NOTE BOOK

Rokshana Ahmed

Algorithms

DATE : 08/03/2022

GALE - SHAPELEY ALGORITHM

- Initially, each $a_i \in A$, and each $b_j \in B$, is FREE
- while there exists some FREE a_i that has not yet proposed to each $b_j \in B$
  - Let a_i be a FREE person that has not proposed to each $b_j \in B$ (1)
  - Let $B' \subseteq B$ be the set of b_j such that a_i has not yet proposed to (2)
  - Let $b_j \in B'$ be the person from B' that a_i likes the most

$a_1 : b_1 > b_2 > b_3$
$B' = \{b_2, b_3\}$
} b_2 is the most preferred in the B' set

  - IF b_j is FREE : (3)
    - MATCH UP a_i and b_j // a_i & b_j get engaged
    - a_i and b_j are not free anymore
  - ELSE :
    - Suppose that b_j is engaged to a_k (3)
    - IF b_j likes a_k more than a_i (4)
      - a_i REMAINS FREE
    - ELSE :
      - the match between b_j and a_k is broken
      - a_i and b_j are matched up
      - a_k becomes FREE

O(1)

1. Identify a free a_i
2. Identify the b_j that is highest in a_i's preference list, that a_i hasn't yet proposed to
3. Given b_j, determine whether she's free, and - if not - identify her current partner a_k.
4. Determine whether b_j likes a_i more than a_k

To implement each of the 4 operations in $O(1)$ time, we use the following data structures:

(A) APREF, A $n \times n$ array, such that APREF[i][e] contains the index of the e^{th} most preferred b_j in a_i's ranking.

EX: if $a_i : b_{i_1} > b_{i_2} > \cdots > b_{i_n}$
then APREF[i][e] = i_e

$e_3 : b_2 > b_1 > b_3$

APREF[3][1] = 2
APREF[3][2] = 1
APREF[3][3] = 3

(B) NEXT, an array of size n, such that next[i] contains the rank of the next b_j in a_i's list, that a_i is going to propose to.

At the outset, next[i] = 1 $\forall i$.

When a_i is about to propose, he'll propose to b_j for j = APREF[i][next[i]]; after having proposed, next[i] += 1

↳ thus (2) can be solved in $O(1)$

(C) CURRENT, an array of size n, such that current[i] contains the index j of the a_j that b_i is currently engaged to; if b_i is currently free, then CURRENT[i] = None (-1) ← simbolo per dire None

Thus, (3) can be solved in $O(1)$ time.

Ⓓ RANKING, an $n \times n$ array, such that RANKING[j][i] is the rank of a_i in b_j's preference list.

If b_j : $a_{j_1} > a_{j_2} > \cdots > a_{j_n}$, then RANKING[j][$j_\ell$] = ℓ

EXAMPLE : if b_3 : $a_2 > a_1 > a_3$ then

RANKING[3][2] = 1
RANKING[3][1] = 2
RANKING[3][3] = 3

To decide whether b_j likes a_k more than a_i it is sufficient to check whether
RANKING[j][k] < RANKING[j][i]

Thus, ④ can be solved in O(1) time

So, ②, ③ and ④ can be implemented in O(1) time, if one has arrays Ⓐ, Ⓑ, Ⓒ, Ⓓ. (It is easy to check that Ⓐ, Ⓑ, Ⓒ, Ⓓ can be built $O(n^2)$ time.)

So, the only thing that remains to be done is to solve/implement ① in O(1) time. If we can do that, the total runtime G-S becomes :

$$O(n^2) + \underbrace{n^2}_{n^2 \text{ of iterations}} \cdot (\underbrace{O(1)}_{①} + \underbrace{O(1)}_{②} + \underbrace{O(1)}_{③} + \underbrace{O(1)}_{④}) = O(n^2)$$

① IDENTIFY A FREE a_i
HOW TO DO IT IN O(1) TIME?

To do this, we're going to use doubly-linked lists.



To get the first element of the list, I can just run.

HEAD. V.

To remove the first element from the list

HEAD = HEAD. NEXT
HEAD. PREV = NONE



Now, we only need to attach to the list some a_i that is rejected by b_j.

Whenever b_j has to choose between a_i and a_k, the "UNCHOSEN" one (let us say it is a_t for $t \in \{i, k\}$) will be added back to the list.



THM: G-S can be implemented to run in $O(n^2)$ time.