwardingAddress(header)
else
addr \leftarrow free
copyArray(from)
visited(header) \leftarrow true
forwardingAddress(header) \leftarrow addr
return\ addr
```

**Step** -3 => Finally perform the cheney's algorithm

```
cheney()
scan \leftarrow 1
free \leftarrow 1
foreach \ r \ in \ roots
r \leftarrow copy(r)
while \ scan < free
let \ x = toSpace[scan]
if \ pointer?(x)
toSpace[scan] \leftarrow copy(x)
scan \leftarrow scan + 1
fromSpace, \ toSpace \leftarrow toSpace, \ fromSpace
```

## MARK-COMPACT GARBAGE COLLECTION ALGORITHM

- Mark-compact algorithms can be regarded as a combination of the mark-sweep algorithm and Cheney's copying algorithm
- After marking the live objects in the heap in the same fashion as the mark-sweep algorithm, the heap will often be fragmented. The goal of mark-compact algorithms is to shift the live objects in memory together so the fragmentation is eliminated.
- The challenge is to correctly update all pointers to the moved objects, most of which will have new memory addresses after the compaction. The issue of handling pointer updates is handled in different ways.
- It recursively mark all arrays reachable from the roots as visited.
- For each visited array, compute a new address for the array in the compacted heap.
- Update pointers to point to the new addresses.
- Relocate each array to its new address.
- **Larger header cells**: Each header cell now needs to store the size of the array and a forwarding address at the same time.

## **Algorithm**

**Step** - **1** => Recursively mark all arrays that are reachable from the array beginning at address addr.

```
mark(addr)
let\ header = heap[addr]
if\ visited(header) == false\ then
visited(header) \leftarrow true
n \leftarrow size(header)
foreach\ i\ in\ 1\ ..\ n
child \leftarrow heap[addr+i]
if\ pointer?(child)\ then
mark(child)

markCompact()
foreach\ r\ in\ roots
mark(r)
computeAddresses()
updatePointers()
relocate()
```

**Step** - **2** => For each visited array, compute a new address for the array in the compacted heap.

```
computeAddresses()
scan \leftarrow 1
new \leftarrow 1
while scan <= \#heap
let header = heap[scan]
n \leftarrow size(header)
if visited(header) == true then
forwardingAddress(header)
\leftarrow new
new \leftarrow new + 1 + n
scan \leftarrow scan + 1 + n
```

**Step** -3 => Update pointers to point to the new addresses.

```
updatePointers()
scan \leftarrow 1
while scan <= \#heap
let header = heap[scan]
n \leftarrow size(header)
if visited(header) == true then
foreach \ i \ in \ 1 \dots n
let \ x = heap[scan+i]
if \ pointer?(x) \ then
x \leftarrow forwardingAddress(heap[x])
scan \leftarrow scan + 1 + n
```

**Step** - **4** => Relocate each array to its new address.

```
relocate() scan \leftarrow 1 while \ scan <= \#heap let \ header = heap[scan] \ and \ n \leftarrow size(header) if \ visited(header) \ then visited(header) \leftarrow false dest \leftarrow forwardingAddress(header) foreach \ i \ in \ 0 \dots n heap[dest+i] \leftarrow heap[scan+i] scan \leftarrow scan + 1 + n
```

# COMPARISION OF CHENEY'S AND MARK-COMPACT GARBAGE COLLECTION ALGORITHM

- Cheney's garbage collection algorithm requires the total heap capacity to be halved to implement the two semi-spaces.
- Mark and compact garbage collection algorithm requires an extra pointer sized field to be stored in every array header.
- Mark and compact garbage collection algorithm needs several traversals of the heap whereas Cheney's garbage collection algorithm needs a single traversal of the live heap.
- Cheney's garbage collection algorithm is a simple iterative algorithm whereas Mark and compact garbage collection algorithm requires a stack or a pointer-reversal approach.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Garbage collection automatically reclaims unused memory which is the One less thing for the programmer to worry about (usually!). Compacting collectors support very fast memory allocation. Cheney's and Mark-Compact garbage collection algorithm are viable approaches illustrating the space-time trade-off. And both Cheney's and Mark-Compact garbage collection algorithm could be easily employed in the Tower implementation.