## Munkres 1.3

1. Define two points  $(x_0, y_0)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)$  of the plane to be equivalent if  $y_0 - x_0^2 = y_1 - x_1^2$ . Check that this is an equivalence relation and describe the equivalence classes.

Reflexivity: Let  $(x_0, y_0)$ .  $y_0 - x_0^2 = y_0 - x_0^2$  so  $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_0, y_0)$  for every  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Symmetry: Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)$  such that  $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_1, y_1)$ .  $y_0 - x_0^2 = y_1 - x_1^2$ . Equality is symmetric, so  $y_1 - x_1^2 = y_0 - x_0^2$ , so  $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_0, y_0)$ . Thus,  $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_1, y_1) \Rightarrow (x_1, y_1) \sim (x_0, y_0)$ . Transitivity: Let  $(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $(x_1, y_1)$ , and  $(x_2, y_2)$  such that  $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$ .  $y_0 - x_0^2 = y_1 - x_1^2$  and  $y_1 - x_1^2 = y_2 - x_2^2$ . By the transitive property of equality,  $y_0 - x_0^2 = y_2 - x_2^2$ , so  $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_2, y_2)$ . Thus  $((x_0, y_0) \sim (x_1, y_1) \wedge (x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)) \Rightarrow (x_0, y_0) \sim (x_2, y_2)$ .

The relation is satisfies all three properties of an equivalence relation.

An equivalence class of this relation determined by an element  $(x_0, y_0)$  is the set of all points (x, y) such that  $y-x^2=y_0-x_0^2$ . In other words, let  $a=y_0-x_0^2$ . For any value of x,  $(x,x^2+a)$  is in the equivalence class. Note that for any point (x, y) in the equivalence class, (-x, y) is also in the equivalence class.

2. Let C be a relation on a set A. If  $A_0 \subset A$ , define the **restriction** of C to  $A_0$  to be the relation  $C \cap (A_0 \times A_0)$ . Show that the restriction of an equivalence relation is an equivalence relation.

Define  $C_{A_0}$  to be the restriction of C to  $A_0$ .

Lemma:  $xC_{A_0}y \Rightarrow xCy$ .

 $xC_{A_0}y \iff (x,y) \in C \cap (A_0 \times A_0) \iff (x,y) \in C \wedge (x,y) \in (A_0 \times A_0)$ . Thus, by simplifying,  $(x,y) \in C \iff xCy$ .

Reflexivity: Let  $x \in A_0$  (and thus also  $x \in A$ ).  $x \in A$ , so  $(x,x) \in C \land (x,x) \in (A_0 \times A_0)$ , so  $(x,x) \in C \cap (A_0 \times A_0)$ , so  $xC_{A_0}x$  for every  $x \in A_0$ 

Symmetry: Let  $x, y \in A_0$  such that  $xC_{A_0}y$ .  $xC_{A_0}y \Rightarrow xCy$ , so yCx as well. Thus  $(y, x) \in C$ .  $x \in A_0 \land y \in A_0$ , so  $(y,x) \in (A_0 \times A_0)$  too.  $(y,x) \in C \cap (A_0 \times A_0)$ . Therefore,  $xC_{A_0}y \Rightarrow yC_{A_0}x$ .

Transitivity: Let  $x, y, z \in A_0$  such that  $xC_{A_0}y$  and  $yC_{A_0}z$ .  $xCy \land yCz$ , so xCz, so  $(x, z) \in C$ .  $x \in A_0 \land z \in A_0$ , so  $(x,z) \in (A_0 \times A_0)$ . Thus,  $(x,z) \in C_{A_0}$ . Therefore,  $xC_{A_0}y \wedge yC_{A_0}z \Rightarrow xC_{A_0}z$ .

3. Here is a "proof" that every relation C that is both symmetric and transitive is also reflexive: "Since C is symmetric, aCb implies bCa. Since C is transitive, aCb and bCa together imply aCa, as desired." Find the flaw in this argument. The argument can be rewritten as:

 $aCb \Rightarrow bCa$ 

 $aCb \wedge bCa \Rightarrow aCa$ 

aCa

Which simplifies to:

 $aCb \Rightarrow aCa$ 

aCa

A counterexample can be found in the case where aCb and aCa are both false.

More specifically: Let  $A = \{1, 2\}$ . Thus,  $A \times A = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$ . Let  $C \subset A$  be  $\{(1, 1)\}$ . The premise  $2C1 \Rightarrow 2C2$  is true, but 2C2 is false and C is not reflexive.

- 4. Let  $f:A\to B$  be a surjective function. Let us define a relation on A by setting  $a_0\sim a_1$  if  $f(a_0)=f(a_1)$ .
  - (a) Show that this is an equivalence relation.

Reflexivity: Let  $a \in A$ . f(a) = f(a) so  $a \sim a$  for every  $a \in A$ .

Symmetry: Let  $a_0, a_1 \in A$  such that  $a_0 \sim a_1.f(a_0) = f(a_1)$ , so  $f(a_1) = f(a_0)$ , so  $a_1 \sim a_0$ . Thus,  $a_0 \sim a_1 \Rightarrow a_0 = a_0$ .

Transitivity: Let  $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in A$  such that  $a_0 \sim a_1 \wedge a_1 \sim a_2$ .  $f(a_0) = f(a_1) \wedge f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , so  $f(a_0) = f(a_2)$ , so  $a_0 \sim a_2$ . Thus,  $(a_0 \sim a_1 \wedge a_1 \sim a_2) \Rightarrow a_0 \sim a_2$ .

(b) Let  $A^*$  be the set of equivalence classes. Show there is a bijective correspondence of  $A^*$  with B.

A conceptual understanding: Let  $x \in A$ . Let  $E = \{y \mid y \sim x\}$  be the equivalence class determined by x.  $E = \{y \mid f(x) = f(y)\}$ . Let b = f(x);  $E = \{y \mid f(y) = b\}$ . In plain English, every equivalence class is the set of all  $a \in A$  that map to a specific b under f.

Showing injectivity: Let  $E_1, E_2 \in A^*$  be two equivalence classes that both correspond to b. This means there is some  $a_1 \in E_1$  and some  $a_2 \in E_2$  such that  $f(a_1) = b = f(a_2)$ . Thus,  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , meaning  $a_2 \in E_1 \land a_2 \in E_2$ . Distinct equivalence classes are disjoint, but  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  overlap, so we can conclude  $E_1 = E_2$ . Thus,  $E_1$  corresponds to b and  $E_2$  corresponds to b implies that  $E_1 = E_2$ , showing that the correspondence from  $A^*$  to B is injective.

Shwoing surjectivity: Let  $b \in B$ . f is surjective, so f(a) = b for at least one  $a \in A$ . Thus, there is a corresponding equivalence class  $E = a \mid f(a) = b \in A^*$  for every  $b \in B$ . The correspondence from  $A^*$  to B is also surjective.

Because the correspondence from  $A^*$  to B is both injective and surjective, it must be bijective.