Direct kinematics: open kinematic chain robot manipulator

$$\varsigma = DK(q)$$

Pose of the end-effector

$$\varsigma = \left[\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ z \\ \varphi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{array} \right]$$

The velocity of the end-effector is

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}\varsigma(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}DK\left(q(t)\right) \\ &= \left[\nabla_q DK\left(q(t)\right)\right]\frac{d}{dt}q(t) \\ &= J(q(t))\frac{d}{dt}q(t) \end{split}$$

The acceleration of the end-effector is

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\varsigma(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(J(q(t))\frac{d}{dt}q(t)\right) \\ &= \left[\frac{d}{dt}J(q(t))\right]\frac{d}{dt}q(t) + J(q(t))\frac{d^2}{dt^2}q(t) \end{split}$$

Using the Euler-Lagrange formulation

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\varsigma(t) &= \left[\frac{d}{dt}J(q(t))\right]\frac{d}{dt}q(t) + J(q(t))\left(M^{-1}\left(q\right)\left(\tau(t) - C(q(t),\frac{d}{dt}q(t))\frac{d}{dt}q(t) - G(q(t))\right)\right) \\ &= f(q(t),\frac{d}{dt}q(t)) + g(q(t))\tau(t) \end{split}$$

Problem control

Exists a reference pose trajectory $\varsigma^*(t)$ which is valid in a time range $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$

1 Lagrangian mechanics with motion restrictions

The Lagrangian function $L(\dot{q},q) := K(\dot{q},q) - V(q)$

According to the Euler-Lagrange theory. the dynamic motion of a mechanical system satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}L(\dot{q},q)-\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}L(\dot{q},q)=\phi_{j,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t)$$

subjected to

$$f_k(q) < 0$$

Example:

Example. a)
$$q_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

then

$$f_1(q) = q_1 - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

b) $q_2 \le \frac{\pi}{4}$

We can obtain two equivalent conditions

$$q_2 - \frac{\pi}{4} \le 0$$

$$q_2 - \frac{\pi}{4} + \mu < 0 \quad \text{with } \mu > 0$$

The total variational of the proposed mechanical system is

$$V(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_j} L(\dot{q}, q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} L(\dot{q}, q) \right)$$

When the coordinates q_j has restrictions, we need to use the Lagrange theorem that defines the Lagrange multipliers

$$L'(\dot{q},q) = L(\dot{q},q) + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_k(t) f_k(q)$$

Then, using the Euler-Lagrange equations on $L^{'}(\dot{q},q),$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}L^{'}(\dot{q},q,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}L^{'}(\dot{q},q,t) = \phi_{j,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t)$$

Then substituing

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}\left(L(\dot{q},q) + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_{k}(t)f_{k}(q)\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}\left(L(\dot{q},q) + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_{k}(t)f_{k}(q)\right) = \phi_{j,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t)$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}L(\dot{q},q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}L(\dot{q},q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\lambda_{k}(t)f_{k}(q) = \phi_{j,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_j}L(\dot{q},q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}L(\dot{q},q) = \phi_{j,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}f_k(q)$$

Noticing that

$$L(\dot{q}, q) = T(\dot{q}, q) - V(q)$$

$$T(\dot{q}, q) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} B \dot{q}$$

$$V(q) = H(q)$$

Hence

$$L(\dot{q},q) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}}B\dot{q} - H(q)$$

And the set of equations EL

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}L(\dot{q},q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}L(\dot{q},q) = \phi_{j,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \sum_{k=1}^{s}\lambda_{k}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}f_{k}(q), \ j=1,...n$$

can be written in its equivalent vector form

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_1}L(\dot{q},q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}L(\dot{q},q) = \phi_{1,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}f_k(q) }{\vdots }$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_n}L(\dot{q},q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_n}L(\dot{q},q) = \phi_{n,no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_n}f_k(q) }{\vdots }$$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}} L(\dot{q}, q) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{n}} L(\dot{q}, q) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} L(\dot{q}, q) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n}} L(\dot{q}, q) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,no-pot}(\dot{q}, q, t) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n,no-pot}(\dot{q}, q, t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_{k}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} f_{k}(q) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_{k}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n}} f_{k}(q) \end{bmatrix} \\
\frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{q}} L(\dot{q}, q) - \nabla_{q} L(\dot{q}, q) = \phi_{no-pot}(\dot{q}, q, t) + (\lambda(t), f(q)) \\
(\lambda(t), f(q)) : = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_{k}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} f_{k}(q) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{s} \lambda_{k}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial q} f_{k}(q) \end{bmatrix}$$

Considering $L(\dot{q}, q) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} B(q) \dot{q} - H(q)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\nabla_{\dot{q}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}}B\left(q\right)\dot{q}-H(q)\right)-\nabla_{q}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}}B\left(q\right)\dot{q}-H(q)\right)=\phi_{no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t)+\left(\lambda(t),f(q)\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{q}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} B \dot{q} \right) - \nabla_{q} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} B \left(q \right) \dot{q} - H(q) \right) &= \phi_{no-pot} (\dot{q}, q, t) + (\lambda(t), f(q)) \\ \nabla_{\dot{q}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} B \dot{q} \right) &= B \dot{q} \\ \nabla_{q} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} B \left(q \right) \dot{q} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\nabla_{q} B \left(q \right) \right] \dot{q} \end{split}$$

$$\nabla_q H(q)$$

Subsituing

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d}{dt}\left(B\left(q\right)\dot{q}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\left[\nabla_{q}B\left(q\right)\right]\dot{q}\right) + \nabla_{q}H(q) = \phi_{no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \left(\lambda(t),f(q)\right) \\ &\left(\frac{d}{dt}B\left(q\right)\right)\dot{q} + B\left(q\right)\ddot{q} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\left[\nabla_{q}B\left(q\right)\right]\dot{q}\right) + \nabla_{q}H(q) = \phi_{no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \left(\lambda(t),f(q)\right) \end{split}$$

$$B\left(q\right)\ddot{q} + \left[\frac{d}{dt}B\left(q\right) - \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\left[\nabla_{q}B\left(q\right)\right]\right]\dot{q} + \nabla_{q}H(q) = \phi_{no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + \left(\lambda(t),f(q)\right) \\ C(\dot{q},q)$$

Hence

$$B\left(q\right)\ddot{q} + C(\dot{q},q)\dot{q} + G(q) = \phi_{no-pot}(\dot{q},q,t) + (\lambda(t),f(q))$$

Considering the dissipative and XXXXXX

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(\dot{q}, q)\dot{q} + G(q) = \Omega\tau + \phi_{diss}(\dot{q}, q, t) + (\lambda(t), f(q))$$

Using the state variable theory, we propose

$$q_a = q$$
 $q_b = \dot{q}$

The dynamics of the proposed state variable is

$$\dot{q}_{a} = \dot{q} = q_{b}
\dot{q}_{b} = \ddot{q} = B^{-1}(q_{a}) \left[\Omega \tau + \phi_{diss}(q_{b}, q_{a}, t) + (\lambda(t), f(q_{a})) - C(q_{b}, q_{a})q_{b} - G(q_{a}) \right]
= f(q_{a}, q_{b}) + g(q_{a}) \left(\Omega \tau + (\lambda(t), f(q_{a})) \right)$$

where

$$g(q_a) = B^{-1}(q_a)$$

 $f(q_a, q_b) = -B^{-1}(q_a) (C(q_b, q_a)q_b + G(q_a))$

Proposal 1.

$$f(q_a, q_b) + g(q_a) \left(\Omega \tau + (\lambda(t), f(q_a))\right) = -K_p q_a - K_D q_b$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \dot{q}_a & = & q_b \\ \dot{q}_b & = & -K_p q_a - K_D q_b \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}q &= \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} q_a \\ q_b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_b \\ -K_p q_a - K_D q_b \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \\ -K_p & -K_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_a \\ q_b \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{d}{dt}q &= Aq \end{split}$$

In the case of matrix A is Hurwitz (all eigenvalues belong to \mathbb{C}^-), then

$$q(t) = e^{At}q(0)$$

Proposal 2.

Consider the case when

$$\dot{q}_a = q_b
\dot{q}_b = f(q_a, q_b) + g(q_a) (\Omega \tau + (\lambda(t), f(q_a))) + \psi(q_a, q_b, t)$$

where $\psi(q_a, q_b, \cdot)$ represents the non-modelled sections of the robot and $\psi(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ represents the effect of all external perturbations.

The admissible class of perturbations/uncertainties

$$\psi(q_a, q_b, t) \in \Psi$$

$$\Psi = \left\{ \psi : Q_a \times TQ_a \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^n | \|\psi\|^2 \le \psi_0 + \psi_1 \|q_a\|^2 + \psi_2 \|q_b\|^2 \right\}
q_a \in Q_a \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

where TQ_a is the tangential set of Q_a .

$$f(q_a, q_b) + g(q_a) (\Omega \tau + (\lambda(t), f(q_a))) = -K_p q_a - K_D q_b$$

$$\tau = \Omega^{-1} (g^{-1}(q_a) (-K_p q_a - K_D q_b - f(q_a, q_b))) - (\lambda(t), f(q_a))$$

Substituing this control in the dynamics of the robot under analysis:

$$\dot{q}_a = q_b$$

$$\dot{q}_b = f(q_a, q_b) + g(q_a) \left(\Omega \underbrace{\left[\Omega^{-1} \left(g^{-1}(q_a) \left(-K_p q_a - K_D q_b - f(q_a, q_b) \right) \right) \right] - (\lambda(t), f(q_a))}_{\tau} + (\lambda(t), f(q_a)) \right) + \psi(t) + \psi(t$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\dot{q}_a = q_b
\dot{q}_b = -K_p q_a - K_D q_b + \psi(q_a, q_b, t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}q = \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} q_a \\ q_b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_b \\ -K_p q_a - K_D q_b \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} \psi(q_a, q_b, t)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \\ -K_p & -K_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_a \\ q_b \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} \psi(q_a, q_b, t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}q = Aq + B\psi(q_a, q_b, t)$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Considering that

$$q(t) = e^{At}q(0) + \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{A(t-\tau)}B\psi(q_a(\tau), q_b(\tau), \tau)d\tau$$

Calculating the norm of both side

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(t)\|^2 &= \left\| e^{At}q(0) + \int_{\tau=0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}B\psi(q_a(\tau), q_b(\tau), \tau)d\tau \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(2+\varepsilon\right) \left\| e^{At}q(0) \right\|^2 + \left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \left\| \int_{\tau=0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}B\psi(q_a(\tau), q_b(\tau), \tau)d\tau \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(2+\varepsilon\right) \left\| e^{At}q(0) \right\|^2 + \left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\| e^{A(t-\tau)}B\psi(q_a(\tau), q_b(\tau), \tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \left(2+\varepsilon\right) \left\| e^{At}q(0) \right\|^2 + \left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\| e^{A(t-\tau)}B \right\|_M^2 \left\| \psi(q_a(\tau), q_b(\tau), \tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \left(2+\varepsilon\right) \left\| e^{At}q(0) \right\|^2 + \left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\| e^{A(t-\tau)}B \right\|_M^2 \left(\psi_0 + \psi_1 \|q_a\|^2 + \psi_2 \|q_b\|^2\right) d\tau \\ &\|q(t)\|^2 &\leq \left(2+\varepsilon\right) \left\| e^{At}q(0) \right\|^2 + \left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\| e^{A(t-\tau)}B \right\|_M^2 \left(\psi_0 + \psi_1 \|q_a\|^2 + \psi_2 \|q_b\|^2\right) d\tau \\ &= \left(2+\varepsilon\right) \left\| e^{At}q(0) \right\|^2 + \left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\| e^{A(t-\tau)}B \right\|_M^2 d\tau \psi_0 + \\ &\left(2+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\| e^{A(t-\tau)}B \right\|_M^2 \left(\psi_1 \|q_a\|^2 + \psi_2 \|q_b\|^2\right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

2 Stability theory in the Lyapunov sense

Consider a dynamic system represented by a ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}q(t) = f(q(t)), \quad q(0) = q_0. \quad q \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

We define a unique equilibrium point q^{eq} to the state when

$$f(q^{eq}(t)) = 0$$

We call $q^{eq}(t)$ a stable equilibrium point

$$||q(t) - q^{eq}|| \le \alpha, \quad \forall t \ge 0$$

We call $q^{eq}(t)$ an asymptotically stable equilibrium point

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|q(t) - q^{eq}\| = 0$$

We call $q^{eq}(t)$ an exponential asymptotically stable equilibrium point

$$\|q(t) - q^{eq}\| \le ae^{-\beta t}$$

Theorem. If exists a positive definite function $V:Q\to\mathbb{R}^+$ such that the following conditions fulfill

- a) $V(q) \ge 0$ for all $q \in Q$
- b) $V(q^{eq}) = 0$
- c) V(q) is decreasing in all points in Q except in q^{eq}

then q^{eq} is asymptotically stable equilibrium point.

Additionally, if

d) V(q) is radially unbounded, and $q \in Q = \mathbb{R}^n$, then q^{eq} is a global asymptotically stable equilibrium point.

In the case that V(q) is a differentiable, then property c is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) = \left(\nabla_q V(q), \frac{d}{dt}q\right) < 0$$

Example.

$$\dot{q} = Aq$$

We want to find a Lyapunov function that characterizes the nature of the equilibrium point $q^{eq} = 0$

Lets propose

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \left\| q \right\|_P^2 = \frac{1}{2} q^\mathsf{T} P q$$

$$P \neq 0$$

a)
$$V(q) \geq 0$$
 for all $q \in Q$
$$V = \frac{1}{2} q^\intercal P q$$

Weighted (P) quadratic form. Hence, $V(q) \ge 0$ if and only if P > 0. b) b) $V(q^{eq}) = 0$

$$V(q^{eq}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| q^{eq} \right\|_P^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(q^{eq} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} P q^{eq}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}V(q^{eq}) &= \left(\nabla_q V(q), \frac{d}{dt}q\right) \\ &= \left(Pq, Aq\right) \\ &= 0.5 \left(Pq, Aq\right) + 0.5 \left(Pq, Aq\right) \\ &= 0.5q^{\mathsf{T}} P^{\mathsf{T}} Aq + 0.5q^{\mathsf{T}} P^{\mathsf{T}} Aq \\ &= 0.5q^{\mathsf{T}} P^{\mathsf{T}} Aq + 0.5 \left(q^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}} Pq\right) \\ &= 0.5q^{\mathsf{T}} \left(P^{\mathsf{T}} A + A^{\mathsf{T}} P\right) q \end{split}$$

If in addition, we ask $P = P^{\mathsf{T}}$ (symmetric matrix)

Example.

$$\dot{q} = Aq + v$$
a)
$$\|v\| \leq v^+ \|q\|$$

$$V(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| q \right\|_P^2 = \frac{1}{2} q^\intercal P q$$

The time derivative of V(q) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}V(q) &= q^{\mathsf{T}}P\frac{d}{dt}q \\ &= q^{\mathsf{T}}P\left(Aq+v\right) \\ &= q^{\mathsf{T}}PAq+q^{\mathsf{T}}Pv \end{aligned}$$

C1. Multiplicative form

$$q^{\mathsf{T}} P v \leq \|P q\| \|v\| \leq v^{+} \|P\|_{F} \|q\|^{2}$$

 $\leq v^{+} \|P\|_{F} q^{\mathsf{T}} q$
 $= q^{\mathsf{T}} (v^{+} \|P\| I_{n}) q$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) \leq \frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}} \left(PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P + Q_0\right)q$$

$$Q_0 = 2v^{+} \|P\| I_n$$

Then if

$$PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P + Q_0 \le -Q, \quad Q > 0$$

results in

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) \leq -\frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}}Qq \leq 0$$

C2. Additive form

$$||v|| \le v^+$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) = q^{\mathsf{T}}P\frac{d}{dt}q$$

$$= q^{\mathsf{T}}P(Aq+v)$$

$$= q^{\mathsf{T}}PAq + q^{\mathsf{T}}Pv$$

Using the Peter-Paul inequality

$$x^{\top}y \le (1+\theta) \|x\|^2 + (1+\theta^{-1}) \|y\|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

Then

$$q^{\mathsf{T}} P v_{x^{\mathsf{T}} y} \leq (1+\theta) \|Pq\|^2 + (1+\theta^{-1}) \|v\|^2$$
$$= q^{\mathsf{T}} P((1+\theta)I_n) P q + (1+\theta^{-1}) (v^+)^2$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) \leq \frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}} \left(PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P\right)q + q^{\mathsf{T}}P((1+\theta)I_n)Pq + (1+\theta^{-1})\left(v^{+}\right)^{2}
\leq \frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}} \left(PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P + P((1+\theta)I_n)P\right)q + (1+\theta^{-1})\left(v^{+}\right)^{2}$$

If

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(PA + A^{\top}P + P((1+\theta)I_n)P \right) \le -Q$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(PA + A^{\top}P + P((1+\theta)I_n)P \right) + Q \le 0$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) \leq \frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}} \left(PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P + P((1+\theta)I_n)P \right) q + (1+\theta^{-1}) \left(v^+ \right)^2
\leq -\frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}}Qq + (1+\theta^{-1}) \left(v^+ \right)^2$$

In Summary

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(q) \leq -\frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}}P^{1/2}P^{-1/2}QP^{-1/2}P^{1/2}q + (1+\theta^{-1})(v^{+})^{2}
\leq -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\min}\left(P^{-1/2}QP^{-1/2}\right)q^{\mathsf{T}}P^{1/2}P^{1/2}q + (1+\theta^{-1})(v^{+})^{2}
\leq -\alpha q^{\mathsf{T}}Pq + \beta
\leq -\alpha V(q) + \beta$$

which has the following solution

$$V(t) \le V(0)e^{-\alpha t} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha t})$$

which was obtained using the rayleigh inequality

$$\lambda_{\min}P \cdot \|x\| \le x^{\mathsf{T}}Px \le \lambda_{\max}P \cdot \|x\|$$

Barrier Lyapunov function

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}q = M^{-1}\left(-C(q, \frac{d}{dt}q)\frac{d}{dt}q - G(q)\right) + M^{-1}u + \eta$$
$$u = MK_pq + MK_D\frac{d}{dt}q + C(q, \frac{d}{dt}q)\frac{d}{dt}q + G(q)$$

Then

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}q = K_p q + K_D \frac{d}{dt}q + \eta$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} q \\ \frac{d}{dt}q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_p & K_D \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} \eta$$

$$= Ax + B\eta$$

If

$$||x||_P^2 < x^+$$

then

$$V_{B} = \ln\left(\frac{x^{+}}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}}\right) + \lambda_{1}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{P}\tilde{K}_{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} + \lambda_{2}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{D}\tilde{K}_{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}$$

$$\lambda_{i}(t) = \lambda_{i,0}\left(\frac{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}}{x^{+}}\right)^{r}, \quad r > 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$\tilde{K}_{P} = K_{P} - K_{P}^{0}$$

$$\tilde{K}_{D} = K_{D} - K_{D}^{0}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}V_{B} &= \frac{2x^{\intercal}P\frac{d}{dt}x}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}} + \lambda_{1}(t)tr\left\{\left(\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{P}\right)\tilde{K}_{P}^{\intercal}\right\} + \lambda_{2}(t)tr\left\{\left(\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{D}\right)\tilde{K}_{D}^{\intercal}\right\} \\ &+ \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{1}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{P}\tilde{K}_{P}^{\intercal}\right\} + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{2}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{D}\tilde{K}_{D}^{\intercal}\right\} \\ \frac{d}{dt}V_{B} &= \frac{2x^{\intercal}P\left(Ax + B\eta\right)}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}} + tr\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{P} + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{1}(t)\tilde{K}_{P}\right)\tilde{K}_{P}^{\intercal}\right\} + tr\left\{\left(\lambda_{2}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{D} + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{2}(t)\tilde{K}_{D}\right)\tilde{K}_{D}^{\intercal}\right\} \end{split}$$

Analyzing the term with

$$2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\left(Ax+B\eta\right) = x^{\mathsf{T}} \left(P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_P - K_P^0 + K_P^0 & K_D - K_D^0 + K_D^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_P - K_P^0 + K_P^0 & K_D - K_D^0 + K_D^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_P - K_P^0 + K_P^0 & K_D - K_D^0 + K_D^0 \end{bmatrix} + K_D^0 +$$

In view of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_P - K_P^0 + K_P^0 & K_D - K_D^0 + K_D^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_P^0 & K_D^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K_P - K_P^0 & K_D - K_D^0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ K_P^0 & K_D^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_P - K_P^0 & K_D - K_D^0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= A^0 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{K}_P & \tilde{K}_D \end{bmatrix}$$

Recalling

$$2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\left(Ax+B\eta\right) = x^{\mathsf{T}}\left(P\left(A^{0}+\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}\left[\tilde{K}_{P}\quad\tilde{K}_{D}\right]\right)+\left(A^{0}+\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}\left[\tilde{K}_{P}\quad\tilde{K}_{D}\right]\right)^{\mathsf{T}}P\right)x+$$

$$2x^{\mathsf{T}}PB\eta+\varepsilon\eta^{\mathsf{T}}\eta-\varepsilon\eta^{\mathsf{T}}\eta+x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx-x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx$$

$$=\begin{bmatrix}x\\\eta\end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}\begin{bmatrix}PA^{0}+(A^{0})^{\mathsf{T}}P+Q&PB\\B^{\mathsf{T}}P&-\varepsilon I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\\eta\end{bmatrix}+\varepsilon\eta^{\mathsf{T}}\eta-x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx+2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}[\tilde{K}_{P}\quad\tilde{K}_{D}$$
If
$$\begin{bmatrix}PA^{0}+(A^{0})^{\mathsf{T}}P+Q&PB\\B^{\mathsf{T}}P&-\varepsilon I\end{bmatrix}\leq0, \text{ then}$$

$$2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\left(Ax+B\eta\right)\leq\varepsilon\eta^{\mathsf{T}}\eta-x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx+2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}[\tilde{K}_{P}\quad\tilde{K}_{D}]x$$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt}V_B = \frac{\varepsilon\eta^{\intercal}\eta - x^{\intercal}Qx + 2x^{\intercal}P\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\tilde{K}_P & \tilde{K}_D\end{bmatrix}x}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} + tr\left\{\left(\lambda_1(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_P + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_1(t)\tilde{K}_P\right)\tilde{K}_P^{\intercal}\right\} + tr\left\{\left(\lambda_2(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_D + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_2(t)\tilde{K}_D\right)\tilde{K}_D^{\intercal}\right\}$$

Now lets consider

$$2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}_{P} & \tilde{K}_{D} \end{array}\right]x & = & 2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} I & 0 \end{array}\right]\tilde{K}_{P}x + 2x^{\mathsf{T}}P\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right]\tilde{K}_{D}x \\ & = & 2x^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{K}_{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\left[\begin{array}{c} I \\ 0 \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right]Px + 2x^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{K}_{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right]Px \\ & = & tr\left\{2\left[\begin{array}{c} I \\ 0 \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right]Pxx^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{K}_{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} + tr\left\{2\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right]Pxx^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{K}_{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}$$

Using this result

$$\begin{split} \frac{\frac{d}{dt}V_B & \leq \frac{\varepsilon\eta^\intercal \eta - x^\intercal Qx}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} + tr\left\{\frac{2}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} \left[\begin{array}{c} I \\ 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right] Pxx^\intercal \tilde{K}_P^\intercal \right\} + tr\left\{\frac{2}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right] Pxx^\intercal \tilde{K}_P^\intercal \\ & tr\left\{\left(\lambda_1(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_P + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_1(t)\tilde{K}_P\right)\tilde{K}_P^\intercal \right\} + tr\left\{\left(\lambda_2(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_D + \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_2(t)\tilde{K}_D\right)\tilde{K}_D^\intercal \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{B} \leq \frac{\varepsilon\eta^{\mathsf{T}}\eta - x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}} - \alpha\lambda_{1}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{P}\tilde{K}_{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} - \alpha\lambda_{2}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{D}\tilde{K}_{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}$$

$$tr\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{P} + \left(\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{1}(t) + \alpha\lambda_{1}(t)\right)\tilde{K}_{P} + \frac{2}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}I\\0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&I\end{bmatrix}Pxx^{\mathsf{T}}\right)\tilde{K}_{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} + tr\left\{\left(\lambda_{2}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{D} + \left(\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{2}(t) + \alpha\lambda_{2}(t)\right)\tilde{K}_{D} + \frac{2}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&I\end{bmatrix}Pxx^{\mathsf{T}}\right)\tilde{K}_{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}$$

Hence if

$$\lambda_1(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_P + \left(\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_1(t) + \alpha\lambda_1(t)\right)\tilde{K}_P + \frac{2}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} \left[\begin{array}{c} I \\ 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right] Pxx^\intercal = 0$$

$$\lambda_2(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_D + \left(\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_2(t) + \alpha\lambda_2(t)\right)\tilde{K}_D + \frac{2}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \end{array}\right] Pxx^\intercal = 0$$

Selecting $\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_P$ as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{P} = -\left(\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{1}(t)}{\alpha\lambda_{1}(t)} + 1\right)\tilde{K}_{P} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}(t)}\frac{2}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}I\\0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&I\end{bmatrix}Pxx^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{K}_{D} = -\left(\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_{2}(t)}{\alpha\lambda_{2}(t)} + 1\right)\tilde{K}_{D} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}(t)}\frac{2}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}0\\I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&I\end{bmatrix}Pxx^{\mathsf{T}}$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_B \le \frac{\varepsilon \eta^{\mathsf{T}} \eta - x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x}{x^+ - \|x\|_P^2} - \alpha \lambda_1(t) tr \left\{ \tilde{K}_P \tilde{K}_P^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} - \alpha \lambda_2(t) tr \left\{ \tilde{K}_D \tilde{K}_D^{\mathsf{T}} \right\}$$

If

$$\left\|x\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{\eta^{\mathsf{T}} \eta\right\}}{\lambda_{\max} \left\{Q - \alpha P\right\}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} V_{B} \leq \frac{-\alpha x^{\mathsf{T}} P x}{x^{+} - \left\|x\right\|_{P}^{2}} - \alpha \lambda_{1}(t) tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{P} \tilde{K}_{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} - \alpha \lambda_{2}(t) tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{D} \tilde{K}_{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}$$

Considering the term

$$\frac{-x^{\mathsf{T}}Px}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}} = \frac{-x^{\mathsf{T}}Px/x^{+}}{1 - \|x\|_{P}^{2}/x^{+}}$$

Defining

$$z = x^{\mathsf{T}} P x / x^{\mathsf{+}}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{-x^{\intercal}Px}{x^{+} - \|x\|_{P}^{2}} &= -\frac{z}{1 - z} \leq -\ln\left(\frac{1}{1 - z}\right) = -\ln\left(\frac{1}{1 - z}\right) \\ &= -\ln\left(\frac{1}{1 - x^{\intercal}Px/x^{+}}\right) \\ &= -\ln\left(\frac{x^{+}}{x^{+} - x^{\intercal}Px}\right) \end{split}$$

Substituing this term in $\frac{d}{dt}V_B$ leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{B} \leq -\alpha \ln\left(\frac{x^{+}}{x^{+} - x^{\intercal}Px}\right) - \alpha \lambda_{1}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{P}\tilde{K}_{P}^{\intercal}\right\} - \alpha \lambda_{2}(t)tr\left\{\tilde{K}_{D}\tilde{K}_{D}^{\intercal}\right\} \\
\leq -\alpha V_{B}$$