## Problem 2:

a) Now consider, from the KC weather data set, just the predictors: Temp.F, Humidity. Percentage, Precip.in. Categorize these three data sets into qualitative predictors. It is up to you to decide on the break points, but you must discuss a rationale for your breakpoints. Now apply, naive Bayes Classifier on the entire data set (with these three qualitative predictors), using 290 of them as a training data set randomly (and the rest as the test data set), over 100 replications. Report on accuracy, precision, and recall.

b)

Here we use Naïve Baye's Classification:

```
> library(e1071)
Warning message:
package 'e1071' was built under R version 3.4.2
> library('e1071')
> nbdata=data[,c("Temp.F","Humidity.percentage","Precip.in","Events")]
  Temp.F Humidity.percentage Precip.in Events
     26
                         73 0.03 Snow
                         68
                                0.01 Snow
     31
     10
                         63
                                0.02 Snow
3
4
     38
                         90
                                 0.00
                                        Rain
5
      40
                          7.5
                                 0.00
      49
                         51
                                 0.00
                                        Rain
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F < 10] <- 'T 1s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 10 & nbdata$Temp.F < 20] <- 'T 10s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 20 & nbdata$Temp.F <30] <- 'T_20s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 30 & nbdata$Temp.F <40 ] <- 'T 30s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 40 & nbdata$Temp.F <50 ] <- 'T 40s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 50 & nbdata$Temp.F <60 ] <- 'T 50s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 60 & nbdata$Temp.F <70 ] <- 'T 60s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 70 & nbdata$Temp.F <80 ] <- 'T 70s'
> nbdata$Temp.F[nbdata$Temp.F >= 80 & nbdata$Temp.F <90 ] <- 'T 80s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=20& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <40] <- 'H 20s 30s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=40& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <50 ] <- 'H 40s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=50& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <70 ] <- 'H 50s 60s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=70& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <90 ] <- 'H 70s 80s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=90& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <99 ] <- 'H 90s'
```

```
> invacoustianizator.perocitoage (invacoustianizator.perocitoage) voa invacoustianizator.perocitoag
> nbdata$Precip.in[nbdata$Precip.in == 0] <- 'P 0s'
> nbdata$Precip.in[nbdata$Precip.in >0 & nbdata$Precip.in < 1] <- 'P 0.01s'
> nbdata$Precip.in[nbdata$Precip.in >=2 & nbdata$Precip.in <3 ] <- 'P 2s'
>
> n=366
> nt=290
> neval=n-nt
> rep=100
> errlin=dim(rep)
> accuracy=dim(rep)
> precision=dim(rep)
> recall=dim(rep)
> for (k in 1:rep)
    train=sample(1:n,nt)
  kcweather.nb = naiveBayes(Events~.,nbdata[train,])
  nbdata.test = nbdata[-train,1:3]
   predict(kcweather.nb, nbdata.test, type="raw")
   tablin=table(predict(kcweather.nb,nbdata.test,type="class"),nbdata[-train,4])
  accuracy[k] = (tablin[1,1]+tablin[2,2])/(sum(tablin))
  precision[k] = (tablin[1,1])/(tablin[1,1]+tablin[2,1])
    recall[k] = (tablin[1,1]) / (tablin[1,1]+tablin[1,2])
+ }
There were 50 or more warnings (use warnings() to see the first 50)
> cat("Accuracy: ", mean(accuracy))
Accuracy: 0.4846053> cat("Precision: ", mean(precision))
Precision :
              0.9945185> cat("Recall: ", mean(recall))
Recall: 0.5633328>
```

We could see the accuracy, precision and recall values.

Accuracy: 0.484605

Precision: 0.9945185

Recall: 0.5633328

The break points for conversion of Temp, humidity, precipitation to make it qualitative.

## Temperature:

```
Temp < 10 - T_1's, Temp >= 10 and Temp < 20 - T_10s
Temp >= 20 and Temp < 30 - T_20s, Temp >= 30 and Temp < 40 - T_30s
Temp >= 40 and Temp < 50 - T_40s, Temp >= 50 and Temp < 60 - T_50s
Temp >= 60 and Temp < 70 - T_60s, Temp >= 70 and Temp < 80 - T_70s
```

```
Temp >=80 and Temp < 90 - T_80s
```

# Humidity:

Humidity >= 20 and  $Humidity < 40 - 'H_20s_30s'$ , Humidity >= 40 and  $Humidity < 50 - 'H_40s'$ 

 $Humidity >= 50 \ and \ Humidity < 70 - \ 'H\_50s\_60s' Humidity >= 70 \ and \ Humidity < 90 - \ 'H\_70s\_80s'$ 

Humidity >=90 and Humidity < 99 - 'H\_90s'

# Precipitation:

Precipitation == 0 - 'P\_0s', Precipitation > 0 & Precipitation < 1 - 'P 0.01s'

Precipitation >= 2 & Precipitation <3 - 'P\_2s'

Now we shall use Temp as the only quantitative predictor:

```
> nbdata=data[,c("Temp.F","Humidity.percentage","Precip.in","Events")]
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=20& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <40] <- 'H 20s 30s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=40& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <50 ] <- 'H 40s'
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=50& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <70 ] <- 'H 50s 60s
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=70& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <90 ] <- 'H 70s 80s
> nbdata$Humidity.percentage[nbdata$Humidity.percentage>=90& nbdata$Humidity.percentage <99 ] <- 'H 90s'
> nbdata$Precip.in[nbdata$Precip.in == 0] <- 'P 0s'
> nbdata$Precip.in[nbdata$Precip.in >0 & nbdata$Precip.in < 1] <- 'P 0.01s'
> nbdata$Precip.in[nbdata$Precip.in >=2 & nbdata$Precip.in <3 ] <- 'P 2s'
> n=366
> nt=290
> neval=n-nt
> rep=100
> errlin=dim(rep)
> accuracy=dim(rep)
> precision=dim(rep)
> recall=dim(rep)
> for (k in 1:rep)
+ train=sample(1:n,nt)
+ kcweather.nb = naiveBayes(Events~.,nbdata[train,])
+ nbdata.test = nbdata[-train,1:3]
+ predict(kcweather.nb, nbdata.test, type="raw")
   tablin=table(predict(kcweather.nb,nbdata.test,type="class"),nbdata[-train,4])
   accuracy[k] = (tablin[1,1]+tablin[2,2])/(sum(tablin))
    precision[k] = (tablin[1,1])/(tablin[1,1]+tablin[2,1])
    recall[k]=(tablin[1,1])/(tablin[1,1]+tablin[1,2])
There were 50 or more warnings (use warnings() to see the first 50)
> cat("Accuracy: ", mean(accuracy))
Accuracy: 0.6040789> cat("Precision: ", mean(precision))
Precision: 0.6561584> cat("Recall: ", mean(recall))
Recall: 0.7884978>
```

Accuracy: 0.6040789

Precision: 0.65615

Recall: 0.7884978

Now we shall have all predictors as quantitative predictor:

```
> nbdata=data[,c("Temp.F","Humidity.percentage","Precip.in","Events")]
> n=366
> nt=290
> neval=n-nt
> rep=100
> errlin=dim(rep)
> accuracy=dim(rep)
> precision=dim(rep)
> recall=dim(rep)
> for (k in 1:rep)
+ {
+ train=sample(1:n,nt)
+ kcweather.nb = naiveBayes(Events~., nbdata[train,])
+ nbdata.test = nbdata[-train,1:3]
+ predict(kcweather.nb, nbdata.test, type="raw")
+ tablin=table(predict(kcweather.nb,nbdata.test,type="class"),nbdata[-train,4])
+ print(tablin)
+ accuracy[k] = (tablin[1,1]+tablin[2,2])/(sum(tablin))
+ precision[k] = (tablin[1,1])/(tablin[1,1]+tablin[2,1])
+ recall[k]=(tablin[1,1])/(tablin[1,1]+tablin[1,2])
+ }
                  Rain Rain Thunderstorm Snow
                   21
 Rain
 Rain Thunderstorm
                                      21
 Snow
                  Rain Rain_Thunderstorm Snow
                                    12 0
                    25
 Rain
 Rain_Thunderstorm 5
                                     21
                                           0
                    5
                                     0 8
 Snow
```

```
Rain Rain Thunderstorm Snow
 Rain
                                 22
                 6
 Rain Thunderstorm
                                      0
                                 0 12
                  1
 Snow
                Rain Rain Thunderstorm Snow
                 8
                                 23
                                     0
 Rain Thunderstorm
 Snow
                                 0 12
                Rain Rain Thunderstorm Snow
 Rain
 Rain Thunderstorm
                 4
                                 24
                  5
                                       7
                                 0
 Snow
                Rain Rain Thunderstorm Snow
               19
                                 12
                 9
 Rain Thunderstorm
                                 16
                  5
 Snow
                                 0 12
                Rain Rain Thunderstorm Snow
                 32
 Rain
 Rain Thunderstorm 10
                                 18 0
                                 0 10
 Snow
> cat("Accuracy: ", mean(accuracy))
Accuracy: 0.6131579> cat("Precision: ", mean(precision))
           0.7835841> cat("Recall: ", mean(recall))
Precision:
Recall: 0.7666215>
```

Accuracy: 0.6131579

Precision: 0.7835841

Recall: 0.7666215

#### Inference:

- 1. We could see that the given data set when run through Naïve Bayes model, the accuracy is good with all the predictors as quantitative and a relatively less precision when compared to few predictors.
- 2. If we compare Naives model to LDA,QDA and KNN, we see that QDA is a better model for the given data and Naïve is better than KNN if we have atleast one quantitative predictor.
- 3. If we have only temperature as the quantitative predictor then the recall value is high in Naive's Model.