

# Relationship Between Trader Performance and Market Sentiment

**Name:** Sanuja Chakraborty

**Repository:** [https://github.com/SanujaChakraborty/trader\\_sentiment\\_analysis](https://github.com/SanujaChakraborty/trader_sentiment_analysis)

## Objective

The main goal of this task was to understand how **market sentiment** affects **trader performance**.

I wanted to see if traders behave differently — or perform better or worse — when the overall market mood shifts between “**Fear**” and “**Greed**.”

In simple terms, I explored whether traders tend to take riskier positions or achieve higher profits when sentiment is optimistic versus cautious.

## Data Overview

I worked with two main datasets:

- **Trades data (`df_trades`)** — includes trade-level details like entry/exit price, position size, closed profit/loss (PnL), and whether the trade was a buy or sell.
- **Sentiment data (`df_sent`)** — contains daily or weekly “fear/greed” indicators, or text-based sentiment classifications.

To make analysis smoother, I also created a **daily aggregated dataset** where I summarized mean and total PnL per day, grouped by sentiment.

Some preprocessing steps included:

- Converting timestamps into proper date formats.
- Handling missing columns (`leverage`, `size`, `symbol`) with safe defaults.
- Creating a fallback sentiment score when raw sentiment labels were missing (to keep the model consistent).

## Data Exploration

Once the data was cleaned and aligned by date, I explored how profit and sentiment interacted.

One of the key visuals I created was a **boxplot of Mean Closed PnL vs Sentiment**, saved as [outputs/box\\_mean\\_closedpnl\\_by\\_sentiment.png](#).

This helped me visually compare trading outcomes across different emotional phases of the market.

#### Insights from the visuals:

- “Greedy” sentiment days showed slightly higher mean PnL compared to “Fear” periods.
- However, the spread (volatility) was larger, meaning traders took bigger risks.
- There wasn’t a perfect correlation — other factors like leverage and timing clearly matter too.

## Modeling & Results

I built a simple **logistic regression model** to predict whether a trade would be profitable ([closed\\_pnl > 0](#)).

#### Features used:

- Leverage
- Trade size
- Side (Buy/Sell, encoded as 1/0)
- Sentiment score

After scaling features and running a 5-fold cross-validation, I measured the model’s performance using **ROC-AUC**.

#### Result:

```
Logistic AUC CV (5-fold): [0.48352632 0.79617614 0.56611678 0.5521482  
0.73320312]
```

```
Mean AUC: 0.6262341141542769
```

## Observations & Key Takeaways

Findings:

- Traders tend to take slightly riskier or larger positions when sentiment is positive (“Greedy”).
- Profitability shows a weak but noticeable correlation with sentiment.
- Pure sentiment alone isn’t enough to predict success — market context and strategy matter more.

Limitations:

- Missing or incomplete sentiment data required creating synthetic scores.
- The dataset was relatively small (about 188 records), limiting statistical confidence.

Next Steps:

- Use a larger dataset with real-time sentiment metrics.
- Try non-linear models like Random Forest or XGBoost to capture complex relationships.
- Introduce time-based features (e.g., lagged sentiment or rolling averages).

## Conclusion

Working on this project helped me understand how quantitative trading data and sentiment analysis can be combined to uncover behavioral patterns.

Even with limited data, I saw that market emotion subtly influences trading behavior — and that combining psychology and numbers can give traders an extra edge.

This assignment strengthened my understanding of:

- Data cleaning and alignment between two datasets,
- Exploratory visualization for financial behavior, and
- Basic predictive modeling using logistic regression.

Overall, it was a practical blend of data science + trading psychology, and I really enjoyed bringing both together in this analysis.