# Categorical Re-Writes

**Practice Work** 

#### #1

 Some athletes are not baseball players, and some baseball players are not basketball players. Therefore, some athletes are not basketball players.

- Only that which studies science is real. Science cannot study consciousness. So consciousness is not real.
  - Mary Midgley Science and Poetry

Is this an argument?

- Only that which studies science is real. Science cannot study consciousness. So consciousness is not real.
  - Mary Midgley Science and Poetry
- Is this an argument? → Yes

Now ... Let's Break it down

- Only that which studies science is real. Science cannot study consciousness. So consciousness is not real.
  - Mary Midgley Science and Poetry

- P1: Only that which science studies is real
- P2: Science cannot study consciousness
- C: So consciousness is not real

• Note: Not in proper categorical form → Must convert it

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - **Example:** Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.
- 3) The phrase THE ONLY always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = <u>All people allowed in are philosophy majors</u>.
- Practice: Using both of these rules translate the following:
  - Only matinees are half priced
  - Matinees are the only half-priced shows

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - **Example:** Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.
- 3) The phrase THE ONLY always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = All people allowed in are philosophy majors.
- Practice: Using both of these rules translate the following:
  - Only matinees are half priced
     → All half-priced shows are matinees
  - Matinees are the only half-priced shows → All half-priced shows are matinees

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - **Example:** Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = <u>All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.</u>
- 3) The phrase THE ONLY always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = <u>All people allowed in are philosophy majors</u>.
- 4) The phrase When or WHENEVER tells us that a claim is about a time and a place
  - Practice Example: I always get nervous when I take a Critical Thinking exam

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - **Example:** Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.
- 3) The phrase THE ONLY always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = <u>All people allowed in are philosophy</u> majors.
- 4) The phrase When or WHENEVER tells us that a claim is about a time and a place
  - Practice Example: I always get nervous when I take a Critical Thinking exam
    - → Whenever I take a C.T. exam I get nervous = <u>All times that I take C.T. exams are times</u> that I get nervous.
      - Rule: Whenever always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim about times
      - Rule: Whenever always introduces the subject term of an A type claim about places

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - Example: Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.
- 3) The phrase THE ONLY always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = <u>All people allowed in are philosophy</u> majors.
- 4) The phrase When or WHENEVER tells us that a claim is about a time and a place
- 5) Translating claims about single individuals → Remember, you need to convert Major and Minor Terms into Categorical Terms
  - Aristotle is a logician  $\rightarrow$  All people identical with Aristotle are logicians

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - Example: Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.
- 3) The phrase *THE ONLY* always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = All people allowed in are philosophy majors.
- 4) The phrase When or WHENEVER tells us that a claim is about a time and a place
- 5) Translating claims about single individuals → Remember, you need to convert Major and Minor Terms into Categorical Terms
- 6) What to do when you have a negative in your claim that is in the wrong position
  - Example: Aristotle is not English

- 1) There are only four Categorical Proposition forms (A, E, I, O)
- 2) The word ONLY by itself always introduces the predicate term of an A-type claim
  - Example: Only philosophy majors are eligible candidates = All eligible candidates are philosophy majors.
- 3) The phrase THE ONLY always introduces the subject term of an A-type claim
  - The only people allowed in are philosophy majors = All people allowed in are philosophy majors.
- 4) The phrase When or WHENEVER tells us that a claim is about a time and a place
- 5) Translating claims about single individuals → Remember, you need to convert Major and Minor Terms into Categorical Terms
- 6) What to do when you have a negative in your claim that is in the wrong position
  - Example: Aristotle is not English = No people identical with Aristotle are English → WHY?

# Original Argument Again

- P1: Only that which science studies is real
- P2: Science cannot study consciousness
- C: So consciousness is not real

Put this into Proper Categorical Form

# Original Argument Again

- P1: Only that which science studies is real
- P2: Science cannot study consciousness
- C: So consciousness is not real

- Put this into Proper Categorical Form
  - P1: All subjects that are real are subjects that are studied by science.
  - P2: No subjects identical to consciousness are subjects studied by science.
  - C: No subjects identical to consciousness are subjects that are real.

# Polysyllogisms

Polysyllogisms are chain arguments 

 Conclusion of one becomes a premise in another

• Example: Given that sensitive people are self-reflective and all highly intelligent persons are sensitive, it's clear that all highly intelligent people are self-reflective. Thus, since some classical musicians are self-reflective, I'm moved to conclude that some classical musicians are highly intelligent.

# Argument Given In Example

- P1: Sensitive people are self-reflective
- P2: All highly intelligent people are sensitive
- P3: All highly intelligent people are self-reflective
- P4: Some classical musicians are self-reflective
- C: Therefore: Some classical musicians are highly intelligent

Convert into 2 Categorical Syllogisms ...

# Categorical Version

- P1) All people who are sensitive are people who are self-reflective
- P2) All people who are highly intelligent are people who are sensitive
- C & P1) All people who are highly intelligent are people who are self-reflective
- P2) Some classical musicians are people who are self-reflective
- C) Some classical musicians are people who are highly intelligent.
- OR (2 Categorical Arguments)
- All people who are sensitive are people who are self-reflective
- All people who are highly intelligent are people who are sensitive
- All people who are highly intelligent are people who are self-reflective
- ------
- All people who are highly intelligent are people who are self-reflective
- Some classical musicians are people who are self-reflective
- Therefore, some classical musicians are people who are highly intelligent.

**ARGUMENT #1** 

**ARGUMENT #2** 

# Example #2 Homework #2 on Venn Diagrams (Due Wednesday)

 Pornography violates a women's rights. It carries a demeaning message about a woman's worth and purpose and promotes genuine violence. This is indeed a violation of women's civil rights and justifies the Minneapolis City Council in attempting to ban pornography.

# Example #2

• Pornography violates a women's rights. It carries a demeaning message about a woman's worth and purpose and promotes genuine violence. This is indeed a violation of women's civil rights and justifies the Minneapolis City Council in attempting to ban pornography.

• P1: All instances of pornography are things that demean women's worth and promote violence.

P2-Unstated: All things that demean a woman's worth and promote violence are things that violate a woman's rights.

• C#1: All instances of pornography are things that violate a woman's rights.

•

P1: All instances of pornography are things that violate women's rights.

• P2-Unstated: All things that violate women's rights are things that are justifiably banned by the Minneapolis City Council.

• C#2: All instances of pornography are things that are justifiably banned by the Minneapolis

**City Council.**